"Please describe why you agree with the statement, "Wellington Fire is fiscally responsible and spends taxpayer money wisely."

	Age		Fiscal	
Sex	_	Party	Responsibility	Response
F	35-44	Unaf	Strongly Agree	With the new board I think they are doing a great job budgeting and spending money where it is necessary.
				I haven't heard that they are misappropriating fund in any way. Additionally I have received assistance from
				them to change batteries in a vaulted ceiling smoke detector and that was a much needed service as we were
F	35-44	Unaf	Strongly Agree	not able to safely complete the task.
F	35-44	Unaf	Strongly Agree	I haven't seen anything to tell me otherwise and feel they do a sufficient job when I've seen them.
F	35-44	Unaf	Strongly Agree	It appears they have turned things around and are spending money appropriately now.
				The local wildfires in the area are addressed immediately and with great effect. If there is a medical
F	35-44	Unaf	Strongly Agree	emergency in town, the firefighters are the first responders to the scene.
				Wellington fire is always first on scene to any incident in my neighborhood and they provide essential first
				response to medical and fire related calls. Their service is important because of the area that they cover in
				relation to Poudre Fire Authority. PFD's closest station, that I know of, is Engine 12 on Country Club Dr. If
				Wellington Fire were not available, this community would suffer in emergency response time. I see no waste in
F	05.44	 	Ctus a also A ana a	the funding that they receive from taxpayer dollars. They do their job and they are always courteous and
F	35-44	Unaf	Strongly Agree	professional.
М	35-44	Unaf	Strongly Agree	The better the training, quality of firefighters and the equipment and apparatus, the safer the taxpayer will be in the event of an emergency.
IVI	35-44	Oliai	Strongly Agree	They busy their asses in an overcrowded and expanding town. They were supposed to have a new fire station
М	35-44	Unaf	Strongly Agree	several years ago with additional hires, yet they've been turned down and treated very unfairly.
			Ŭ, Ŭ	I did not believe that the fire department is visibly spending money in the community that is not warranted.
F	45-54	Unaf	Strongly Agree	They are not seen training abnormally long or purchasing unnecessary equipment.
F	45-54	Unaf	Strongly Agree	No corruption
				They spend the monies allocated for the department where it's needed - I don't think they have a extreme
F	45-54	Unaf	Strongly Agree	amount to work with in the first place, do well with what they are given
М	45-54	Unaf	Strongly Agree	I've never heard anything to the contrary.
				Whenever I interact with members their equipment and housing does not seem excessive. If anything, I would
М	45-54		Strongly Agree	say that since it is mostly volunteer, it is probably underfunded
F	55-64		Strongly Agree	I haven't heard anything otherwise
F	55-64	Unaf	Strongly Agree	That use the money where it is needed
				I have watched them grow over the last 50 yrs from small volunteer to what they are today and as Wellington
				it's self has so substantially grown and expanded so the fire department must also to be able to fight the
				growing demands and safety of the people and so they need all the help we can give them to secure the safety
М	55-64	Unaf	Strongly Agree	of its people.

Wellington Fire Protection Dist. Ballot Measure Survey 5/30/25 - 6/10/25 520n, +/-4.2% MoE

"Please describe why you agree with the statement, "Wellington Fire is fiscally responsible and spends taxpayer money wisely."

	Age		Fiscal	
Sex	Range	Party	Responsibility	Response
М	55-64	Unaf	Strongly Agree	I haven't heard of any irresponsible use of money.
М	55-64	Unaf	Strongly Agree	Most fire departments have to make the best they can do with the money they have
М	55-64	Unaf	Strongly Agree	They seem too keep the equipment maintained and they are actively working in the area
	FF 64	Unaf		Use of technology, condition of equipment, training of first responders equals amazingly fast response time and amazing quality of first responder services - WFPD does all this with the funds they receive - in my humble option in light of the massive increase in population, concentration of this population and regional increase in instances of calls for medical / accident and fires - as well as the upcoming opening of the new landfill - the funding is uses amazingly responsibly BUT it isn't enough and should be increased by a factor equal if not
M			Strongly Agree	greater than the instances of risk the aforementioned rapid growth of the area the WFPD services.
F	65+		Strongly Agree	I don't see unnecessary equipment and they seem to be short staffed
F	65+	Unaf	Strongly Agree	I have had them at my house several times and they do a great job.
F	65+	Unaf	Strongly Agree	In my area, the funding appears to have been reviewed and reallocated allowing the Waverly station to again be staffed. I would like more information on what the budget for the entire department looks like in 2026 -
F	65+	Unaf	Strongly Agree	They have work hard to keep up to date with training, services as the area grows, and also work on community enrichment.
F	65+	Unaf	Strongly Agree	When we have had a fire in our area, they always come.
М	65+	Unaf	Strongly Agree	They seem to be a responsible group
М			Strongly Agree	You are a statutory district and required by law to comply with financial limitations and restrictions you have done so
М		Dem	Strongly Agree	Tax payer money is need for the Wellington fire protection district to keep the community safe
F		Dem	Strongly Agree	Equipment seems modern and well kept, teams respond quickly and with a high level of professionalism.
F		Dem	Strongly Agree	I have not heard otherwise
F	35-44	Dem	Strongly Agree	I've never heard of them doing otherwise. They have a modest station that I honestly think could grow.
F	35-44	Dem	Strongly Agree	My family has been assisted by the Wellington Fire Dept. and I believe that is a responsible and wise use of taxpayer money.
F		Dem	Strongly Agree	The need for fire emergency services in particular is rising, and I think they're using the money appropriately to keep the community safe.
F		Dem	Strongly Agree	Not seeing any evidence of irresponsiblity
М	45-54	Dem	Strongly Agree	They do a good job managing a tough budget.
F	55-64	Dem	Strongly Agree	Honestly, I can't say, but it seems if they weren't responsible with their finances, we tax payers would have heard about it.

Wellington Fire Protection Dist. Ballot Measure Survey

"Please describe why you agree with the statement, "Wellington Fire is fiscally responsible and spends taxpayer money wisely."

	Age		Fiscal	
Sex	Range	Party	Responsibility	Response
F	55-64	Dem	Strongly Agree	Services are provided efficiently and quickly .I'm paying for this through taxation and it's well worth the money.
F	65+	Dem	Strongly Agree	Careful and coordinated planning and lessons learned from past fiscal follies due to poor leadership.
F	65+	Dem	Strongly Agree	General knowledge in the community.
F	65+	Dem	Strongly Agree	I believe that the latest fire engine the WFP purchased was a demo model at a reduced price. Brilliant!!!
F	65+	Dem	Strongly Agree	I'm pleased with the response time of the fire district to deal with the emergency situation I'm aware of
F	65+	Dem	Strongly Agree	It takes a very substantial amount of money to operate effectively and with the growth of the community in past few years and next few people need to take that into consideration.
F	65+	Dem	Strongly Agree	Never heard anything negative
F	65+	Dem	Strongly Agree	The fire department is accountable for the money they use and that means transparency.
F	65+	Dem	Strongly Agree	The Wellington Fire Protection district is a much needed entity out here. Those of us who live in this Protection District are extremely grateful that they are here close! Whatever funds are necessary to keep them out here are more than worth it. I am certain that they are fiscally responsible for the funds they receive. How exactly do you put a price on safety? I don't want to think about why someone is even questioning their "fiscal responsibility".
F	65+	Dem	Strongly Agree	They have hired highly trained people and their response time is outstanding
М	65+	Dem	Strongly Agree	Based on what little I know about the services provided appe as rcto be fiscally re s possible. Have not seen record of spending in context of support level.
	65+	Dem	Strongly Agree	It has been responsive to my specific needs but otherwise remains in the background. I know it will quickly aid if I need fire or emergency help
	65+	Dem	Strongly Agree	Their ambulance service saved my life.
		Rep	Strongly Agree	The district has proven over the last year it can pay down debt and sustain its fund balance
М		Rep	Strongly Agree	They are establishing employment and getting new equipment to be more prepared
М		Rep	Strongly Agree	They know what to spend the taxpayer money on
F	35-44	Rep	Strongly Agree	I assumeThey receive tax payer funding and all District hold the responsibility to be fiscally wise.
F	35-44	Rep	Strongly Agree	I have no evidence to say otherwise
F	35-44	Rep	Strongly Agree	Wellington is a growing community and the Wellington Fire district is hiring the appropriate people.
F	35-44	Rep	Strongly Agree	Why would you not spend the money wisely?
М	35-44	Rep	Strongly Agree	My brother in-law is a fire fighter. They're first responders and I hold them with the utmost respect. I'm more than happy to pay taxes to make sure they are funded. I wish our water bill would go down so they could make more. We as a community need to fund our first responders. Make sure they have top tier equipment for not only house fires but for wildland fires as well.

Wellington Fire Protection Dist. Ballot Measure Survey

"Please describe why you agree with the statement, "Wellington Fire is fiscally responsible and spends taxpayer money wisely."

	Age		Fiscal	
Sex	Range	Party	Responsibility	Response
М	35-44	Rep	Strongly Agree	They do a great job!
М	35-44	Rep	Strongly Agree	They need the equipment to serve Wellington
М	35-44	Rep	Strongly Agree	Well they bought new trucks this year and it's important to keep up with new technology.
F	45-54	Rep	Strongly Agree	I lived in Wellington 20 years ago and therefore have a history with Wellington and its people.
				They have currently been able to start repairing the financial fiasco that the welling fire protection district
F	45-54	Rep	Strongly Agree	historically was in
				I've seen the prioritization of equipment upgrades, securing land and facilities for future expansion if needed
М	45-54	Rep	Strongly Agree	and just general allocation of time and resources
М	45-54	Rep	Strongly Agree	They are very active in the community and do not waste the limited resources they have
М	45-54	Rep	Strongly Agree	We got a new fire chief
F	55-64	Rep	Strongly Agree	They came to my house for a medical emergency
				From what I see I believe that the WFPD is frugal with there funds, which is good to see with inflation going
М	55-64	Rep	Strongly Agree	through the roof.
М	55-64	Rep	Strongly Agree	I monitor what is going on and watch board meetings.
				Have had to use services mire then once over the last 6 months. I feel those services would ha very been very
F	65+	Rep	Strongly Agree	time limited if not being used. Correctly.
F	65+	Rep	Strongly Agree	I have had emergencies and their prompt response and skills were greatly needed.
F	65+	Rep	Strongly Agree	I live in waverly and the fire fighters out here are awesome. We have used them several times.
F	65+	Rep	Strongly Agree	I've not seen any fires, but I'm sure they've helped with auto accidents
				They have come several times to our neighborhood to help with the senior citizens. Appreciate the fire Dept.
F		Rep	Strongly Agree	bunches.
F	65+	Rep	Strongly Agree	When I call for an emergency, they are here for my neighbor within five minutes.
М	65+	Rep	Strongly Agree	I just see what they do and how they do there work.
				The amount of effort and training it takes to prepare, prevent unsafe circumstances. Requires constant training and constant effort to ensure professional level of excellence. Communication is a critical component
М	65+	Rep	Strongly Agree	to this. It can help save lives. Cancer is a major issue due to their work environment. Safety! Safety!
М	65+	Rep	Strongly Agree	They get the job done.
	_			They over extended a few yrs ago and had to lay some off I heard, from that ,sadly they had to cut cost
М	65+	Rep	Strongly Agree	somehow.
				I know that in the past the fire district had faced its challenges with money but I believe and trust in the
М	35-44	Oth	Strongly Agree	changes that have been made and those days are behind us

Wellington Fire Protection Dist. Ballot Measure Survey 5/30/25 - 6/10/25

"Please describe why you agree with the statement, "Wellington Fire is fiscally responsible and spends taxpayer money wisely."

	Age		Fiscal	
Sex	Range	Party	Responsibility	Response
М	35-44	Oth	Strongly Agree	I'm assuming they spend money on what is needed
М	35-44	Oth	Strongly Agree	They provide a much needed service to the community
F	18-34	Unaf	Somewhat Agree	Don't see any reason why not
				Because they are readily available for this growing community. They also are involved in the community,
F	35-44	Unaf	Somewhat Agree	playing at the park with the kids, going to events- parade, school events, etc.
F	35-44	Unaf	Somewhat Agree	From my understanding they spend on needs not wants.
F	35-44	Unaf	Somewhat Agree	I have heard things online about the mishandling of finances and letting go of good staff
				Perspective alone. I don't have visibility on their financials or really I haven't sought them. I'm sure they are
F	35-44	Unaf	Somewhat Agree	available.
F	35-44	Unaf	Somewhat Agree	To my knowledge, WFD is responsible.
				I don't know that I have a full understanding of what has happened recently, but in general I believe that the
М	35-44	Unaf	Somewhat Agree	department has been fiscally responsible
М	35-44	Unaf	Somewhat Agree	I see their presence around town and feel safe they are close.
				They coordinate and cooperate well with the Town Staff, engage in events, respond to calls, are available for
М	35-44	Unaf	Somewhat Agree	citizen's needs and support.
				WFPD has worked hard to right the ship since the massive debt they once had. They are trying to grow the dept
				and replace equipment to meet the needs of the community and there safety while working within a small
				budget. There FF pay is low and retention is difficult to management. Although multiple promoted positions
				are filled by low experience levels they are working towards progressing there dept
F	45-54		•	I believe they are honestly with taxpayers money and use it for what is needed.
F	45-54	Unaf	Somewhat Agree	I have not seen any wasteful spending
				I only agree somewhat with that statement. In the past, funds have been mismanaged, particularly by Ashley
F				MacDonald. Related, jobs were affected unfairly, such as the position of Battalion Chief.
М	45-54			Balancing a limited budget
М	45-54	Unaf	Somewhat Agree	I feel much safer with funds to our protection.
				I think there has been gross mismanagement in the past but Chief Patterson turned that around. I believe the
М	45-54	Unaf	Somewhat Agree	department is still on a positive tract for fiscal responsibility.
		_		Just know about the board mishandling funds over the last few years. Hopefully that will change with the new
М				members coming in.
F	55-64		Somewhat Agree	'
М	55-64	Unaf	Somewhat Agree	Although I am relatively new to the community, I do not hear any complaints about overspending from others.

"Please describe why you agree with the statement, "Wellington Fire is fiscally responsible and spends taxpayer money wisely."

	Age		Fiscal	_
Sex	Range	Party	Responsibility	Response
			_	I am not fully sure as I don't know exactly how things are done there, but through the years I have known people
М				that have been a part of the station and it seemed to be done well from what they said.
F	65+			I believe overall they have made sound fiscal decisions. Better than our Town Trustees.
F	65+			I think the Fire Station on CR 66 should be maxed 24%7.
F	65+	Unaf	Somewhat Agree	I used their services after I had a stroke.
М	65+	Unaf		Always are up to date on equipment needs and training.
М	65+	Unaf	Somewhat Agree	Doing much better than previously
				Don't know where you could find out. I know the last before chief didn't spend wisely. Over spent on a lot of
М	65+	Unaf	Somewhat Agree	things hope they are better.
М	65+	Unaf	Somewhat Agree	I assume they do. With the growth in our area I assume they need more funding.
М	65+	Unaf	Somewhat Agree	I believe the WFPD has been very transparent with all operations.
М	65+	Unaf	Somewhat Agree	I've never heard anything to suggest otherwise
М	65+	Unaf	Somewhat Agree	No evidence otherwise
М	65+	Unaf	Somewhat Agree	No hookers in the fire house
F	35-44	Dem	Somewhat Agree	I have not heard of any spending that I disagree with
F	35-44	Dem	Somewhat Agree	I honestly haven't seen the budget or expenses so kind of in the dark on this matter
0	35-44	Dem		It is improving from what it has been 2 chiefs ago
				There's a long history of irresponsible fiscal management, and a short recent history of greater discipline. The
				services are critical, but I'm not entirely confident that it's time to add more funding. This is a question of
F	55-64	Dem	Somewhat Agree	management. I don't doubt the services are necessary and the need for them is growing.
F	65+	Dem	Somewhat Agree	I am new to Wellington but am sure that they are a responsible group or we would have heard about it .
F	65+	Dem	Somewhat Agree	I have no reason to believe they are not, based on info I get from board members.
F	65+	Dem		I know there has been problems in past. Hopefully with new chief these problems are being addtessed
				I used to live a half a block away from the fire department. I never heard a negative word or her neighbors
F	65+	Dem	Somewhat Agree	speak of them incorrectly. Everyone seem to be happy and feel safe.
F	65+	Dem		Need more info about budget and how money allocated
				Because the town has expanded a lot during the last decade, they have been underbudgetted and have
М	65+	Dem		stretched their funds.
				I don't know anything about their budget nor the cost that are required to do their jobs. At the same time,
F	35-44	Rep	Somewhat Agree	typically government entities are not good with money.
		·		In the past they didn't spend money wisely, in fact they overspent. Now they have money in reserves so they are
F	35-44	Rep	Somewhat Agree	doing better with money now.
	-			·

Wellington Fire Protection Dist. Ballot Measure Survey

"Please describe why you agree with the statement, "Wellington Fire is fiscally responsible and spends taxpayer money wisely."

	Age		Fiscal	
Sex	Range	Party	Responsibility	Response
F	35-44	Rep	Somewhat Agree	Right now the department is doing ok and making better financial decisions
				Budget needs cleaned up. Not less funding. Equipment needs upgraded, more response vehicles (especially an
М	35-44	Rep	Somewhat Agree	ambulance) and more field staff.
F	45-54	Rep	Somewhat Agree	I feel like things have been managed better more recently.
F	45-54	Rep	Somewhat Agree	I feel with the board knowing where the money goes to it will be used in a correct way to find the department
F	45-54	Rep	Somewhat Agree	I just recently moved to Wellington, unaware of any hardships within the fire department
F	55-64	Rep	Somewhat Agree	Rumors of over spending in the past. Otherwise I feel you use taxpayers money wisely
М	55-64	Rep	Somewhat Agree	I don't know everything they do
М	55-64	Rep	Somewhat Agree	New people in place and are more aware of financial situation.
F	65+	Rep	Somewhat Agree	I believe that your Captain is a responsible person and would make responsible decisions; therefore, it is my judgment that he does his job wisely.
				It seems that they take good care of the trucks, equipment, etc. I pass by the Waverly/Wellington Fire Station
F	65+	Rep	Somewhat Agree	regularly.
М	65+	Rep	Somewhat Agree	From what I can tell they seem responsible for their funds
М	65+	Rep	Somewhat Agree	Good service.
М	65+	Rep	Somewhat Agree	Have dug themselves out of a hole that the previous administration had caused.
				I have not heard of any issues within the department but would admit that I haven't personally looked in to how
М	65+	Rep	Somewhat Agree	money is spent.

"Please describe why you would vote no and reject a 0.5% sales tax increase to fund the Wellington Fire Protection District."

	Age			
		_	Informed Ballot	Response
М	18-34	Unaf	Definitely No	I do not trust the current board to wisely spend any additional money.
M	18-34	Unaf	Definitely No	You have the money to do this without raising taxes. The department is stagnant in culture, fire and ems training, and there is little to no continuing education to excel. You had the money to have a full-time marshal before so I don't see how you can't afford one without a raise. You have millions of dollars coming in a year and nothing to show for it. Get your department on the same page and fix the culture and the money will come in time. Bring back volunteers
F	35-44	Unaf	Definitely No	I don't believe in higher taxes. Being taxed as we are now, I think that those in authority need to be good stewards and spend wisely and transparently. I love our firefighters and am so thankful for all that you do, but I don't think raising residents' taxes is going to solve all of the challenges!
F	35-44	Unaf	Definitely No	My property taxes have increased and will be increasing exponentially, as are everyone's, and it's already causing people to live pay check to pay check. Since Wellington fire department gets funding from property taxes, they'll still be getting more funding from those increases.
F	35-44	Unaf	Definitely No	The amount of property taxes we pay in Larimer county already is astronomical. I feel this should be more than enough for the additional things you are asking for. Additionally I'm not interested in funding a fire Marshall position. Larimer county is hard enough and strict enough already.
F	35-44	Unaf	Definitely No	We pay too much in taxes now. The county needs to cut wasteful spending and prioritize emergency services not ask for more money.
M	35-44	Unaf	Definitely No	A full time fire Marshall is not needed. Arson investigations can be performed by DFPC and the few building inspections can be outsourced. I highly recommend the fire Marshall and equipment appratus/PPE request be separate from the fire Marshall request. I would vote yes for equipment upgrades but no for a fire marshall.
M	35-44 35-44	Unaf Unaf	Definitely No Definitely No	No language in the measure GUARANTEEING funds will be spent on intended purposes- language is too vague. Running 1200 calls from two stations isn't highlighting you're busy. It's highlighting that you're a very slow department. Wellington needs to figure out how to increase Wellington fire budget with out directly impacting consumers.
М	35-44	Unaf	Definitely No	Sales taxes are incredibly regressive disproportionately affecting lower income people. I am opposed to funding fire deptz by sales taxe—this should come from property taxes and fees to new builds. I would support a property tax levy I will not support a sales tax increase. Moreover, this sales tax will make it more expensive to shop in Wellington hurting local business (easy to go to ft collins or cheyanne for cheaper alternatives). As a homeowner in this community, I have been hit continuously with higher and higher home insurance rates. If increased funding to fire department specifically addressed factors affecting home insurance rates I would be more inclined to support fire department.
М	35-44	Unaf	Definitely No	We are already taxed way too much. I'm not against funding the district, I'm against raising taxes to do it.

Wellington Fire Protection Dist. Ballot Measure Survey

5/30/25 - 6/10/25

	Age			_
	35-44		Informed Ballot	Response No new toyen
0 F	45-54	Unaf Unaf	Definitely No	No new taxes
F	45-54	unai	Definitely No	People can't afford any increases of living at this time it's draining people dry.
F	45-54	Unaf	Definitely No	Taxes are high enough already everything gone up in price why would anyone want to pay more money in taxes for anything
NA	45.54	Unof	Definitely No	Everyone, all goverments and private companies, are asking for, or simply raising price, while almost nobody is receiving increased wages. The United States government may have the endless supply of cash, but the rest of us do not. You may think 50 cents for each \$100 purchase is nothing, but we can't afford to water our grass to keep the HOA wolf away from our doors. One penny is now too much to ask. Learn to do more with less, or, like the rest of us, accept the fact that we will just simply do less with less, and pray we are not made homeless
M M	45-54	Unaf	Definitely No	from it.
IVI	45-54	unai	Definitely No	Generally against new taxes and the proposed language of this tax being exempt from TABOR.
				I'm opposed to calling people's sex as gender neutral. Had the terms male and female been used then I would
М	45-54	Unaf	Definitely No	vote yes. You need to get it straight and use two sex types as there are only male and female. Unless you change this terminology in your bill I will always vote no.
IVI	70 07	Onai	Definitely NO	This county already taxes us to death. Until something is done about the Wellington water price situation I will
М	45-54	Unaf	Definitely No	never vote for a tax increase for anything. I don't care what it's for.
М	45-54	Unaf	Definitely No	Where is all the property tax money going my property taxes have gone up 3000\$.
F	55-64	Unaf	Definitely No	Larimer county has increased my property taxes by over 30% in the last two years. Wellington has grown significantly in the past several years, between these two events you should have plenty of tax payer dollars to run fire services.
				No more taxes! Don't give us "it's ONLY 0.5% sales tax increase! Our take home pay already doesn't take us home. It is not how much money you have, it is how it is being spent. Live within your means. You are asking to
_	55.64		D (; ;;] A)	subsidize a part time job with full-time benefits. This was a volunteer department before and now the GREED has
F			Definitely No	taken hold. STOP trying to take our hard earned property out of our hands!!
<u> </u>	55-64	Unaf	Definitely No	Property taxes are outrageous, this is a city and county issue to balance on the current collected taxes.
М	55-64	Unaf	Definitely No	Feel first responders already have enough to do the job
М	55-64	Unaf	Definitely No	It seems very coincidental that the district now needs more money shortly after they agreed to accept collective bargaining from the union
М	55-64		Definitely No	Spend the money you already get wisely.
М			Definitely No	You won a fire marshal? Nope. Once you get your house in order and get rid of the thieves that are in your house. We'll talk about it.

	Age			
Sex	Range	Party	Informed Ballot	Response
F	65+	Unaf	Definitely No	Because we are being taxed to death. I don't disagree with the funding need and what would be funded but the constant increase in taxes (think property taxes!!) is not sustainable for anyone. I agree 100% with the need and I would WAY rather have my tax dollars got to fire protection than other areas, but at some point enough is enough.
F	65+	Unaf	Definitely No	The taxes we pay to the district have increased significantly over the past decade and since there are very little visitors to the district that would be paying the sales tax, the bulk of the sales taxes would be paid by district residents who are already paying significantly higher taxes AND have been waiting for the district to pay back the taxes that were over collected in years past.
М	65+	Unaf	Definitely No	Historically mismanaged funds.
М	65+	Unaf	Definitely No	I think that increasing sales tax shouldn't be done I believe instead of automatically increase each year I would like to see not only the fire department but others that use our taxes they should first try saving money for rainy days then they could possibly have the money to cover anything unexpected.
F	35-44	Dem	Definitely No	The inclusion of "without regard to any spending or revenue limitation" is why I would vote no. I agree with an increase in sales tax and that our fire protection district should have additional funding, but this should still be subject to limitations on the funds that are collected and how they are used.
F	55-64	Dem	Definitely No	Cannot afford the increase
F	55-64	Dem	Definitely No	They are already receiving more dollars than most districts from past elections. In addition I live on the east side of Wellington and since I have been here I have seen at least 3 massive house fires. So even with more funds there must be another issue like a lack of training or standards.
F	18-34	Rep	Definitely No	Same reasons listed in my previous explanation.
М	18-34	Rep	Definitely No	The state of Colorado in general does not spend tax revenue wisely. Until that trend changes, I will vote against tax increases.
F	35-44	Rep	Definitely No	Although the call volume has gone up 35% in 6 years, our property taxes have gone up 40% in 1 year. The district has gotten more money because our property taxes have gone up. They don't need more money. If you would decrease our property tax and replace it with sales tax- I would vote for that. Also, having a full time fire marshal is one of the reasons the district overspent to begin with. I don't think it's wise to hire a fire marshal for such a small town. As far as capital projects, the district can save to buy those things just like the rest of the citizens have to do with our own budget. The district gets enough revenue increase with our property taxes, they don't need more.

"Please describe why you would vote no and reject a 0.5% sales tax increase to fund the Wellington Fire Protection District."

	Age			
Sex	_	Party	Informed Ballot	Response
				The department and town have a track record of not being financial responsible.We don't have enough fires that
				need investigations to even need to hire a full time position. The town had a growth of 3 people according to the
F	35-44	Rep	Definitely No	Coloradoan newspaper. The department should focus on being fiscally responsible, with a town of no growth.
				You have the highest mill leavy in northern Colorado. Learn how to manage the money you are already getting. Do
F		Rep	Definitely No	not punish the community that lives here because you don't know how to do so.
F		Rep	Definitely No	Because taxes are unconstitutional, period.
F	45-54	Rep	Definitely No	Taxation is theft. We are already over taxed.
	45.54			The amount of tax money currently used to pay the fire chief and the other full time and part time employees is already a lot. Those positions are paid a lot more than the average pay for equal types of jobs requiring minimal education and training. Often times these employees spend very little of their total time on the clock actually working. Obviously we know that they need to be prepared and available when needed but this does not require more tax money from the people to do. The fire chief recently reported for Wellington was being paid way more of a annual salary than is reasonable. Reports stated that these positions are being paid well above the average. This fire chief was reported to be making over 150,000 a year. Which outraged people paying taxes in the area of
F	45-54	Rep	Definitely No	Wellington Colorado.
	45.54		D (* ') N	I am pretty sure we passed a ballot measure a few years ago that allowed the fire department to keep their
M		Rep	Definitely No	funding at budgeted levels despite any lowering of property tax evaluations.
М	45-54	Rep	Definitely No	Poor reliability and abuse of past funding
М		Rep	Definitely No	Property taxes are consistently rising, water bill is outrageous I will not be voting for any increase in funding for anything.
F	55-64	Rep	Definitely No	Absolutely can not afford any new taxes let alone rising costs of just living
F	55-64	Ran	Definitely No	As stated before, we voted once and the money is gone, the Chief that misappropriated the funds was allowed to retire with his pension. The money will be going to ensure the people of the town of Wellington will be taken care of, the fire department could care less about those that live outside of town. We had a ground cover fire that threatened homes, Wellington Fire responded with one brush truck and 2 people, those of us that live where the fire was came out with tractors, shovels, and harrows to put it out, we can't rely on the fire dept.
М		Rep	Definitely No	Lack of transparency and history of corruption.
IVI	33-04	rep	Deminiery NO	The district has failed to show fiscal responsibility in the past. Giving the district more money does not
М	55-64	Rep	Definitely No	guarantee it will be spent prudently
М	55-64	Rep	Definitely No	There are more people moving to Wellington so there is more monies coming in. Why would you need another tax?????

Wellington Fire Protection Dist. Ballot Measure Survey

	Age			
Sex	Range	Party	Informed Ballot	Response
М	55-64	Rep	Definitely No	We pay too much in taxes already.
М	55-64	Rep	Definitely No	You don't run enough calls to have two stations. They should keep it volunteer and have Poudre fire authority as back up. This dept has shown they waste taxpayer money. If you had the volume it might be a different story. You run roughly 80-90 calls a month. 2-3 a day. That is hardly enough to ask for a 0.5 increase.
М	65+	Rep	Definitely No	Taxes in this state and county are reaching an unacceptable level period. Fire and emergency are perhaps the most important issue but waste in other areas make me vote against all tax increases. Government needs to go on a diet and get real like us in private business do period.
М	65+	Rep	Definitely No	The voters just improved a male of increased recently and did not feel that anymore. Money is necessary.
М	65+	Rep	Definitely No	Tired of being taxed. Government need not burden people who already have a hard time paying bills
М	65+	Rep	Definitely No	Tired of never ending tax increases. Enough is enough! On a fixed income and literally being forced out of our home. Stop it!
М	65+	Rep	Definitely No	We are taxed to death in all areas of life in this culture. Use the funds you have more wisely and there will be no need to increase revenue. Sheesh!
М	65+	Rep	Definitely No	Where the dope and lottery money? That was the push for that to pass!!
F	18-34	Unaf	Probably No	I would need more oversight into how the fund can be spent like town approval and such or very specific items listed on the ballot. I still do not have confidence in the fire departments fiscal responsibility.
F	18-34	Unaf	Probably No	It's not really going to help. Most of us leave to FoCo so spend our money anyway since it's cheaper there especially for groceries and there aren't many tourists/visitors that come specifically to Wellington for anything as there is nothing here

	Age			
Sex	Range	Party	Informed Ballot	Response
F	35- <i>44</i>	Unaf	Probably No	Residents within the District's service area live where they do to ease the cost of living in northern Colorado, so I can appreciate the sales tax approach. However, it would be more beneficial if the District could partner with the Town to increase the commercial offerings within town to maximize the benefit of this effort rather than additionally penalize those that support the mom and pop businesses already in place. Further, to keep pace with 10-12% annual inflationary increases on apparatus and equipment, is Wellington Fire receiving construction and development review fees for the large annexations and neighborhoods being added? Are there plans for multifamily complexes that could really garner those development review revenues? Have you checked into a partnership with Brycer for compliance checks? Do you maximize benefits through the Special Districts Association and their bargaining power for not only insurance premium discounts but also discounts on external services? Colorado Special Districts Property and Liability Pool? Safety grants through CSD? FEMA's SAFER or Fire Prevention and Safety (FP&S) grant? Assistance to Firefighters? DOLA's EIAF grant? CDPHE's EMTS? I think development of trust and commercial and/or multi-family development needs to happen before I'm convinced due diligence has been done. Otherwise it's the addition of one call every third day and that doesn't validate it for me.
F			Probably No	The wording is misleading
М	35-44		Probably No	Ever increasing taxes are not sustainable. You made it sound like you aren't maintaining equipment today.
М	35-44	Unaf	Probably No	Half percent is a relatively significant increase to the total.
F	45-54	Unaf	Probably No	I very much support the fire district and a tax to fund it. What would make me vote no is the language at the end of the measure, that the district would be able to use the funds basically ungoverned and exempt from state constitutional laws. I need more education on what that part means before a yes vote. Would like to see more statistics on the calls that are being run. Are you running minor medical calls? What
F	45-54	Unaf	Probably No	about full time staffing of a medical unit in the community.
M			Probably No	Because they raised property tax to fund it already and there was a ballot measure that passed for Wellington to fund it already and nothing has been used for pfa with that money and if it has I haven't seen anything different Our property tax just increased by 50%. I feel as though there is a ton of fiscal mismanagement. Also, I don't think gender neutral should be a goal, I feel the best person for the job be the goal. Gender should not enter into a
М	45-54	Unaf	Probably No	public service employment decision.

"Please describe why you would vote no and reject a 0.5% sales tax increase to fund the Wellington Fire Protection District."

	Age			
Sex	Range	Party	Informed Ballot	Response
0	45-54	Linof	Probably No	The first 2/3rds of the proposed ballot sounds great. But the language in the last 1/3rd, if I'm understanding this right will give you unrestricted access /zero oversight to these funds after the initial \$600k is raised. In this current political climate that sounds like corruption waiting to happen, so I will vote NO, Unless there is moar spending oversight included Also there's a couple of survey questions that make it sound like FIREFIGHTERS are doing us citizens a favor by existing. Firefighters are a societal requirement. It's an occupation that good people will always want to be apart of
0	45-54	Ullai	Probably No	Water bills are too high to vote for a tax increase. You need to resolve the water issue in Wellington before you
F M	55-64 55-64		Probably No Probably No	ask for a tax increase. The corruption I previously mentioned.
F	65+		Probably No	Fire Station on County Road 66 is not manned 24/7.
F	65+	Unaf	Probably No	It seems there is just never enough money to satisfy.
F	65+	Unaf	Probably No	Until you give a full and complete accounting for the money that disappeared in the past, I will not vote for any increases. What happened to the money before?
М	65+	Unaf	Probably No	It costs way too much to survive in this state as it is. Every entity with access to the public purse wants more, and between Denver, D.C., and trump's tariffs/backdoor taxes, and the big beautiful wealth transfer that's about to be stuffed up our ass, the situation is only going to get worse over the next few years. Find a way to make do with what you have that's what the taxing authorities tell me. Look at the population increase and the fact there's no police department without federal funding we're going to
М	65+	Unaf	Probably No	pay so much more
М	65+	Unaf	Probably No	Not enough detail, and cherry picked data, for me to want to pay more in taxes in this already over-priced place!
М	65+	Unaf	Probably No	The town of Wellington has shown me that they are woefully inept at managing basic services and only to eager to screw the hard working residents that struggle to pay ever increasing cost of living and more and more taxes. I also strenuously object to the ridiculous proviso for transgender funding from my hard earned paychecks.
F	35-44	Dem	Probably No	The final statement of no regard to constitutional This seems like a loophole to use more money for different things not stated in the measure.
М	35-44	Dem	Probably No	You have not shown me the budget per capita per year to know if additional funding in necessary. Have you proven competent spending given just last year the department was trying to shore up bad debt due to poor oversight and decision making.
М	45-54	Dem	Probably No	I don't think sales taxes are an appropriate way to fund these services. Property tax, income tax, or other progressive forms of taxation make more sense to me because they are more fair.
F	65+	Dem	Probably No	Everything is too expensive especially the water in Wellington

Wellington Fire Protection Dist. Ballot Measure Survey

5/30/25 - 6/10/25

"Please describe why you would vote no and reject a 0.5% sales tax increase to fund the Wellington Fire Protection District."

	Age		_	
Sex	Range	Party	Informed Ballot	Response
F	65+	Dem	Probably No	It appears that your main business is EMS, not fire. I'd want more detailed information on your fire calls in order to justify such an increase in fire related support vs EMS related expenditures. How many and what type of ambulances do you have- what EMS personnel do you have and are planning for. Do you do EMS first responses and transports?
M	18-34	Rep	Probably No	I understand the need for these positions, and how it can positively impact the department. Although, going after sales tax and sales tax of people outside of the district seems drastic Fire departments across the country make it work off of property tax so can you guys. There are plenty of grants and plenty of departments around here that are good at grants to help. A 6th penny type thing might make more sense to me not saying no, just a little drastic to me
М	18-34	Rep	Probably No	No need for a full time fire marshal with such little commercial real estate. I support every other part of the bill but would vote no based on that
F	35-44	Rep	Probably No	Still in lies the problem where does the fire department get their money? And who is managing their finances? Why is our community not accruing enough money to support the fire department without raising taxes? The fire department already gets money from every citizen in Wellington, so where is that money going and is it not being raised with the cost of living or is it being mismanaged?
F	35-44	Rep	Probably No	There has been gross misuse of funding within the Wellington City administration. Use of funds needs to be corrected, not added to.
М	35-44	Rep	Probably No	Again couldn't we combine resources and merge with a larger fire district rather than place the tax burden increase on our Wellington residents?
F	45-54	Rep	Probably No	Because cost of living has skyrocketed and does not currently match salaries. The Mayor and elected board members need to be more fiscally responsible in how they allocate the current funds they do get and prioritize safety over splitting road improvements with a developer for example. The residents of Wellington can't afford to feed themselves at this rate and every penny counts at the moment.
F	45-54	Rep	Probably No	No more taxes
F	45-54	Rep	Probably No	No new taxes
М	45-54	Rep	Probably No	I believe the additional sales tax would impact sales at local businesses.
М	45-54	Rep	Probably No	Property tax is too high already, adding sales tax is unnecessary. The state and federal government highways are responsible for most of the traffic calls and should provide funding. The size of the town burden outweighs the size of the town. Other funding options are needed
М	55-64	Rep	Probably No	Fire stations do not need to be gender neutral as there are only 2 genders, also my property taxes went up a 1000 dollars this year and we all know they will never go down so we're not offsetting any additional costs. The state keeps raising property taxes, making it nearly impossible to live here with the property tax rates.

Wellington Fire Protection Dist. Ballot Measure Survey 5/30/25 - 6/10/25

520n, +/-4.2% MoE

ange F	Party	Informed Ballot	Response
5-64 F	Rep	Probably No	Help commu ity understand existing budget and why it's not rnough
5-44 C	Oth	Probably No	Cost of living is already too high and this would make that worse.
5.64	O+h		As a retired firemedic, I understand fiscal allocation and am more interested in how current funding is being utilized. The wording in this survey implies the only means for the department to maintain its equipment and staffing world be to accept this vote.
5-6 5-4	64 I	64 Rep 44 Oth	44 Oth Probably No

	Age			
Sex	Range	Party	Informed Ballot	Response
				I think firefighters do such amazing work for everyone and deserve proper compensation and resources. It is not
F	18-34	Unaf	Definitely Yes	a large amount of money to spend extra on taxes and it would benefit an important industry.
				I support investments in infrastructure and public services. In addition, I have seen nothing but excellent work by
М	18-34	Unaf	Definitely Yes	the Wellington fire crews
				I understand the importance of a fire department and how much better a well funded department operates. A
				small increase to my taxes as well as visitors, would lead to much better resources and working conditions
М	18-34	Unaf	Definitely Yes	(hopefully pay) for the employees.
			_	The reason to vote yes would be to better benefit our growing population and ensure that our emergency medical
М		Unaf	Definitely Yes	and fire are capable of taking care of the current and futures residents of our community.
F	35-44	Unaf	Definitely Yes	Because I don't care about paying more taxes for emergency services
F	35-44	Unaf	Definitely Yes	Because then the increase will be shared among all residents not just homeowners
			_	I feel that fire protection is extremely important for all citizens, and we all rely on the important work the fire
F	35-44	Unaf	Definitely Yes	authorities do.
				I have seen first hand how they need more staffing - we have grown a significant amount in the 6 years that I
				have lived here and it only continues to grow but they do not have the funding for the staff and support,
F			Definitely Yes	equipment they need
F	35-44	Unaf	Definitely Yes	I'd much rather pay tax for a service that is necessary than other services our taxes are used for.
		_	_	Our first responders deserve more money and better equipment to save lives, protect themselves and the
F			Definitely Yes	community.
F	35-44	Unaf	Definitely Yes	Safety, knowing people will be safe
F	35-44	Unaf	Definitely Yes	The increa of people in Wellington
F	35-44	Unaf	Definitely Yes	There is no price I wouldn't pay to have a well funded and supported fire and EMS service in my community.
F	35-44	Unaf	Definitely Yes	This is important for the safety of our town
F	35-44	Unaf	Definitely Yes	This seems like an appropriate increase, necessary to accommodate our growing town.
				Why would I vote against paying an extra \$0.50 per \$100 in order to fund better fire services where I live? This
F	35-44	Unaf	Definitely Yes	seems like a no-brainer.
				Because my life might be dependent on your response time and capabilities. And you also have to replace the ice
М	35-44	Unaf	Definitely Yes	cream out of your own pockets that C shift stole.

	Age			
Sex	Range	Party	Informed Ballot	Response
				It's absolutely insane that it has to come to raising sales taxes to fund our firefighters! The board members have abused their privileges and misallocated money for far too long. Board members all need go. First the water bills and now the poor firefighters have to resort to asking for money from sales tax. I will GLADLY pay more sales tax if it means our firefighters can get the tools and equipment they need to serve this town, I'm sad to see the
М	35-44	Unaf	Definitely Yes	town board members have failed them.
М	35-44	Unaf	Definitely Yes	The reason you list make sense. I like that incomes from sales tax and not property taxes for once.
0	35-44	Unaf	Definitely Yes	Wellington is a small but growing community that needs safety and stability. To ensure these needs, support is required for our medical and emergency professionals to sustainably do their jobs to the best of their ability.
F	45-54	Unaf	Definitely Yes	I believe it is every community members responsibility to play a part in community safety and fire prevention as well as investigating instances that occur. I think it's a great way to handle it by utilizing a portion of property owners taxes. However, there are many people that live here and do not pay those taxes but should also contribute. This would be an excellent way to do that.
				Once explained more on reasoning yes Wellington needs this. I think though not only a Marshall needs to be
F	45-54	Unaf	Definitely Yes	hired but also more firefighters. Please also look into this.
F	45-54	Unaf	Definitely Yes	Tax fund civil society
F	45-54	Unaf	Definitely Yes	We need more of these services
F	45-54	Unaf	Definitely Yes	Wellington needs these services
М	45-54	Unaf	Definitely Yes	As stated before. I am a paid firefighter myself
М	45-54	Unaf	Definitely Yes	I think the fire department is a necessary part of a growing town. I would much rather pay a little extra as insurance against a massive fire or medical emergency.
M	45-54	Unaf	Definitely Yes	Includes sales tax that would require visitors and passing thru traffic to share burden of expenses. Considering many vehicle accidents and fires are caused by people visiting or passing thru the area it makes sense for them to share in cost.
М	45-54	Unaf	Definitely Yes	Not significant on my wallet but important for our growing community
М	45-54	Unaf	Definitely Yes	Seems like a good way to help fund the fire district.
М	45-54	Unaf	Definitely Yes	The tax imposed will improve safety in our community.
F	55-64	Unaf	Definitely Yes	A reasonable price to pay for these services
			-	Based on the population growth in Wellington and the increase in incidents and emergencies, additional funding
F	55-64	Unaf	Definitely Yes	is necessary to keep the citizens safe and to fairly compensate the employees deemed necessary.
F	55-64	Unaf	Definitely Yes	Cause it is needed

	Age			
Sex	_	Party	Informed Ballot	Response
				It is imperative to me, as a homeowner, to improve an upgrade the infrastructure of our community. With the water tables decreasing and the drought increasing is very important to have a good fire safety network in place.
F			Definitely Yes	Thank you for all you do.
F	55-64	Unaf	Definitely Yes	It's needed for safety of everyone in the community
F	55-64	Unaf	Definitely Yes	Small investment for great return
F	55-64	Unaf	Definitely Yes	So many people are reflexively anti-tax. This same group would be the first to complain when the lack of services negatively affect them. The increase in population and the greater risk of wildfires make a well run and well supplied FPD absolutely essential. I am glad for the opportunity to have a voice in my community and would absolutely vote for good emergency response!
М	55-64		Definitely Yes	As I already have stated this is a must to combat the growing demands and safety of the people.
М	55-64		Definitely Yes	Because it's the right thing to do to protect the community that continues to grow
М	55-64		Definitely Yes	It is a badly needed service to the community and the community is growing. It should be equipped to handle the increasing calls and incidents which have become a struggle. The community needs the support and attention to these details to feel safe here.
М	55-64		Definitely Yes	It's a small price to pay for a safer town
М	55-64		Definitely Yes	It's very little money for a much needed service.
М	55-64	Unaf	Definitely Yes	So we have a local fire department to serve our needs
F	65+	Unaf	Definitely Yes	Better protection as Wellington continues to grow.
F	65+	Unaf	Definitely Yes	Fire protection needs to keep up with the growing community and increased risk of wildfires.
F	65+	Unaf	Definitely Yes	Population Growth, not only in Wellington but surrounding areas
F	65+	Unaf	Definitely Yes	We live in a rural area that could be destroyed by a grass fire. We have had two grass fires since we've lived here caused by irresponsible neighbors. They spread very quickly and could have burned property to the ground. We need fire protection.
F	65+	Unaf	Definitely Yes	We need the fire protection especially with these fires.
F	65+	Unaf	Definitely Yes	Wellington is a growing community from a population as well as geographic perspective. This ballot measure would better align funding with the need to serve a growth community. My sense is that it is most important to provide our fire fighters with appropriately current tools to insure their safety as well as offer the citizens of Wellington necessary and reliable equipment both now and in the future to accommodate community growth and safety. I see this as investment in our infrastructure and providing necessary leadership through a fire marshall. This would place Wellington in a competitive position for growth and attracting new families and businesses to our community

0	Age	Dante	Informed Dellat	Desmana
Sex	Range	Party	Informed Ballot	Response With the parthern Colorede growing as fact Wellington peods to stay shoot of the growth the peod that some
F	65+	Unaf	Dofinitaly Voc	With the northern Colorado growing so fast, Wellington needs to stay ahead of the growth, the need that comes with that growth, along with the environmental changes because of the lack of rain.
М	65+	Unaf	Definitely Yes Definitely Yes	Climate
M	65+	Unaf		
IVI	03 +	Ullai	Definitely Yes	Generally, Wellington Fire is underfunded.
М	65+	Unaf	Definitely Yes	Good firefighting requires good equipment and manpower, and it requires good money. I must bring to your attention the great need for impact fees.
M	65+	Unaf	Definitely Yes	We need the service. We alsoneed a full zime ambulance service here. Mabe this can help with that.
IVI	03+	Ullai	Definitely 165	we need the service. We disoneed a full zime ambulance service here. Made this can help with that.
F	18-34	Dem	Definitely Yes	When I need to call 911, I want to know someone is coming to help! A small increase is an appropriate cost to pay
M		Dem	Definitely Yes	To keep our community safe
F	35-44	Dem	Definitely Yes	All the reasons outlined in this survey. We need strong fire protection services.
F		Dem	Definitely Yes	High fire risk in co
F		Dem	Definitely Yes	Hopefully I won't need Fire services, but if I do I want to support their ability to quickly assist me.
F	35-44	Dem	Definitely Yes	It is needed to safely Support a growing town
				It's a no brainer. Fire services are an absolute need for ALL citizens and they need to be funded appropriately.
F	35-44	Dem	Definitely Yes	The sales tax is so small compared to the critical services and peace of mind that are provided
F	35-44	Dem	Definitely Yes	Local needs will continue to outpace available funds as the area grows.
			,	The area will continue to grow and our necessary resources, like first responders and fire protection, must be
F	35-44	Dem	Definitely Yes	able to update and grow with the changing population's needs.
				The town is growing, there's drought, and more traffic than ever around here. It's critical to get the staffing and
F	35-44	Dem	Definitely Yes	equipment that's needed to aid in these rescue efforts and operations.
F	35-44	Dem	Definitely Yes	Varied revenue source coming from visitors as well as residents.
				As a firefighter I hope to never need to call you. But if I do need to call, I want to make sure the department has
				adequate funding to arrive in a timely manner with working equipment and highly trained personnel. Our family
М		Dem	Definitely Yes	will always support initiatives that benefit the community.
0	35-44	Dem	Definitely Yes	Our first responders need support!
				The first responders who have helped me this year were very kind and reassuring and professional at a time
				when I was in diagnosis limbo and expecting people not to believe I really needed help. They've also put up with a
				lot of gross vomit and such from me. I hope this gets them what they need. Some of the language in the
			.	measure makes it sound a little fishy as it kinda sounds like there are a lot of loopholes that could later be
0	35-44	Dem	Definitely Yes	exploited by government officials. It might help to make some things clearer.

	Age			
Sex	Range	Party	Informed Ballot	Response
				I support Wellington fire and am thankful for all they do. I know wildland fires have increased. I have seen the
F	45-54	Dem	Definitely Yes	increase in accidents as well.
F	45-54	Dem	Definitely Yes	Town population has grown by leaps and bounds, FPD should be allowed to keep up!
				All public services need to readily available to all residents as quickly as possible. Fire protection is high on my
М	45-54	Dem	Definitely Yes	list
М	45-54	Dem	Definitely Yes	They need a much larger budget to manage the higher load and increased risk from the environment.
				Firefighters put their lives at risk in order to help others. And understanding how this money would be collected
0	45-54	Dem	Definitely Yes	and used. I would be happy to pay this extra amount.
				Due to the information being shared in this survey, it's apparent there is a need for additional funding to assist
F	55-64	Dem	Definitely Yes	with fire protection in Wellington.
				I have no issues with the money going straight to Fire District. Hopefully the bad financial decisions of the town
F	55-64	Dem	Definitely Yes	will not affect you receiving the money
F	55-64	Dem	Definitely Yes	I just bought a house in Wellington and I want to know I have a good fire district to keep me and my property safe.
				In order to provide safe and timely services in our growing town, we need to expand services and have the
F		Dem	Definitely Yes	equipment and personnel to do so.
F	55-64	Dem	Definitely Yes	Small price for increased services.
F	55-64	Dem	Definitely Yes	The services the WFPD provides is absolutely needed and needs to be funded.
М	55-64	Dem	Definitely Yes	For increased protection.
F	65+	Dem	Definitely Yes	Consistent funding will improve and bolster the ability to plan and be more strategic with improvements.
				Fire protection is critical. We lived thru the High Park fires up the Poudre in 2012. Our volunteer firefighters were
F	65+	Dem	Definitely Yes	so good. So we want to support Wellington firefighters as well.
F	65+	Dem	Definitely Yes	For the secure feeling of safety that I have living out here knowing they are close by.
F	65+	Dem	Definitely Yes	Having a well funded fire department is essential for our community
				I am a strong supporter of taxes that improve services for many people with the relatively small \$ amount paid
				by each person. Like support for schools, libraries, and now fire protection. This of course hinges on the fire
				district being a good steward of these funds, which apparently has not been the case in the past. I base my
				replies on the assumption that the fire district has experienced a turnover of personnel that will lead to the funds
F	65+	Dem	Definitely Yes	being used very responsibly.
				I think sales tax is the fairest tax because everyone that will need the services helps pay for it whereas renters
F	65+	Dem	Definitely Yes	don't pay property taxes.

	Age			
Sex	Range	Party	Informed Ballot	Response
				I will always vote to support first respondersas long as I can trust the money will be used wiselypast problems
F	65+	Dem	Definitely Yes	cause concerns
				Nothing is more important than protecting our community and maintaining and improving these services. They
F	65+	Dem	Definitely Yes	do so much and deserve the best
				Population growth in the area has has increased significantly since I moved here 20 years ago. I know nothing
F	65+	Dem	Definitely Yes	about the district's budget in that period. But I can't imagine that it has kept pace with the growth in the area.
F	65+	Dem	Definitely Yes	These professional emergency personnel need our support for their equipment and services.
F	65+	Dem	Definitely Yes	They are there for us
F	65+	Dem	Definitely Yes	To protect the life and safety of the inhabitants of the Wellington Fire Protection District.
				We had a lightning strike near where we live, setting a field on fire. The fire department's quick response is why
F	65+	Dem	Definitely Yes	there was no significant damage. I'm very grateful for that.
F	65+	Dem	Definitely Yes	We need experienced personnel
F	65+	Dem	Definitely Yes	We need the funds to support the needs of WFD
F	65+	Dem	Definitely Yes	We need to prepare for the growth happening in Wellington.
F	65+	Dem	Definitely Yes	Wellington's population is increasing, which,of course, increases the need.
				It is essential that resources are adequate to meet the needs of a growing population and climate change that
М	65+	Dem	Definitely Yes	increases the risk of wildfires.
М	65+	Dem	Definitely Yes	My costs have gone up , so I am sure their costs have too.
F	18-34	Rep	Definitely Yes	Non residents help with funding and it's a small amount
				Public safety is and should be a priority for funding always. Keeping our community safe and making sure the
F	18-34	Rep	Definitely Yes	best people are responding and have the equipment to do a good job is a top priority
F	18-34	Rep	Definitely Yes	Someone has to do these jobs that are not easy and should be compensated correctly for the work they do
F	18-34	Rep	Definitely Yes	We need fire protection
М	18-34	Rep	Definitely Yes	It would give more money to the department to upgrade much needed equipment
М	18-34	Rep	Definitely Yes	This would increase dept. Fund balance for capital purchases.
				As a firefighter for the Poudre Fire Authority, I am very aware of the struggles Wellington has faced regarding it's
				fire department as well as the area's increased call volume. I belive this is a huge step in the right direction for
F	35-44	Rep	Definitely Yes	this Fire department and am glad to see it's being considered for the ballot!
				As someone who has needed services by the Wellington fire department in the past I appreciated the quick
F	35-44	Rep	Definitely Yes	response and the only way to keep that is to make sure they have adequate funding.
F	35-44	Rep	Definitely Yes	To ensure proper emergency services 100% of the time for our growing need in Wellington.

Age			
Range	Party	Informed Ballot	Response
			Wellington is a very special small town one of the only left on northern Colorado. We need to do all we can to
35-44	Rep	Definitely Yes	protect and keep our small community safe!
			As I said on my previous statement, I support our first responders. They need new and upgraded equipment,
35-44	Rep	Definitely Yes	maintained vehicles, and good salaries. These are the men and women who save life's. I'll fully back this vote.
			Firefighters are a very valuable part of our community and need the resources to keep us all safe. Finally a tax
		•	increase that is for something logical
	Rep	Definitely Yes	All the reasons stated above.
45-54	Rep	Definitely Yes	Residents don't understand the capacity of work the fire department must sustain
45-54	Rep	Definitely Yes	Increased population must be supported correctly by the fire department.
45-54	Rep	Definitely Yes	It's for our safety as well as thiers
45-54	Rep	Definitely Yes	Wellington is growing very rapidly, we need the protection.
			We live in rural Wellington with no hydrants. With a drought, wildfires need to be addressed quickly as our winds
55-64	Rep	Definitely Yes	will carry a fire for miles.
55-64	Rep	Definitely Yes	The increase in call volume and need for safe equipment and appropriate staffing is crucial for the Fire district.
			What's .05 cents on the dollar compared to inflation that's about 4.5% more more now. Besides the town of
			Wellington needs a great Fire Department as it grows. That would assure the lesser risk of total devastation to
55-64	Rep	Definitely Yes	our homes in our community.
65+	Rep	Definitely Yes	Because they diserve it
			I believe it is necessary for the Wellington fire department to do their job properly with up to date equipment and
65+	Rep	Definitely Yes	a fire marshal to conduct reasonable inspections to make sure buildings are up to code.
65+	Rep	Definitely Yes	I feel it's very important to have a good fire department
			I feel the public safety in our area is well protected by the Wellington fire district. We need to see it stays
65+	Rep	Definitely Yes	supported.
			I think they do a great job now. But as growth increaees& just by the nature of location and risk of wildfires, it is
65+	Rep	Definitely Yes	critical to maintain sufficient staffing and best equipment to protect our community.
			It is critical for the safety of residents in Wellington. A 05% tax increase isn't hard for the public to pay. The
			benefits way outnumber the 0.50 cents on one hundred dollars. Let's keep our fire fighters safe with updated
65+	Rep	Definitely Yes	equipment
			Running on a limited full time associate, could affect both emergency meedical, accidents, police calls?, time
65+	Rep	Definitely Yes	wise helping the people calling into 911
65+	Rep	Definitely Yes	Town is growing a lot. We need them
	35-44 35-44 35-44 45-54 45-54 45-54 45-54 55-64 55-64 55-64 65+ 65+ 65+	Range Party 35-44 Rep 35-44 Rep 45-54 Rep 45-54 Rep 45-54 Rep 45-54 Rep 45-54 Rep 55-64 Rep 55-64 Rep 65+ Rep	RangePartyInformed Ballot35-44RepDefinitely Yes35-44RepDefinitely Yes45-54RepDefinitely Yes45-54RepDefinitely Yes45-54RepDefinitely Yes45-54RepDefinitely Yes45-54RepDefinitely Yes55-64RepDefinitely Yes55-64RepDefinitely Yes55-64RepDefinitely Yes65+RepDefinitely Yes

"Please describe why you would vote yes and approve 0.5% sales tax increase to fund the Wellington Fire Protection District."

	Age			
Sex		Party	Informed Ballot	Response
				We've lived in the Wellington fire protection district for 48 years. Over that time we have seen enormous growth
				in housing, and traffic. We have the upmost respect for the fire protection offered by these departments. They
F	65+	Rep	Definitely Yes	have always been extremely professional and efficient in my opinion. We back these fire houses and staff 100%
F	65+	Rep	Definitely Yes	Whoever wrote did a great job. I now feel at least a little bit about the proposal.
М	65+	Rep	Definitely Yes	Fire department has a long history (30 yr) of excellence. Therefore I trust you to continue responsible excellence.
				It appears that funding would be more reliable and consistent, rather than depending on property sales tax. Also,
			_	over the years, I have had personal experience with WFPD, with medical emergencies and property fire. They
М		Rep	Definitely Yes	deserve the Wellington communities support.
М	65+	Rep	Definitely Yes	It isn't that costly. The services they provide need to be funded.
М	65+	Rep	Definitely Yes	Need revenues to operate fire stations and personnel.
М	65+	Rep	Definitely Yes	Sounds needed and reasonable
				There is always a need for more personal and upgraded equipment (and training) to insure safety for the fire
М	65+	Rep	Definitely Yes	personnel and citizens.
М	65+	Rep	Definitely Yes	We need more protection
М	35-44	Oth	Definitely Yes	I believe diversifying revenue streams is important and that this now being allowed is a great thing
F	18-34	Unaf	Probably Yes	Because having enough ems staff and up to date resources is important to my families safety
				Our climate is going to continue to get more unstable, we need funding for emergency services for wildfires,
				stupid people not taking warnings to not burn things and therefore needing help from emergency services, and
				those working need safe equipment to do so. And it makes sense to have someone in place for oversight. It's an
F	18-34	Unaf	Probably Yes	important part of making our community safe. We get what we pay for.
				The example that a 0.5% sales tax on a \$100 purchase is only 50 cents helps put into perspective the reality that
				consumers won't face a significant burden from this new tax. I also think it's important to have adequate funding
М	18-34	Unaf	Probably Yes	for the fire department and the idea that the department's funding sources can be diversified is appealing to me.
				\$.50 on a \$100 purchase will not break the bank. I would donate the money if asked, either way. I feel like fire and
F	35-44	Unaf	Probably Yes	safety are important issues to have addressed for a community.
_				Common sense. We are a growing little town and need these services. I wish we had a sub station in the
F	35-44	Unaf	Probably Yes	eastside of I-25
_	05			I already know that they are operating within a limited budget and providing excellent service but it would
F	35-44	Unaf	Probably Yes	absolutely benefit them to have additional personnel and resouces.

Wellington Fire Protection Dist. Ballot Measure Survey 5/30/25 - 6/10/25

	Age		. 4	_
Sex	Range	Party	Informed Ballot	Response
				I do think it's important to fund emergency services and ensure wildfire prevention and proactive response are
F	1		,	important.
F	35-44	Unaf	•	It might be wise to separate these issuesincrease funding being one. Adding sales tax being the other.
F	35-44	Unaf	Probably Yes	We need a funding source. Sales tax is an appropriate means.
				Being a former volunteer fire fighter and EMT, I know the importance of funding and excellent
				training/equipment. As I was unaware of this possibility on the ballot, I would need more time to evaluate
М			Probably Yes	whether I believe this would be a good idea.
М	35-44	Unaf	Probably Yes	Safety is important
				The sales tax is small but would greatly help the FD. If Wellington would bring in more commercial store (ie
				grocery stores, restaurants) the sales tax would be a huge benefit to them. Also a fire marshall would be used
М			Probably Yes	more and worth our money if the infrastructure was there
М	35-44	Unaf	Probably Yes	The services the department provides are vital to the community
				Arguments for the increase seem reasonable. Wellington needs to do better across the board with services to
F	45-54	Unaf	Probably Yes	attract positive growth and development.
				Because fire protection is a must and they have been in our neighborhood helping with fires or medical
F	45-54	Unaf	Probably Yes	assistance and myself with alarm issues and we need them !
				I probably would vote Yes. I need to take the coming months to consider the reasoning even more, particularly
F			Probably Yes	the addition of a position. The other reasons make sense at this time.
F	45-54	Unaf	Probably Yes	It is a much needed service for the community and needs funding.
F	45-54		Probably Yes	We have a child with complex medical needs and knowing help is near gives us peace of mind
М	45-54	Unaf	Probably Yes	Full time fire protection is critical for residents and non-residents alike
М	45-54	Unaf	Probably Yes	I support increasing available budget for the fire district as long as it is responsibly used
М	45-54	Unaf	Probably Yes	Public safety is critically important
F	55-64	Unaf	Probably Yes	Growing community
				I like that the cost is shared with customers outside our district. These needs need to be funded somehow and
				our property taxes are already so high. One negative is this tax is forever - not just for a certain term. But these
F	55-64	Unaf	Probably Yes	needs for funding aren't going away either.
				Need the protection! Any assistance to aid in funding that protection would be appreciated as a resident of
				Wellington for the past 20 plus years. Watched this community grow from 1200 residents to now close to 15,000.
				With this much population growth we need a functional and operational and safe fire protection agency. Paying
F	55-64	Unaf	Probably Yes	50 cents on 100 dollars spent I believe is well worth the investment.

"Please describe why you would vote yes and approve 0.5% sales tax increase to fund the Wellington Fire Protection District."

	Age			
Sex	Range	Party	Informed Ballot	Response
М	55-64	Unaf	Probably Yes	Replace and repair damaged equipment. Increased call volume. Fulltime Marshall, can eventually be in OIC rotation to provide freedom for advanced officer training.
			-	The Fire Dept. has always been there for us when we needed help so whatever I can do to support their mission
М	55-64	Unaf	Probably Yes	to be there for others when needed.
М	55-64	Unaf	Probably Yes	The services are for everyone using retail locations,traveling through and living in Wellington.
F	65+	Unaf	Probably Yes	Not sure we need a fire marshal full time. However our long-term drought conditions are worrisome and expect they will only continue.
F	65+	Unaf	Probably Yes	Sounds like you need more funds
F	65+	Unaf	Probably Yes	The population in this area is increasing. Unfortunately, the funding for emergency services should increase accordingly. This increase in sales tax seems to be fair and not overtly out of line. I needed these services a few years back and I know that I appreciate it.
F	65+	Unaf	Probably Yes	Town is growing and so are the needs of residents.
М	65+	Unaf	Probably Yes	Based on info provided, it does appear that increasing population and climate related workloads have increased—increased funding sources may well be necessary to keep service functions stable
М	65+	Unaf	Probably Yes	Growth in the area warrants consistent funds for emergency services.
М	65+	Unaf	Probably Yes	I agree with almost everything mentioned above. The main item I am not sure of is hiring the fire marshal. If it is more cost effective to outsource that position then more funding is available for staff and equipment.
М	65+	Unaf	Probably Yes	Minimal payment for security of District
М	65+	Unaf	Probably Yes	Need a competent firefighter
М	65+	Unaf	Probably Yes	Only hesitation is because the last property tax increase was totally wasted by Chief Green
М	65+	Unaf	Probably Yes	Small amount for needed services
М	65+	Unaf	Probably Yes	The increasing risk factors
F	18-34	Dem	Probably Yes	Helps provide funding for crucial services for our residents.
F	18-34	Dem	Probably Yes	I think Wellington has grown significantly and will continue to grow. We need more support for the Fire Department and resources. I would be in favor of this so the community could have more help when issues arise. This would be value add to our growing community.
F	18-34	Dem	Probably Yes	While I have fortunately never needed the Wellington fire services it is comforting knowing they are here and available 24/7. Our firefighters should be adequately equipped and staffed to help the community. I would want them to be fully prepared in the event my family ever needed them
М	18-34	Dem	Probably Yes	We need the extra assistance
F	35-44	Dem	Probably Yes	I'm not against funding our emergency services in Wellington. I do want assurance that the department is well- run and the staff knows what they are doing because I know some of them are incompetent.

Wellington Fire Protection Dist. Ballot Measure Survey 5/30/25 - 6/10/25

	Age			
Sex	Range	Party		Response
F	35-44	Dem	Probably Yes	More people in the area call for more fire protection and prevention
F	35-44	Dem	Probably Yes	More wildfire risk means we need more resources to protect the community.
F	35-44	Dem	Probably Yes	Wellington has grown and only having 1 fire station to respond to all the calls will need more man power.
М	35-44	Dem	Probably Yes	Municipal services are necessary for a thriving and growing community
М	35-44	Dem	Probably Yes	Small price to pay if you are buying stuff in town.
F	45-54	Dem	Probably Yes	Our community is only getting bigger and with that we will need more resources.
F	45-54	Dem	Probably Yes	Our fire department does so much more than just keep us safe. They're a cornerstone of our community, providing not only emergency services but also fostering a sense of unity and security. Their kindness and presence make us all feel connected and supported. From rescuing pets to attending kids' birthday parties and teaching children about safety, their contributions enrich our lives in countless ways. Supporting them means investing in the well-being and cohesion of our entire community.
F	45-54	Dem	Probably Yes	Wellington continues to grow and will require more services
M	45-54	Dem	Probably Yes	They need a fire marshall
F			Probably Yes	1. Increased population, 2. Increased chances of wildfires due to drought and climate change, 3. The need of an internal fire Marshall instead of outsourcing.
F	55-64	Dem	Probably Yes	Seems to raise more money and less pain full and property taxes are high
F	55-64	Dem	Probably Yes	There is a need for everything listed but 0.25% is much more palatable and may poll better than 0.50%
М	55-64	Dem	Probably Yes	As a retired FF I am fully aware of operational needs and the fiscal constraints that can be limiting. An in-house FM is a worthwhile expense— as long a it isn't the start of making the Dept. Top heavy and as long as the budget is being run as efficiently as possible.
М	55-64	Dem	Probably Yes	FF safety and public safety.
F	65+	Dem	Probably Yes	Funding core services is spread across residents.
F	65+	Dem	Probably Yes	It is a sensible way to increase funding for the District and would be contributed by both residents and visitors.
F	65+	Dem	Probably Yes	The growing need of the community requires more services. This small tax would support that.
М	65+	Dem	Probably Yes	I rely on the services the district provides if I am ever in need of such
М	65+	Dem	Probably Yes	Is the audit of fire district revenues from property tax assements and expenditures, available for public examination and if so how does one gain access of such audits?
М	65+	Dem	Probably Yes	It is a minimal user-related tax.
F	18-34	Rep	Probably Yes	I think it's important for Wellington to have the correct funding to provide for a growing community

"Please describe why you would vote yes and approve 0.5% sales tax increase to fund the Wellington Fire Protection District."

	Age			
Sex	Range	Party	Informed Ballot	Response
				I would most likely vote Yes for a tax increase to fund and maintain equipment, recruiting and retention and ADT requirements met at both stations but I would need to clear outline more specific about exactly when and where the money would go and what equipment needed upgraded as WFPD just upgraded their engine I would need
М	18-34	Rep	Probably Yes	more about what equipment needs replacing, cost, etc
F	35-44		Probably Yes	I understand The increase of residence In Wellington, therefore needing an increase of fire protection In Wellington. I understand how important funding is and where the funding goes.
F	35-44	Rep	Probably Yes	It sounds like there is need for the funds. My hesitation is that we will continue to be asked to increase the tax un the future.
М	35-44	Rep	Probably Yes	All police, fire and medical services should always be well funded. That line of work deserves it
М	35-44	Rep	Probably Yes	Because we are growing and need the protection on both sides of the narrow bridge.
		•	j	Public service is a critical role in any community. The services they provide should be funded to the appropriate
М	35-44	Rep	Probably Yes	extent.
М	35-44	Rep	Probably Yes	We need them!
F	45-54	Rep	Probably Yes	Peace of mind that Fire Dept is fully funded knowing that Wellington is rapidly growing.
F	45-54	Rep	Probably Yes	With what I read sounds like they need more help funding the two stations.
М	45-54	Rep	Probably Yes	Additional funding is needed
М	45-54	Rep	Probably Yes	I want to support local emergency sevices
М	45-54	Rep	Probably Yes	This tax directly supports the community and provides the monetary resources needed to provide our first responders with equipment and training
F		Rep	Probably Yes	Because we are growing
F	55-64	Rep	Probably Yes	Keep people safe
М	55-64	Rep	Probably Yes	It would benefit the fire department
F	65+	Rep	Probably Yes	Because we NEED you!!
F	65+	Rep	Probably Yes	I can about protecting the town and people's of Wellington.
F	65+	Rep	Probably Yes	I would review your ballot again and research it before I voted. I believe the Fire Department does need the funding to keep us safe in Wellington and surrounding area.
F	65+	Rep	Probably Yes	Sales tax seems to be the logical way to coilect funds necessary to fund the Wellington Fire Protection District considering the growth of the community.
F	65+	Rep	Probably Yes	Very logical to the growing needs of population, etc.
М	65+	Rep	Probably Yes	I live on 10acres and would value increased wildlife protection.
М	65+	Rep	Probably Yes	Increased coverage although fire marshal seems like an over reach. Would be more supportive of increased facilities and equipment

Wellington Fire Protection Dist. Ballot Measure Survey

5/30/25 - 6/10/25

	Age			
Sex	Range	Party	Informed Ballot	Response
				It's important to have accurate accountability in order for the public to understand and support. Too many
М	65+	Rep	Probably Yes	agencies have been fleeced due to inaccurate accountability. Every dollar spent should have accountability.
М	65+	Rep	Probably Yes	Necessary service to community
				The community is growing and protection is necessary. The fire district needs to have the funds required for
М	65+	Rep	Probably Yes	consistent operations!
М	35-44	Oth	Probably Yes	To give them more revenue for the service they provide wellington's citizens

"Do you have any final thoughts, opinions you would like to share with the Wellington Fire regarding funding or the potential ballot measure?"

	Age		
Sex	Range	Party	Response
F	18-34	Unaf	A fire Marshal is not needed for a department their size, if you start needing more stations or getting to the size of say PFA sure have one then. But for a department that gets so few calls not needed
F	18-34	Unaf	This area will be little babies about putting the word "gender neutral" on a ballot measure. It makes sense to me as a reasonable person but living here I know how fragile the community has become to any idea of making something inclusive or just easy for everyone.
F	18-34	Unaf	This is important to have a marshal and keep up with demand, but is there another better way to fund these changes? Also not sure abt the last paragraph
М	18-34	Unaf	I think overall the ballot measure is good but if it gets put on the ballot it will be important to campaign on the fact that the tax will have a minimal impact on consumers while having a substantial positive impact on the functioning of the fire department. When ballot measures propose tax increases, average voters get scared and are naturally hesitant, so breaking down the impact of the tax will help voters be more receptive to the proposal.
М	18-34	Unaf	It's a lazy way to try and grab more money from a broke town for under qualified employees
М	18-34	Unaf	Please go through with it! By being proactive you are showing your dedication to service and community.
F	35-44	Unaf	22 full time and 12 part time firefighters sounds like a lot of people. It takes a lot of people to provide these services effectively, but I might list it instead as how many people it provides per firehouse, per shift. How many emergencies can be responded to at one time? Who responds if they're busy?
F	35-44	Unaf	I do understand costs are higher, property tax is more volatile, and maintenance and a fire marshal are important. I would highly suggest rethinking the informational piece on making sure you're maintaining equipment you have. It strongly indicates that you're not managing finances effectively now to make sure your equipment is good. Knowing that in years past the fire department has had financial management issues, this doesn't give me much faith on how that's changed. I've been rolling with not hearing any bad news, but that really did give me concern. No reason to add funding if funding just needs to be handled better now.
F	35-44	Unaf	I think it's important to pay our emergency services a living wage and provide safe equipment in order for them to save lives
F	35-44	Unaf	I'd be down for a higher tax to stay safe
F	35-44	Unaf	Just knowing who lives in Wellington, maybe take out the wording about gender-neutral bathrooms save yourself a fight
F	35-44	Unaf	People are financially struggling as it is, to ask this at this time, makes things more stressful and hard. It would be great if there was a better option such as a grant or something besides putting the costs on the townspeople. Wellington should also have their own city police, that could possibly help too.
F	35-44	Unaf	Please understand that residents already pay a large amount in taxes and that Wellington residents in particular are facing too many rising costs because of irresponsible spending by the government.
F	35-44	Unaf	Thank you for all that you do for the community

"Do you have any final thoughts, opinions you would like to share with the Wellington Fire regarding funding or the potential ballot measure?"

	Age		
Sex	Range	Party	Response
F	35-44	Unaf	The men and women that keep us safe are an asset to our community and they deserve proper pay, training and support from the community.
F	35-44	Unaf	The wording makes me think the proposed ballet measure would decrease the current property taxes but learning more about it I realized the property taxes would stay the same. I think it is misleading which would probably make me vote no now
F	35-44	Unaf	This is never any way to know where that sales tax is actually going and who pocket it may be padding. I know there has been some past things with the cushioning of pockets in the department that would have to be addressed and we would have to know how to know it is actually going to the department for gear and jobs and such.
F	35-44	Unaf	This was a really long survey, and I was already going to vote yes so the questions seemed irrelevant.
F	35-44	Unaf	Why pursue a training center when Poudre Fire Authority has one and could help with coverage? Apparatus, personnel, and expensive are so expensive that I would like those prioritized (or a third station even) prior to a training ground.
М	35-44	Unaf	A statistical crosswalk/comparison between WFPD and other nearby FD's, including comparably sized districts, on the metrics reported on in the ballot language would be both more transparent and compelling to me as a voter.
М	35-44	Unaf	Hiring a part time grants manager is much more effective use of taxpayer money.
М	35-44	Unaf	I believe the department is on a good path to become a premier department in the northern region.
М	35-44	Unaf	I do believe we should ensure that the FD is meeting state requirements for certifications and qualifications so that the people operating the expensive equipment that the taxpayer is paying for will be taken care of and maintained.
М	35-44	Unaf	Property taxes not sales taxes
М	35-44	Unaf	Thank you for all that you guys do to help and serve this town!
М	35-44	Unaf	The fire department should hold their own fundraisers separate from the money allocated to them. The money collected should be put in its own non profit account. My brother is a fire fighter in Kansas and his town had to do this to secure funding for upgrading their equipment. This way the money can't be taken away and used for a shortfall somewhere else. Also people are more generous than you think and it would help keep taxes down.
M		Unaf	Transparency and oversight of department spending would create more trust and support for increased taxes.
0		Unaf	Stop trying to tax me every time I spend a taxed dollar. Get more money from the state
0		Unaf	Thank you for serving the community of Wellington!
F	45-54	Unaf	Good luck getting this passed, I will definitely be at the polls to support you guys!
			I am all for raising taxes to support infrastructure and safety. However, I have 0 faith in the town of Wellingtons ability to manage
			anything well enough to vote for an increase. I would 100% vote for this measure if there were safeguards and fiscal transparency
F	45-54	Unaf	in place
F	45-54	Unaf	I appreciate the WFD!
F	45-54	Unaf	I think continue to educate the community and do the community dinners and things so you are top of mind
F	45-54	Unaf	I think it sounds great and it's sad the town hadn't helped or had bad spending from what I have read.

Wellington Fire Protection Dist. Ballot Measure Survey

5/30/25 - 6/10/25

"Do you have any final thoughts, opinions you would like to share with the Wellington Fire regarding funding or the potential ballot measure?"

Sex Range Party Response I would possibly vote in favor if there were more information regarding the types of calls. The cost of outsourcing fire in duties and more transparency in financial changes made by the department.	Age		
F 45-54 Unaf duties and more transparency in financial changes made by the department. M 45-54 Unaf Be transparent and visible Even with potential increases in funding for the district it is paramount that leadership set up and meet with rural resingeographic areas with high residential density to set up community resiliency committees who can organize emergence and resource guidelines. In moments of crisis it is essential that neighbors know their emergency plans and can act ell in the last two emergencies that I have been part of, everyone was running around like a bunch of chickens. M 45-54 Unaf Fight with the politicians to get your fair share of the property tax money. Growth has resulted in greater financial needs. With Wellington already experiencing higher property taxes and extrem pricing it may be a bigger financial burden to some M 45-54 Unaf I do not have enough information about the WFPD to make an informed decision at this time. I do value the services provided by the men and women of the Wellington Fire Protection District, but we are all hurting and I pray you remember the cost of your potentially good fortune in the coming days. I would be more convinced to increase taxes if the department was proposing a permanent presence east of I25. It's how more recognizing the overpass bottleneck that should be resolved. M 45-54 Unaf We need to do our part to support those who will help us when the time comes. In summary, I would love to Vote yes on the proposed sale tax increase, but not without oversight of spending, you can any sort of American government now a days when they say "trust us, we will do what's right with the extra money." Come back when you have proven you are fiscally responsible and have reimbursed the money owed the taxpayers since this Country, we used to have the mindset to help each other on the bus but now we get thrown under the bus. LIKE KIDS, MINE! Shame on YOU after only 3 Months of Unionizing you want in OUR pockets!! Remember, the BOARD is suppose to a nad save tax		Party	Response
M 45-54 Unaf Be transparent and visible Even with potential increases in funding for the district it is paramount that leadership set up and meet with rural resing geographic areas with high residential density to set up community resiliency committees who can organize emergency and resource guidelines. In moments of crisis it is essential that neighbors know their emergency plans and can act et in the last two emergencies that I have been part of, everyone was running around like a bunch of chickens. M 45-54 Unaf Fight with the politicians to get your fair share of the property tax money. Growth has resulted in greater financial needs. With Wellington already experiencing higher property taxes and extrem pricing it may be a bigger financial burden to some I do not have enough information about the WFPD to make an informed decision at this time. I do value the services provided by the men and women of the Wellington Fire Protection District, but we are all hurting and 1 pray you remember the cost of your potentially good fortune in the coming days. I would be more convinced to increase taxes if the department was proposing a permanent presence east of I25. It's how the department was proposing a permanent presence east of I25. It's how the services provided by the men and women of the Wellington Fire Protection District, but we are all hurting and 1 pray you remember the cost of your potentially good fortune in the coming days. I would be more convinced to increase taxes if the department was proposing a permanent presence east of I25. It's how the foreign provided by the men and women of the Wellington Fire Protection District, but we are all hurting and 1 pray you remember the cost of your potentially good fortune in the coming days. I would be more convinced to increase taxes if the department was proposing a permanent presence east of I25. It's how the foreign provided by the more recognizing the overpass bottleneck that should be resolved. M 45-54 Unaf We need to do our part to support those who			I would possibly vote in favor if there were more information regarding the types of calls. The cost of outsourcing fire marshall
Even with potential increases in funding for the district it is paramount that leadership set up and meet with rural resigeographic areas with high residential density to set up community resiliency committees who can organize emergency and resource guidelines. In moments of crisis it is essential that neighbors know their emergency plans and can act elements of crisis it is essential that neighbors know their emergency plans and can act elements. M 45-54 Unaf Fight with the politicians to get your fair share of the property tax money. Growth has resulted in greater financial needs. With Wellington already experiencing higher property taxes and extrem pricing it may be a bigger financial burden to some I do not have enough information about the WFPD to make an informed decision at this time. I do value the services provided by the men and women of the Wellington Fire Protection District, but we are all hurting and I pray you remember the cost of your potentially good fortune in the coming days. I would be more convinced to increase taxes if the department was proposing a permanent presence east of I25. It's homore recognizing the overpass bottleneck that should be resolved. M 45-54 Unaf Keep up the amazing work! M 45-54 Unaf We need to do our part to support those who will help us when the time comes. In summary, I would love to Vote yes on the proposed sale tax increase, but not without oversight of spending, you cam any sort of American government now a days when they say "trust us, we will do what's right with the extra money." Come back when you have proven you are fiscally responsible and have reimbursed the money owed the taxpayers since this Country, we used to have the mindset to help each other on the bus but now we get thrown under the bus. LIKE KIDS, MINE! Shame on YOU after only 3 Months of Unionizing you want in OUR pockets!! Remember, the BOARD is suppose to responded the money ower equired additional funding from the housing development I am unable to answer if I would vote for the meas		Unaf	duties and more transparency in financial changes made by the department.
geographic areas with high residential density to set up community resiliency committees who can organize emergency and resource guidelines. In moments of crisis it is essential that neighbors know their emergency plans and can act e in the last two emergencies that I have been part of, everyone was running around like a bunch of chickens. M 45-54 Unaf Fight with the politicians to get your fair share of the property tax money. Growth has resulted in greater financial needs. With Wellington already experiencing higher property taxes and extrem pricing it may be a bigger financial burden to some I do not have enough information about the WFPD to make an informed decision at this time. I do value the services provided by the men and women of the Wellington Fire Protection District, but we are all hurting and I pray you remember the cost of your potentially good fortune in the coming days. I would be more convinced to increase taxes if the department was proposing a permanent presence east of I25. It's home recognizing the overpass bottleneck that should be resolved. M 45-54 Unaf Seep up the amazing work! M 45-54 Unaf Keep up the amazing work! M 45-54 Unaf We need to do our part to support those who will help us when the time comes. In summary, I would love to Vote yes on the proposed sale tax increase, but not without oversight of spending, you can any sort of American government now a days when they say "trust us, we will do what's right with the extra money." Come back when you have proven you are fiscally responsible and have reimbursed the money owed the taxpayers since this Country, we used to have the mindset to help each other on the bus but now we get thrown under the bus. LIKE KIDS, MINE! Shame on YOU after only 3 Months of Unionizing you want in OUR pockets!! Remember, the BOARD is suppose to rand save taxpayers! F 55-64 Unaf Get rid of Christine Gaiter F 55-64 Unaf Get over required additional funding from the housing development I am unable to answer if I would vote for the measure becaus	45-54	Unaf	Be transparent and visible
and resource guidelines. In moments of crisis it is essential that neighbors know their emergency plans and can act e In the last two emergencies that I have been part of, everyone was running around like a bunch of chickens. M 45-54 Unaf Fight with the politicians to get your fair share of the property tax money. Growth has resulted in greater financial needs. With Wellington already experiencing higher property taxes and extrem pricing it may be a bigger financial burden to some M 45-54 Unaf I do not have enough information about the WFPD to make an informed decision at this time. I do value the services provided by the men and women of the Wellington Fire Protection District, but we are all hurting and I pray you remember the cost of your potentially good fortune in the coming days. I would be more convinced to increase taxes if the department was proposing a permanent presence east of I25. It's how a 45-54 Unaf Material Mater			Even with potential increases in funding for the district it is paramount that leadership set up and meet with rural residents in
M 45-54 Unaf In the last two emergencies that I have been part of, everyone was running around like a bunch of chickens. M 45-54 Unaf Fight with the politicians to get your fair share of the property tax money. Growth has resulted in greater financial needs. With Wellington already experiencing higher property taxes and extrem pricing it may be a bigger financial burden to some M 45-54 Unaf I do not have enough information about the WFPD to make an informed decision at this time. I do value the services provided by the men and women of the Wellington Fire Protection District, but we are all hurting and I pray you remember the cost of your potentially good fortune in the coming days. I would be more convinced to increase taxes if the department was proposing a permanent presence east of I25. It's how more recognizing the overpass bottleneck that should be resolved. M 45-54 Unaf Unaf Keep up the amazing work! M 45-54 Unaf Keep up the amazing work! M 45-54 Unaf We need to do our part to support those who will help us when the time comes. In summary, I would love to Vote yes on the proposed sale tax increase, but not without oversight of spending, you can any sort of American government now a days when they say "trust us, we will do what's right with the extra money." Come back when you have proven you are fiscally responsible and have reimbursed the money owed the taxpayers since this Country, we used to have the mindset to help each other on the bus but now we get thrown under the bus. LIKE KIDS, MINE! Shame on YOU after only 3 Months of Unionizing you want in OUR pockets!! Remember, the BOARD is suppose to respond to the feet your required additional funding from the housing development F 55-64 Unaf Get your required additional funding from the housing development I am unable to answer if I would vote for the measure because I need to research the constitutional section noted as provided to the proposed of the proposed to research the cost of outsourcing position duties now. We share more detailed b			
M 45-54 Unaf Fight with the politicians to get your fair share of the property tax money. Growth has resulted in greater financial needs. With Wellington already experiencing higher property taxes and extrem pricing it may be a bigger financial burden to some M 45-54 Unaf I do not have enough information about the WFPD to make an informed decision at this time. I do value the services provided by the men and women of the Wellington Fire Protection District, but we are all hurting and I pray you remember the cost of your potentially good fortune in the coming days. I would be more convinced to increase taxes if the department was proposing a permanent presence east of I25. It's how more recognizing the overpass bottleneck that should be resolved. M 45-54 Unaf Just take a simple walk outside and observe the animal kingdom. They're only males and females. Keep up the amazing work! M 45-54 Unaf We need to do our part to support those who will help us when the time comes. In summary, I would love to Vote yes on the proposed sale tax increase, but not without oversight of spending, you can any sort of American government now a days when they say "trust us, we will do what's right with the extra money" Come back when you have proven you are fiscally responsible and have reimbursed the money owed the taxpayers since this Country, we used to have the mindset to help each other on the bus but now we get thrown under the bus. LIKE KIDS, MINE! Shame on YOU after only 3 Months of Unionizing you want in OUR pockets!! Remember, the BOARD is suppose to refer to the first of Christine Gaiter F 55-64 Unaf Get rid of Christine Gaiter F 55-64 Unaf Get vour required additional funding from the housing development I am unable to answer if I would vote for the measure because I need to research the constitutional section noted as public the source of the proposed and the proposed of the constitutional section noted as public the source of the proposed and the proposed of the proposed to research the constitutional sectio	45.54		
Growth has resulted in greater financial needs. With Wellington already experiencing higher property taxes and extreming pricing it may be a bigger financial burden to some M 45-54 Unaf I do not have enough information about the WFPD to make an informed decision at this time. I do value the services provided by the men and women of the Wellington Fire Protection District, but we are all hurting and I pray you remember the cost of your potentially good fortune in the coming days. I would be more convinced to increase taxes if the department was proposing a permanent presence east of I25. It's how more recognizing the overpass bottleneck that should be resolved. M 45-54 Unaf Just take a simple walk outside and observe the animal kingdom. They're only males and females. Keep up the amazing work! M 45-54 Unaf We need to do our part to support those who will help us when the time comes. In summary, I would love to Vote yes on the proposed sale tax increase, but not without oversight of spending, you cannot any sort of American government now a days when they say "trust us, we will do what's right with the extra money" Come back when you have proven you are fiscally responsible and have reimbursed the money owed the taxpayers since this Country, we used to have the mindset to help each other on the bus but now we get thrown under the bus. LIKE KIDS, MINE! Shame on YOU after only 3 Months of Unionizing you want in OUR pockets!! Remember, the BOARD is suppose to refer to the substance of the proposed solutional funding from the housing development I am unable to answer if I would vote for the measure because I need to research the constitutional section noted as public the more detailed break down of what a fire marshal will cost versus the cost of outsourcing position duties now. We shall be a busine of outsourcing position duties now. We shall be a busine of the measure because I need to research the constitutional section noted as public the more detailed break down of what a fire marshal will cost versus t		_	
M 45-54 Unaf I do not have enough information about the WFPD to make an informed decision at this time. I do value the services provided by the men and women of the Wellington Fire Protection District, but we are all hurting and I pray you remember the cost of your potentially good fortune in the coming days. I would be more convinced to increase taxes if the department was proposing a permanent presence east of I25. It's how more recognizing the overpass bottleneck that should be resolved. M 45-54 Unaf Seep up the amazing work! M 45-54 Unaf We need to do our part to support those who will help us when the time comes. In summary, I would love to Vote yes on the proposed sale tax increase, but not without oversight of spending, you came any sort of American government now a days when they say "trust us, we will do what's right with the extra money" Come back when you have proven you are fiscally responsible and have reimbursed the money owed the taxpayers since this Country, we used to have the mindset to help each other on the bus but now we get thrown under the bus. LIKE KIDS, MINE! Shame on YOU after only 3 Months of Unionizing you want in OUR pockets!! Remember, the BOARD is suppose to read and save taxpayers! F 55-64 Unaf Get rid of Christine Gaiter F 55-64 Unaf Get your required additional funding from the housing development I am unable to answer if I would vote for the measure because I need to research the constitutional section noted as public the services of outsourcing position duties now. We share more detailed break down of what a fire marshal will cost versus the cost of outsourcing position duties now. We	45-54	Unat	
M 45-54 Unaf I do not have enough information about the WFPD to make an informed decision at this time. I do value the services provided by the men and women of the Wellington Fire Protection District, but we are all hurting and I pray you remember the cost of your potentially good fortune in the coming days. I would be more convinced to increase taxes if the department was proposing a permanent presence east of I25. It's how more recognizing the overpass bottleneck that should be resolved. M 45-54 Unaf Just take a simple walk outside and observe the animal kingdom. They're only males and females. M 45-54 Unaf Keep up the amazing work! M 45-54 Unaf We need to do our part to support those who will help us when the time comes. In summary, I would love to Vote yes on the proposed sale tax increase, but not without oversight of spending, you can any sort of American government now a days when they say "trust us, we will do what's right with the extra money" Come back when you have proven you are fiscally responsible and have reimbursed the money owed the taxpayers since this Country, we used to have the mindset to help each other on the bus but now we get thrown under the bus. LIKE KIDS, MINE! Shame on YOU after only 3 Months of Unionizing you want in OUR pockets!! Remember, the BOARD is suppose to refer the S5-64 Unaf Get rid of Christine Gaiter F 55-64 Unaf Get rid of Christine Gaiter I am unable to answer if I would vote for the measure because I need to research the constitutional section noted as public the measure. Share more detailed break down of what a fire marshal will cost versus the cost of outsourcing position duties now. We shall be a supposed to the protection of the prot	45.54		
I do value the services provided by the men and women of the Wellington Fire Protection District, but we are all hurting and I pray you remember the cost of your potentially good fortune in the coming days. I would be more convinced to increase taxes if the department was proposing a permanent presence east of I25. It's h more recognizing the overpass bottleneck that should be resolved. M 45-54 Unaf Just take a simple walk outside and observe the animal kingdom. They're only males and females. M 45-54 Unaf Keep up the amazing work! M 45-54 Unaf We need to do our part to support those who will help us when the time comes. In summary, I would love to Vote yes on the proposed sale tax increase, but not without oversight of spending, you can any sort of American government now a days when they say "trust us, we will do what's right with the extra money" Come back when you have proven you are fiscally responsible and have reimbursed the money owed the taxpayers since this Country, we used to have the mindset to help each other on the bus but now we get thrown under the bus. LIKE KIDS, MINE! Shame on YOU after only 3 Months of Unionizing you want in OUR pockets!! Remember, the BOARD is suppose to read and save taxpayers! F 55-64 Unaf Get rid of Christine Gaiter F 55-64 Unaf Get your required additional funding from the housing development I am unable to answer if I would vote for the measure because I need to research the constitutional section noted as purple. Share more detailed break down of what a fire marshal will cost versus the cost of outsourcing position duties now. We		_	
M 45-54 Unaf and I pray you remember the cost of your potentially good fortune in the coming days. I would be more convinced to increase taxes if the department was proposing a permanent presence east of I25. It's h more recognizing the overpass bottleneck that should be resolved. M 45-54 Unaf Just take a simple walk outside and observe the animal kingdom. They're only males and females. M 45-54 Unaf Keep up the amazing work! M 45-54 Unaf We need to do our part to support those who will help us when the time comes. In summary, I would love to Vote yes on the proposed sale tax increase, but not without oversight of spending, you can any sort of American government now a days when they say "trust us, we will do what's right with the extra money" Come back when you have proven you are fiscally responsible and have reimbursed the money owed the taxpayers since this Country, we used to have the mindset to help each other on the bus but now we get thrown under the bus. LIKE KIDS, MINE! Shame on YOU after only 3 Months of Unionizing you want in OUR pockets!! Remember, the BOARD is suppose to rand save taxpayers! F 55-64 Unaf Get rid of Christine Gaiter F 55-64 Unaf Get your required additional funding from the housing development I am unable to answer if I would vote for the measure because I need to research the constitutional section noted as pallot measure. Share more detailed break down of what a fire marshal will cost versus the cost of outsourcing position duties now. We	45-54	Unaf	
I would be more convinced to increase taxes if the department was proposing a permanent presence east of I25. It's how more recognizing the overpass bottleneck that should be resolved. M 45-54 Unaf Just take a simple walk outside and observe the animal kingdom. They're only males and females. M 45-54 Unaf Keep up the amazing work! M 45-54 Unaf We need to do our part to support those who will help us when the time comes. In summary, I would love to Vote yes on the proposed sale tax increase, but not without oversight of spending, you can any sort of American government now a days when they say "trust us, we will do what's right with the extra money" Come back when you have proven you are fiscally responsible and have reimbursed the money owed the taxpayers since this Country, we used to have the mindset to help each other on the bus but now we get thrown under the bus. LIKE KIDS, MINE! Shame on YOU after only 3 Months of Unionizing you want in OUR pockets!! Remember, the BOARD is suppose to respond to the first of Christine Gaiter F 55-64 Unaf Get rid of Christine Gaiter F 55-64 Unaf Get your required additional funding from the housing development I am unable to answer if I would vote for the measure because I need to research the constitutional section noted as public to the pool of the section of the pool of the pool of the pool of the section of the pool of the poo			
M 45-54 Unaf More recognizing the overpass bottleneck that should be resolved. M 45-54 Unaf Just take a simple walk outside and observe the animal kingdom. They're only males and females. M 45-54 Unaf Keep up the amazing work! M 45-54 Unaf We need to do our part to support those who will help us when the time comes. In summary, I would love to Vote yes on the proposed sale tax increase, but not without oversight of spending, you can any sort of American government now a days when they say "trust us, we will do what's right with the extra money" Come back when you have proven you are fiscally responsible and have reimbursed the money owed the taxpayers since this Country, we used to have the mindset to help each other on the bus but now we get thrown under the bus. LIKE KIDS, MINE! Shame on YOU after only 3 Months of Unionizing you want in OUR pockets!! Remember, the BOARD is suppose to report and save taxpayers! F 55-64 Unaf Get rid of Christine Gaiter F 55-64 Unaf Get your required additional funding from the housing development I am unable to answer if I would vote for the measure because I need to research the constitutional section noted as public the measure. Share more detailed break down of what a fire marshal will cost versus the cost of outsourcing position duties now. We start the simple to answer in the proposed sale tax increase, but not without oversight of spending, you can and save taxpayers since this country, we used to American government oversight of spending, you can any sort of American government oversight of spending, you can any sort of American government oversight of spending, you can any sort of American government oversight of spending, you can any sort of American government oversight of spending, you can any sort of American government oversight of spending, you can any sort of American government oversight of spending, you can any sort of American government oversight of spending, you can any sort of American government oversight of spending, you can any sort of America	45-54	Unaf	
M 45-54 Unaf Skeep up the amazing work! M 45-54 Unaf We need to do our part to support those who will help us when the time comes. In summary, I would love to Vote yes on the proposed sale tax increase, but not without oversight of spending, you can any sort of American government now a days when they say "trust us, we will do what's right with the extra money" Come back when you have proven you are fiscally responsible and have reimbursed the money owed the taxpayers since this Country, we used to have the mindset to help each other on the bus but now we get thrown under the bus. LIKE KIDS, MINE! Shame on YOU after only 3 Months of Unionizing you want in OUR pockets!! Remember, the BOARD is suppose to refer to the stax of Christine Gaiter F 55-64 Unaf Get rid of Christine Gaiter F 55-64 Unaf Get your required additional funding from the housing development I am unable to answer if I would vote for the measure because I need to research the constitutional section noted as provided ballot measure. Share more detailed break down of what a fire marshal will cost versus the cost of outsourcing position duties now. We see that the sum of the sum			
M 45-54 Unaf Keep up the amazing work! M 45-54 Unaf We need to do our part to support those who will help us when the time comes. In summary, I would love to Vote yes on the proposed sale tax increase, but not without oversight of spending, you can any sort of American government now a days when they say "trust us, we will do what's right with the extra money" Come back when you have proven you are fiscally responsible and have reimbursed the money owed the taxpayers since this Country, we used to have the mindset to help each other on the bus but now we get thrown under the bus. LIKE KIDS, MINE! Shame on YOU after only 3 Months of Unionizing you want in OUR pockets!! Remember, the BOARD is suppose to rand save taxpayers! F 55-64 Unaf Get rid of Christine Gaiter F 55-64 Unaf Get your required additional funding from the housing development I am unable to answer if I would vote for the measure because I need to research the constitutional section noted as part of the position duties now. We share more detailed break down of what a fire marshal will cost versus the cost of outsourcing position duties now. We			
M 45-54 Unaf We need to do our part to support those who will help us when the time comes. In summary, I would love to Vote yes on the proposed sale tax increase, but not without oversight of spending, you can any sort of American government now a days when they say "trust us, we will do what's right with the extra money" Come back when you have proven you are fiscally responsible and have reimbursed the money owed the taxpayers since this Country, we used to have the mindset to help each other on the bus but now we get thrown under the bus. LIKE KIDS, MINE! Shame on YOU after only 3 Months of Unionizing you want in OUR pockets!! Remember, the BOARD is suppose to refer to the first of Christine Gaiter F 55-64 Unaf Get rid of Christine Gaiter F 55-64 Unaf Get your required additional funding from the housing development I am unable to answer if I would vote for the measure because I need to research the constitutional section noted as possibility. Share more detailed break down of what a fire marshal will cost versus the cost of outsourcing position duties now. We			
In summary, I would love to Vote yes on the proposed sale tax increase, but not without oversight of spending, you can any sort of American government now a days when they say "trust us, we will do what's right with the extra money" Come back when you have proven you are fiscally responsible and have reimbursed the money owed the taxpayers since this Country, we used to have the mindset to help each other on the bus but now we get thrown under the bus. LIKE KIDS, MINE! Shame on YOU after only 3 Months of Unionizing you want in OUR pockets!! Remember, the BOARD is suppose to rand save taxpayers! F 55-64 Unaf Get rid of Christine Gaiter F 55-64 Unaf Get your required additional funding from the housing development I am unable to answer if I would vote for the measure because I need to research the constitutional section noted as purpose to the province of the measure. Share more detailed break down of what a fire marshal will cost versus the cost of outsourcing position duties now. We will do what's right with the extra money.			
O 45-54 Unaf any sort of American government now a days when they say "trust us, we will do what's right with the extra money" Come back when you have proven you are fiscally responsible and have reimbursed the money owed the taxpayers since this Country, we used to have the mindset to help each other on the bus but now we get thrown under the bus. LIKE KIDS, MINE! Shame on YOU after only 3 Months of Unionizing you want in OUR pockets!! Remember, the BOARD is suppose to responsible and have reimbursed the money owed the taxpayers since this Country, we used to have the mindset to help each other on the bus but now we get thrown under the bus. LIKE KIDS, MINE! Shame on YOU after only 3 Months of Unionizing you want in OUR pockets!! Remember, the BOARD is suppose to responsible and have reimbursed the money owed the taxpayers since this Country, we used to have the mindset to help each other on the bus but now we get thrown under the bus. LIKE KIDS, MINE! Shame on YOU after only 3 Months of Unionizing you want in OUR pockets!! Remember, the BOARD is suppose to responsible and have reimbursed the money owed the taxpayers since this Country, we used to have the mindset to help each other on the bus but now we get thrown under the bus. LIKE KIDS, MINE! Shame on YOU after only 3 Months of Unionizing you want in OUR pockets!! Remember, the BOARD is suppose to responsible and have reimbursed the money owed the taxpayers since this Country, we used to have the mindset to help each other on the bus but now we get thrown under the bus. LIKE KIDS, MINE! Shame on YOU after only 3 Months of Unionizing you want in OUR pockets!! Remember, the BOARD is suppose to responsible and have reimbursed the money over the bus but now we get thrown under the bus. LIKE KIDS, MINE! Shame on YOU after only 3 Months of Unionizing you want in OUR pockets!! Remember, the BOARD is suppose to responsible and have reimbursed the bus but now we get thrown under the bus. LIKE KIDS, MINE! Shame on YOU after only 3 Months of Unionizing you want in O	45-54	Unaf	
this Country, we used to have the mindset to help each other on the bus but now we get thrown under the bus. LIKE KIDS, MINE! Shame on YOU after only 3 Months of Unionizing you want in OUR pockets!! Remember, the BOARD is suppose to rand save taxpayers! F 55-64 Unaf Get rid of Christine Gaiter	45-54	Unaf	In summary, I would love to Vote yes on the proposed sale tax increase, but not without oversight of spending, you cannot trust any sort of American government now a days when they say "trust us, we will do what's right with the extra money"
MINE! Shame on YOU after only 3 Months of Unionizing you want in OUR pockets!! Remember, the BOARD is suppose to research the constitutional section noted as possible to a suppose to research the constitutional section noted as possible to the suppose to research the constitutional section noted as possible to the suppose to research the constitutional section noted as possible to the suppose to research the constitutional section noted as possible to the suppose to research the suppose the suppose to research the suppose the suppose to research the suppose the su			Come back when you have proven you are fiscally responsible and have reimbursed the money owed the taxpayers since 2014. In
F 55-64 Unaf Get rid of Christine Gaiter F 55-64 Unaf Get your required additional funding from the housing development I am unable to answer if I would vote for the measure because I need to research the constitutional section noted as possible ballot measure. Share more detailed break down of what a fire marshal will cost versus the cost of outsourcing position duties now. We have a superior of the measure because I need to research the constitutional section noted as possible ballot measure.			this Country, we used to have the mindset to help each other on the bus but now we get thrown under the bus. LIKE KIDS, I want
F 55-64 Unaf Get rid of Christine Gaiter F 55-64 Unaf Get your required additional funding from the housing development I am unable to answer if I would vote for the measure because I need to research the constitutional section noted as possible ballot measure. Share more detailed break down of what a fire marshal will cost versus the cost of outsourcing position duties now. We have a superior of the measure because I need to research the constitutional section noted as possible ballot measure.			MINE! Shame on YOU after only 3 Months of Unionizing you want in OUR pockets!! Remember, the BOARD is suppose to represent
F 55-64 Unaf Get your required additional funding from the housing development I am unable to answer if I would vote for the measure because I need to research the constitutional section noted as possible ballot measure. Share more detailed break down of what a fire marshal will cost versus the cost of outsourcing position duties now. We have the cost of outsourcing position duties now.	55-64	Unaf	and save taxpayers! 💰
I am unable to answer if I would vote for the measure because I need to research the constitutional section noted as position ballot measure. Share more detailed break down of what a fire marshal will cost versus the cost of outsourcing position duties now. We have the cost of outsourcing position duties now.	55-64	Unaf	Get rid of Christine Gaiter
F 55-64 Unaf ballot measure. Share more detailed break down of what a fire marshal will cost versus the cost of outsourcing position duties now. W	55-64	Unaf	Get your required additional funding from the housing development
Share more detailed break down of what a fire marshal will cost versus the cost of outsourcing position duties now. W			I am unable to answer if I would vote for the measure because I need to research the constitutional section noted as part of the
	55-64	Unaf	ballot measure.
F 55-64 Unaf equipment cost? What improvements will be made for wildfire protection? Local ambulances and emissions, etc.			Share more detailed break down of what a fire marshal will cost versus the cost of outsourcing position duties now. What does
	55-64	Unaf	equipment cost? What improvements will be made for wildfire protection? Local ambulances and emissions, etc.
F 55-64 Unaf Thank you for all your support!	55-64	Unaf	Thank you for all your support!
F 55-64 Unaf Thanks for doing this!	55-64	Unaf	Thanks for doing this!

Wellington Fire Protection Dist. Ballot Measure Survey

"Do you have any final thoughts, opinions you would like to share with the Wellington Fire regarding funding or the potential ballot measure?"

	Age		
Sex	Range	Party	Response
F	55-64	Unaf	The proposal ballot seems very reasonable to me.
			Focus on efficiency (what to repair vs replace, increased call volume, and the benefits of the fire Marshal. Do you collect impact
М		Unaf	fees for new development? Make them pay for increased capacity.
М	55-64	Unaf	It is good.
			Maybe it's time that taxpayers find out that you want to sell property over pass to i25. So you can help fund your fire department.
			Like you've done in the past, you want to slip it by the taxpayer, but if you try it this time, there's eleven of us that will stand up and
М	55-64	Unaf	reveal your corrupt political aspirations.
М		Unaf	Most of you are Republicans, you should know better than to keep spending so much, just stop.
М	55-64	Unaf	Will there be an accounting each year of how the money is spent?
M	55-64	Unaf	You're asking for a lot of money but are providing no specifics on how it will be spent: how much would the fire Marshall's salary be? What additional equipment is needed and why? Will the fire department staff be getting raises?
F	65+	Unaf	Fire equip and personnel staffing are important not only in residential areas but the surrounding farm and open areas as well.
F	65+	Unaf	I am uncertain how I would vote in party because I live far enough away from Wellington that if a fire occurred at my property, it would probably be burned down by the time the fire trucks arrived. I also would want to do my own research on the issues before deciding. In general I approve of these types of services being funded.
F	65+	Unaf	If you need money, charge the apartments on Little Fox for using the vacant land you own for parking etc. Your "No Access" sign is patently ignored. They drag out mud all over the sidewalk and the street and of course creates LIABILITY for the taxpayers because you don't secure the property.
F	65+	Unaf	Safety and insurance cost
F	65+	Unaf	The district should be more open about the fact that they are considering this additional tax while they still owe back taxes to the residents. Essentially they are asking current taxpayers to pay more taxes so that the district can pay them back what they have long been owed.
F	65+	Unaf	Wellington need the fire protection!
F	65+	Unaf	With rising property assessments and thus increase in property taxes, I would have definitely voted no on a property tax increase.
М	65+	Unaf	I believe the WFPD is an integral part of combating the rising risk of climate change.
М	65+	Unaf	I was undecided about this until the mention of transgender in your efforts to "improve services" now you can at least myself as solidly voting no. Call the Colorado democrats and beg for money from those idiots.
M	65+		I would concentrate on more staff and equipment first and then try for the fire marshal later. The marshal is probably needed but you could be asking for position to soon. If the marshal job was added at the expense of staff and equipment, it would be disappointing.
М	65+	Unaf	It'd be nice to see independently produced pros and cons to corroborate needs

Wellington Fire Protection Dist. Ballot Measure Survey 5/30/25 - 6/10/25

"Do you have any final thoughts, opinions you would like to share with the Wellington Fire regarding funding or the potential ballot measure?"

	Age		
Sex	Range	Party	Response
М	65+	Unaf	Keep up the good work, people!
М	65+	Unaf	Make sure voters can understand specifics about what exactly services and benefits the new revenue stream will provide.
М	65+	Unaf	Put into place, impact fees without the requirement for voter approval as a manner of dealing with new growth
М	65+	Unaf	Still apprehensive after the Green fiasco
			They do a great job with the improvements they've done it's tricky from here on out the town is dragging for opportunities and old
М	65+	Unaf	guard will have the last say regardless
			You have to show, in real, substantiated numbers, the overall "is" vs "was" conditions, in which percentages may be readily
М	65+	Unaf	determined by the reader, in an apples vs apples comparison.
			If we became part of Fort Collins fire department. Would you have access to their funding? I don't understand how the budget gets
			split up and why we need a tax to increase funding but I am not opposed. Maybe doing a fundraiser to get the faces of the fire
F		Dem	firefighters out in the community would help build more support.
F	35-44	Dem	Remove the final paragraph and I will vote yes
F	35-44	Dem	Thank you for all you do!
F	35-44	Dem	The Wellington Fire Department is a wonderful resource and they saved my daughter's school.
			Yeah, let's spend some money on training to make sure our department knows what they are doing. I would prefer we had
			paramedics in addition to fire fighters and EMTs for medical calls. I'm fine with additional taxes, but like I said, I want to make
F	35-44	Dem	sure our taxes are going towards training and attracting quality employees and not just keeping the status quo. Thanks!
			Provide current per capita costs, historical per capita costs, and projected per capita budget with and without the proposal
			passing/receiving additional funding. Also provide comparison data for neighing districts. Informed decisions make for good
М	1	Dem	decisions!
0	35-44	Dem	Y'all are great. I hope you get what you need as a department and individually.
			I would prefer to have the money raised via sales tax than via property tax increase. I hope the voters of Wellington agree. I
F	45-54	Dem	appreciate transparency, and I hope the department continues to share reasons for of these needs with our community.
М	45-54	Dem	I will happily vote yes on this measure
М	45-54	Dem	Proper fire prevention results in less response. Which costs more?
			Again I don't question the need for the service. I remain uncertain whether the WFPD has sufficiently professionalized its fiscal
F	55-64	Dem	management to provide good value with additional funding.
			Do the best you can with what you got if the measure is not added to the ballot or not passed if on the ballot. Safety and fire
F	1	Dem	prevention should be tantamount!
F	55-64	Dem	I hope that it passes!
			I know they are under financial pressure because of past "leadership" but asking for more may not be the right solution to
F	55-64	Dem	address the issues at hand.

Wellington Fire Protection Dist. Ballot Measure Survey 5/30/25 - 6/10/25

520n, +/-4.2% MoE

"Do you have any final thoughts, opinions you would like to share with the Wellington Fire regarding funding or the potential ballot measure?"

	Age		
Sex	Range	Party	Response
F	55-64	Dom	I know Wellington pays crap, my neighbor went to Frederick to make more money, Frederick! And now you decide to issue safe fitting equipment to female firefighters. I am not for an tax increase for anything in Wellington. The fire department like the city as a whole are more interested in personal financial gain than the employees and citizens of Wellington. Save money, can whom
F	55-64	Dem Dem	ever running the show. Also all I know of Wellington fire is, you put out fires unless it's big then Fort Collins gets it done. Thank you for all you do!!!
M M	55-64 55-64	Dem Dem	I am relatively new to the area, but have heard there were some issues with a former Chief. It was a money issue if I recall correctly. A) what was the issue? And B) how open are the department's books to public review? I need to see how the fire department is handling the funds they're already receiving.
M	55-64	Dem	Unsure about raising taxes in Wellington when water costs are going up and the town can't seem to find a solution
M	55-64	Dem	WFD FFs have been doing an exceptional job during the last several years, despite the tremendous financial challenges WFD has been experiencing. Thank you FFs!!!
F	65+	Dem	About 5 years ago we had a grass fire started by the train the fire department stopped at the houses below us and never really came up and helped us put the fire out that was burning on the ground behind our sons house they drove up and said okay we really could have used some help
F	65+	Dem	Go for it!
F	65+	Dem	I am reluctant to pay a higher sales tax, so many of us are struggling with the high cost of everyday living. The cost of everything going up and will continue to go up.
F	65+	Dem	I believe presenting a solid strategic plan to the public would go a long way to support this initiative !
F	65+	Dem	I hope everyone can see the importance in this request. Thank you for all you do.
F	65+	Dem	I was unsure about the sales tax increase, rather than property tax, until y'all explained it.
F	65+	Dem	I would like to thank you for informing me on the works of this District and the importance of providing proper funding. It affects us all.
F	65+	Dem	It's a reasonable tax increase for a maximum benefit. Thank you for going through the electoral process.
F	65+	Dem	Just what I said about the new management and personnel at the fire district managing their funding honestly and responsibly in ways that offer the best service to the people protected by the Wellington fire district. If the old mismanagement continues I would not support the tax.
F	65+	Dem	The Wellington Fire Protection District is one of the most important organizations we have and very important to me
F	65+	Dem	This survey is informative and educational. Thank you! There needs to be more ways to get your message to the local voters!!!
М	65+	Dem	Get your financial house in order.
М	65+	Dem	I would encourage and welcome the district's response to the community regarding my previous question.
М	65+	Dem	Please clarify whether the sales tax is only in the district or is county-wide.

"Do you have any final thoughts, opinions you would like to share with the Wellington Fire regarding funding or the potential ballot measure?"

M 65 M 65 F 18	5+ 5+	Party Dem	Response The wording of the ballot measure is tough to really understand! I'm an educated person, but I need to read it over and over again
M 65 F 18 F 18	5+	Dem	The wording of the hallot measure is tough to really understand I'm an educated person, but I need to read it over and over again
M 65 F 18 F 18	5+	Dem	The wording of the ballot measure is tough to really understand: I'm an educated person, but I need to read it over and over again
F 18		DCIII	to get its full intention
F 18	0 2 4	Dem	You are a vitally necessary part of our community, so you must have the money you need to do your job.
		Rep	Thank you for all you do and I hope everyone in our community would vote for this as safety should be number 1
F 18		Rep	Thank you for helping our community!
	8-34	Rep	We love knowing the local fire fighters - mostly Colin Jongsma
M 18	8-34	Rep	Consider expanding your part time program to increase staffing while minimizing costs. I worked for a department in McHenry County IL that had a few full time medics and officers per shift, as well as full time command staff. The rest of the shift was filled by part time guys that were FF/EMT or FF/Medics and were paid hourly based on experience and certs. They also allowed us to work 12 hour shifts so guys with full time jobs could work nights and weekends or off days. We had two stations fully staffed 24/7 with 12 guys across both. I think 50 blue shirts and 10 or so officers total. Great opportunity for young guys who want to go career to get experience too.
M 18	8-34	Rep	Focus on current apparatus and personnel, as well as paying off dept before bringing on new personnel and positions
M 18	8-34	Rep	Government needs to look first to cutting waste and running lean. When provided with evidence of that, we will think about trusting more funding to those entities.
M 18	8-34	Rep	You are doing a great job
	35-44	Rep	Don't put this on the ballot! Continue to use the money you have and don't overspend. Consider decreasing the Mill Levy and then ask for a sales tax increase. Why does a fire station need to be ADA? Are we hiring firefighters that are in wheelchairs now? That's counter productive. And I'm against males sharing bathrooms with females. The female firefighters should have their own private space. I don't want my money going to these woke policies. If the district becomes woke, hiring more females than males, or hiring based on DEI practices, then I definitely don't want my money going to fund the district.
F 35	5-44	Rep	I definitely say get this on the ballot for upcoming elections.
F 3	35-44	Rep	I just researched, fire protection district funding. I will more than likely vote Yes, on this measure. But I do feel like we need to find somebody who is really good at getting grants for the department so we can have all the equipment and staff that we need at all times.
F 3	5-44	Rep	I think I shared enough
F 3	5-44	Rep	I would fully support improving Wellington fire District resources. My problem is in how it's been used up until this point.
F 3	35-44	Rep	There needs to be more transparency and numbers. How much does it cost to hire a fire marshall full time vs outsourcing one from PFA for just a few situations? If this does not go through what will the expected debt be?
F 3	35-44	Rep	Wellington has immense trouble bringing new businesses to the town, so I am not sure that sales tax will make that much of a difference since most residents of Wellington do their shopping in Cheyenne or Fort Collins.
F 3	5-44	Rep	What happened to the new fire station that was supposed to be built and where did that money go.

Wellington Fire Protection Dist. Ballot Measure Survey

5/30/25 - 6/10/25

"Do you have any final thoughts, opinions you would like to share with the Wellington Fire regarding funding or the potential ballot measure?"

	Age		
Sex	Range	Party	Response
М	35-44	Rep	Keep up the good work
М	35-44	Rep	Thank them for their service!
F	45-54	Rep	I think the current funding needs to be over looked first before asking the public for a tax increase
F	45-54	Rep	Place it on the ballot
F	45-54	Rep	We can't handle any more taxes
М	45-54	Rep	I support our fire fighters and funding them to be safe and effective. I do not support "gender neutral" or the previous disappearance of funds and lack of accountability or punishment pertaining to those funds. The answer isn't always more moneyWellington needs to spend money more wisely town wide.
М	45-54	Rep	I think the WFPD needs to be more creative and find ways to cut costs in their budget. We have all had to be creative with increased costs, WFPD should too.
М	45-54	Rep	I'm not voting against the fire department I am voting against the people ruining this government.
М	45-54	Rep	The Wellington Fire Department is excellent and should be supported appropriately.
М		Rep	Will the money be spent on massaging recliners or actual equipment, training and personnel. The city has not kept up streets and general stuff like they should have. Will you spend the money appropriately?
F	55-64	Rep	Can all the illegal fire works set off around July 4 be better patrolled?
F	55-64	Ren	I don't trust the fire department, once again the only confirmed thing on the proposed ballot measure is a fire marshal, everything is too vague. We DO NOT need a fire marshal, we are still a small town, if you get this passed, use the money to care for us that live outside the town
F		Rep	The only thing that make me hesitant is the possibility of overruling Tabor.
F		Rep	We appreciate the support and work our firefighters do. God bless you and thank you!
M	55-64		And I noticed there's never any estimate as to how much sales tax revenue will be generated by outside sources coming into town not very much most of it will be paid by the residence Of Wellington as we don't get that many outside visitors
М	55-64	Rep	Didn't we very recently vote to increase the funding of the WFD? I do prefer sales taxes. I would not ever vote to increase property taxes.
M	55-64	Pon	I'm not sure there is a worse time to ask for a tax increase. Economic uncertainty and the image of the WFPD will make this a tough one to get the votes for. I was told years ago before Wellington Downs was built there would be a station on the East side. I got a "Coming Soon" sign instead.
M		Rep	Manage the money coming in properly
M		Rep	This ballot measure is a must, thank you for bringing it forward.
M		Rep	This not necessary. You don't have enough calls, and don't have enough experience on the department.
F	65+	Rep	Ambulance services come from Ft. Collins???
F		Rep	I wish you the best of luck in this coming election! You deserve it
<u> </u>		٠,٥٢	. Mon you are book or work in and contain you decerte it

Wellington Fire Protection Dist. Ballot Measure Survey

5/30/25 - 6/10/25 520n, +/-4.2% MoE

"Do you have any final thoughts, opinions you would like to share with the Wellington Fire regarding funding or the potential ballot measure?"

	Age		
Sex	Range	Party	Response
F	65+	Rep	Let's get approved
			Our town will continue to grow whether or not some people want it to, this is the way things go. We need to take off our blinders.
			We need to protect our people and their properties. We need to make Wellington a town where people feel safe and secure living
F		Rep	here.
F		Rep	Probably see accounting on how money spent to date.
F	65+	Rep	SO glad you are here. We need you. It has been proven over and over. THANK YOU!!!!??
			Fire Marshall is questionable. Salary amount, accountability? Hiring gender neutral, comes at high medical insurance claims, lack
			of health makes a question of having the strength, endurance needed. A veteran making this comment. DEI should go, make this
М		Rep	an hiring test that must be met!
М	65+	Rep	Good luck!
М	65+	Rep	I do appreciate the firefighters. I just don't feel like I can afford higher taxes at this time.
М	65+	Rep	Keep doing the best you can with what you have.
			The survey should have started with the information provided at the end of the survey. Then we would have understood the
			statistics that make a huge difference in how I would vote. I'm strongly supportive of a strong 1st responders group, but your
М		Rep	consultant lacks what's most important in making a case for more taxes.
М	35-44	Oth	Additional manning, coverage, better retention, fair benefits
			Ito doesn't make sense why an additional tax should be required for a public service when that service is paid for through a
			percentage of a growing tax base like property taxes. As the tax base grows, funding also grows because it is a percentage. The
М		Oth	public deserves an explanation why this is insufficient when it was not previously insufficient.
М	45-54	Oth	The public doesn't have visibility to the needs. Asking for money without justification isn't going to be popular
			In the past, previous members of Fire District leadership have redirected FPD funds from support of its duties and
			responsibilities to more personal uses. I would prefer some statutory safeguards in which I can have more confidence before
			approving more money. I'm confident the FPD needs more financial support to do its job effectively. I'm concerned about the
F	65+	Oth	guardrails that may ensure said funds are put to this purpose.
М	65+	Oth	I have no more money to give since the mismanagement of our water !

	Age		Fiscal	
Sex	Range	Party	Responsibility	Response
F			Strongly Disagree	They have been in debt for a very long time and can't figure out how to get out of it
М	18-34		Strongly Disagree	For years WFPD has had debt and money issues!
F	35-44	Unaf	Strongly Disagree	Corruption in past years fire board
F	35-44	Unaf	Strongly Disagree	I know that there has been a lot of fraud with the fire district in recent years. :/
М	35-44	Unaf	Strongly Disagree	Poor management over the last 5-10 years has put the department in a poor position financially. From what I've read in the paper it appears Chief Green was able to spend money without enough oversight and made decisions that could not be sustained in the budget for years to follow. I understand the tough position it puts the department in, but as a taxpayer it's hard to support funding increases for this mismanagement
M			Strongly Disagree	The last fire chief way over spent and out the department in a massive hole
F		-	Strongly Disagree	Have not seen reports indicating past fiscal issues have been resolved
F			Strongly Disagree	I am aware of the budgeting issues.
F	55-64	Unaf	Strongly Disagree	Why do I not think you are fiscally irresponsible? WFPD still owes the taxpayers over \$300k yet has had a windfall in property taxes because of repeal of Gallagher. Another example of public Servants addiction to OPM (Other People's Money). Unionization costs Money for lawyers and takes away from citizens services. Visious cycle!! Because of the commitment to the firefighters union, the taxpayers have a lower priority than the
М	55-64	Unaf	Strongly Disagree	firefighters union
М	55-64	Unaf	Strongly Disagree	You guys are wasting money. You became a union, you're selling off land secretly, So you can fund your fire department. You have no citizen oversight. Excluding people who seem to have a financial incentive directly connected to the fire department. You guys lost a whole bunch of money in the past years. I know who did it. I know how they did it and I know that you're still wasting money. That from the same taxpayers that are trying to support a good department. Get rid of that brilliant red fire engine, stay with your color convention. I know where the bodies are buried and I can name names maybe to 9news!!! Furthermore you're getting too friendly with the poudre fire department, that's not cool. Getting in bed with them is gonna cause problems with us. You wanna be like a big dog? Act like a big dog. Look like a big dog, but face it. You're in wellington, you're just a puppy. Oh I almost forgot. Yes I have proof. Maybe there's a jail cell set up, just for people who steal funds.
F	65+	Unaf	Strongly Disagree	The district has a history of overspending tax revenues and has owed taxpayers back taxes for many years despite assed value increases and additional revenue received by the district the past few years
М	65+	Unaf	Strongly Disagree	Squandered funds in suspicious ways.

"Please describe why you disagree with the statement, "Wellington Fire is fiscally responsible and spends taxpayer money wisely."

	Age		Fiscal	
Sex	Range	Party	Responsibility	Response
М	65+		Strongly Disagree	The mill levy for the fd is way to high. Their has obviously been mismanagement of funds in the past, and the residents seem to be paying for it.
F	35-44		Strongly Disagree	The fire department is in debt from previous mis-spending by Chief Green. Chief Patterson was trying to get the department back on track when he was run out by an absolute maniac who was the head of the union. Now, it sounds like they are buying all new trucks and equipment and giving raises to firefighter/EMTs, some of whom are barely qualified to do their jobs, instead of providing additional training and assuring the current crew meets quality standards with our tax money.
F	18-34	Rep	Strongly Disagree	The board and the department as a whole has not done their due diligence in previous years to hold individuals accountable for taking advantage of department funds. Asking tax payers for more money when you've not shown you can competently secure department funds in previous years isn't the answer.
М	18-34	Rep	Strongly Disagree	In dept. Poor Financials from previous boards. Need to pay higher to recruit top talent.
М	18-34	Rep	Strongly Disagree	They have a firefighter union for the firefighters who don't have the community or the boards best interests in mind. Which includes \$ spending
F	35-44	Rep	Strongly Disagree	After moving to Wellington, I was very disappointed to learn that Wellington's Fire Department is in so much debt and we watched as it continued to have many problems. We watched as many wonderful firefighters left or were terminated. We also learned that the Fire Department doesn't provide regular trainings to our firefighters and that it doesn't even provide gear like the other departments do. Why are we in so much debt when we have nearly 12000 residents now paying property taxes. As residents we feel as though there has been ZERO communication and transparency from the department regarding the current state of the fire department and the future of the department. Whatever happened to buying a new truck? To building a new department? These are all things we heard were happening 8 years ago with zero communication about these things since.
F	35-44	Rep	Strongly Disagree	Due to the past mismanagement
F	35-44	Rep	Strongly Disagree	Purchase of equipment that is not necessary for the district you serve example would be low angle rope rescue equipment. When has the district been a rope rescue in the last 20 years. I've heard you want to buy a boat, when has the district been on a legit water rescue that required the justification of purchasing a boat. Yes more staffing is great but your staffing the the same status that put the district in debt without building your reserves first. You also purchased a new engine before completing the debt as well as built the reserves so no you've been spending our money like a shopping spree without any justification and proper reasoning for why you need it other then you just want it. Have you even replaced expired equipment such as your scbas? You let go of part-time employees when you still need them and exceed your payroll OT.

Wellington Fire Protection Dist. Ballot Measure Survey

5/30/25 - 6/10/25 520n, +/-4.2% MoE

	Age		Fiscal	
Sex	Range	Party	Responsibility	Response
				They cannot show what other funds have been spent on for a multitude of years. We do not have enough
F	35-44	Rep	Strongly Disagree	equipment for the population.
				I think it would make more sense for Wellington to be joined with PFA so are area has more resources,
М		Rep	Strongly Disagree	better training and better staffing.
М	45-54	Rep	Strongly Disagree	Union highest Mill Levi in the state that kind of tells me money is not being spent wisely
М	45-54	Rep	Strongly Disagree	Wasteful misuse and abuse of the past ballot measure
F	55-64	Rep	Strongly Disagree	We passed a mill levy, the former chief misappropriated the funds, he sold the station by my home and now my home insurance has gone up. WFPD is currently sitting at over 14 mils, for the size of this town, that is outrageous and now you want more money? Absolutely not
М	55-64	Rep	Strongly Disagree	I have been a paramedic for 30 years. Retired from Denver General. I used to work in Cheyenne AMR. Wellington Fire were poorly trained, and irresponsible with are tax dollars.
М	55-64	Rep	Strongly Disagree	Lack of transparency, and history of corruption.
М	55-64	Rep	Strongly Disagree	Too much overspending in the past. New engine this year. Unionizing firefighters, still no fire station on the East side
F	65+	Rep	Strongly Disagree	All prior bills should be paid off and a reserve fund created before taking on any new debt.
М	65+	Rep	Strongly Disagree	I do not approve of firefighters, joining unions and demanding higher wages
F	18-34	Unaf	Somewhat Disagree	The land that was bought if they wish to sell should be sold for a profit - there shouldn't be a deal to sell the land back to the original owner for less then it was originally sold as. I think discussing unionizing is okay but I think but I do think the decision for salary increases should be a decision between the union and town. I do believe they have a very important job and should be paid well but I also think having some balance between the town on fire department would make sure one side doesn't go unchecked.
				Why have there been fundraisers for new hoses? Why don't we have a ladder truck? Why did the waverly
F	18-34	Unaf	Somewhat Disagree	station close? Have our firefighters recouped their stolen retirements?
М	18-34	Unaf	Somewhat Disagree	Financial firms have quit based on the boards decisions in the last few years. your own legal steed the union was a bad idea and the board voted yes for it. If it can't listen to the hired experts, it can't be spending money "wisely"
М	18-34		Somewhat Disagree	Rumors of past chiefs using funds poorly, or even potentially stealing money, leaves me worried about the usage of funds.
F	35-44	Unaf	Somewhat Disagree	The negative publicity hasn't been proven wrong
F	35-44	Unaf	Somewhat Disagree	We funded positions with time limited funds and no plan for continuance.

	Age		Fiscal	
Sex	_	Party	Responsibility	Response
F	35-44	Unaf	Somewhat Disagree	While an improvement from years prior, I think Wellington Fire and the Town of Wellington have lost the confidence of the people they serve when it comes to finances, and that will take more time to earn back. Additionally, priorities for the spending seem to be a little skewed from what I would like to see from my fire service providers. Blacked out emblems and wheels on a new engine is a significant extravagance (I'm told by a FD fleet supervisor \$40k+) for a department freshly back in the black. Further, I can appreciate the attempt at community connect with the new flag poles at Waverly station but those monies could be better used for training and outfitting.
М	35-44		Somewhat Disagree	WFPD has had financial troubles.
М	45-54	Unaf	Somewhat Disagree	A few years ago it seemed that money had been spent inappropriately and wasted. I remember the local news reporting on it.
М	45-54	Unaf	Somewhat Disagree	My concerns is from Chief Gary Greens time. The sealed records to the transparency of were that money went. And the Lawyer that was in charge of that mess.
М	45-54	Unaf	Somewhat Disagree	No need for amount of firefighters you guys are asking for. The amount of calls you take compared to other stations don't justify what you are asking for
М	45-54	Unaf	Somewhat Disagree	The only way to invest in the community is to invest in the personal and equipment. Hold the chief and the board accountable. More transparency.
М	45-54	Unaf	Somewhat Disagree	There is limited or no information being communicated to the public. We don't know what they are doing. Rural communities feel isolated as if they are on their own if emergencies arise. I'm sure there is work being done but no one is talking about it or sharing updates nor engaging with the resources available in the rural areas.
F				Any association with the town of Wellington and the corrupt officials running the local government is a concern.
М	55-64	Unaf	Somewhat Disagree	No government agency spends wisely
М			Somewhat Disagree	The disaster several years ago when the chief gave raises to his staff when there was no budget to support them. When the mess was discovered he resigned and left town.
М	55-64	Unaf	Somewhat Disagree	The rumors of theft or embezzlement, the inability to explain where the money is. Very concerning.
F	65+			Because you've had nothing but scandal since we moved here 8 years ago! Money disappeared and no one was ever held accountable for it
М	65+	Unaf	Somewhat Disagree	In past they have run out of money!
М	45-54	Dem	Somewhat Disagree	They need to invest in fire PREVENTION as their third party, part time fire marshall services are not even close to efficient

	Age		Fiscal	
Sex	Range	Party	Responsibility	Response
F	55-64	Dem	Somewhat Disagree	More from the past under Gary Greene. I believe there was some kind of fraud taking place and letting him "retire" without accountability was irresponsible. He moved to another fire district to do the same as he had done in Breckinridge before coming to Wellington no one calling balls and strikes and the dishonesty just keeps moving to different fire districts.
F	65+	Dem	Somewhat Disagree	From articles I have read it appears that funds have been mismanaged
F	65+	Dem	Somewhat Disagree	In the past it seems money has been badly mismanaged though I don't know a lot about it. I think but am not certain that the situation has improved. I certainly hope so.
М	65+	Dem	Somewhat Disagree	I have heard some poor comments About the fire district. From a reliable source.
М	18-34	Rep	Somewhat Disagree	Because somewhat recently an administrator gave huge unjustified raises to a woman working under him and embezzled a bunch of money and skipped town.
F	35-44	Rep	Somewhat Disagree	In recent time, former leadership for the department mismanaged funds leading to a serious debt problem. I am aware that this leadership has since changed.
М	45-54	Rep	Somewhat Disagree	The unanswered questions about "missing" funds from years ago with past fire district administrations.
М	45-54	Rep	Somewhat Disagree	They seem to waste a lot of fuel running around town.
М	55-64	Rep	Somewhat Disagree	Not enough community input regarding budgetary items .

Table of Contents

T1. For statistical purposes only, can you please verify that you are registered to vote in Larimer County, Colorado?
T1. For statistical purposes only, can you please verify that you are registered to vote in Larimer County, Colorado?
T1. For statistical purposes only, can you please verify that you are registered to vote in Larimer County, Colorado?
T1. For statistical purposes only, can you please verify that you are registered to vote in Larimer County, Colorado?
T2. Even though it is a long way off, how likely are you to vote in the 2025 general election this November for state and local ballot measures?
T2. Even though it is a long way off, how likely are you to vote in the 2025 general election this November for state and local ballot measures?
T2. Even though it is a long way off, how likely are you to vote in the 2025 general election this November for state and local ballot measures?
T2. Even though it is a long way off, how likely are you to vote in the 2025 general election this November for state and local ballot measures?
T3. How familiar are you with the Wellington Fire Protection District and the services they provide?
T3. How familiar are you with the Wellington Fire Protection District and the services they provide?14
T3. How familiar are you with the Wellington Fire Protection District and the services they provide?
T3. How familiar are you with the Wellington Fire Protection District and the services they provide?
T4. Do you think fire risk in the Wellington area has increased, stayed about the same or decreased in the last couple of years?
T4. Do you think fire risk in the Wellington area has increased, stayed about the same or decreased in the last couple of years?18
T4. Do you think fire risk in the Wellington area has increased, stayed about the same or decreased in the last couple of years?19
T4. Do you think fire risk in the Wellington area has increased, stayed about the same or decreased in the last couple of years?
T5. Do you think the need for emergency services (medical calls, automobile accidents, rescues, etc.) in the Wellington area has increased, stayed about the same, or decreased in the last couple of years?2
T5. Do you think the need for emergency services (medical calls, automobile accidents, rescues, etc.) in the Wellington area has increased, stayed about the same, or decreased in the last couple of years?
T5. Do you think the need for emergency services (medical calls, automobile accidents, rescues, etc.) in the Wellington area has increased, stayed about the same, or decreased in the last couple of years?
T5. Do you think the need for emergency services (medical calls, automobile accidents, rescues, etc.) in the Wellington area has increased, stayed about the same, or decreased in the last couple of years?24
T6. Do you approve or disapprove of the job Wellington Fire Protection District is doing, providing fire protection and emergency rescue services to your community?
T6. Do you approve or disapprove of the job Wellington Fire Protection District is doing, providing fire protection and emergency rescue services to your community?
T6. Do you approve or disapprove of the job Wellington Fire Protection District is doing, providing fire protection and emergency rescue services to your community?
T6. Do you approve or disapprove of the job Wellington Fire Protection District is doing, providing fire protection and emergency rescue services to your community?
T7. Do you agree or disagree with the following statement?
T7. Do you agree or disagree with the following statement?
T7. Do you agree or disagree with the following statement?
T7. Do you agree or disagree with the following statement?
T10. Would you support or oppose a sales tax increase to create additional funding for the Wellington Fire Protection District?
T10. Would you support or oppose a sales tax increase to create additional funding for the Wellington Fire Protection District?
T10. Would you support or oppose a sales tax increase to create additional funding for the Wellington Fire Protection District?
T10. Would you support or oppose a sales tax increase to create additional funding for the Wellington Fire Protection District?
Before we continue, you should know that the Wellington Fire Protection District has not decided to place a ballot measure before voters this November to generate additional revenue for the Wellington Fire Protection District. However, your participation in this survey will strongly influence that decision. Let's continue the survey now
Before we continue, you should know that the Wellington Fire Protection District has not decided to place a ballot measure before voters this November to generate additional revenue for the Wellington Fire Protection District. However, your participation in this survey will strongly influence that decision. Let's continue the survey now
Before we continue, you should know that the Wellington Fire Protection District has not decided to place a ballot measure before voters this November to generate additional revenue for the Wellington Fire Protection District. However, your participation in this survey will strongly influence that decision. Let's continue the survey now
Before we continue, you should know that the Wellington Fire Protection District has not decided to place a ballot measure before voters this November to generate additional revenue for the Wellington Fire Protection District. However, your participation in this survey will strongly influence that decision. Let's continue the survey now
We will now share some information about why the Wellington Fire Protection District is considering a ballot measure to hire an internal fire marshal, and fund updates to the current stations and apparatus. After reading the information, please indicate if you are more likely to vote yes and approve the ballot measure or if you are more likely to vote no and reject it. Let's get started:

We will now share some information about why the Wellington Fire Protection District is considering a ballot measure to hire an internal fire marshal, and fund updates to the current stations and apparatus. After reading the information, please indicate if you are more likely to vote yes and approve the ballot measure or if you are more likely to vote no and reject it. Let's get started:
We will now share some information about why the Wellington Fire Protection District is considering a ballot measure to hire an internal fire marshal, and fund updates to the current stations and apparatus. After reading the information, please indicate if you are more likely to vote yes and approve the ballot measure or if you are more likely to vote no and reject it. Let's get started:
We will now share some information about why the Wellington Fire Protection District is considering a ballot measure to hire an internal fire marshal, and fund updates to the current stations and apparatus. After reading the information, please indicate if you are more likely to vote yes and approve the ballot measure or if you are more likely to vote no and reject it. Let's get started:
T13. The two fire stations currently operate 24 hours a day, 7 days a week and ensure continuous service. This ballot measure would guarantee the fire district's ability to maintain round-the-clock operations. Knowing this information, are you:
T13. The two fire stations currently operate 24 hours a day, 7 days a week and ensure continuous service. This ballot measure would guarantee the fire district's ability to maintain round-the-clock operations. Knowing this information, are you:
T13. The two fire stations currently operate 24 hours a day, 7 days a week and ensure continuous service. This ballot measure would guarantee the fire district's ability to maintain round-the-clock operations. Knowing this information, are you:
T13. The two fire stations currently operate 24 hours a day, 7 days a week and ensure continuous service. This ballot measure would guarantee the fire district's ability to maintain round-the-clock operations. Knowing this information, are you:
T14. The fire district's need for additional funding is directly related to the significant increase in calls. In 2018, the fire department received 922 calls and in 2024, that number was 1,241 calls—a 35% increase (or 319 additional calls) over six years, and a 66% increase over the past 10 years. Knowing this information, are you:
T14. The fire district's need for additional funding is directly related to the significant increase in calls. In 2018, the fire department received 922 calls and in 2024, that number was 1,241 calls—a 35% increase (or 319 additional calls) over six years, and a 66% increase over the past 10 years. Knowing this information, are you:
T14. The fire district's need for additional funding is directly related to the significant increase in calls. In 2018, the fire department received 922 calls and in 2024, that number was 1,241 calls—a 35% increase (or 319 additional calls) over six years, and a 66% increase over the past 10 years. Knowing this information, are you:
T14. The fire district's need for additional funding is directly related to the significant increase in calls. In 2018, the fire department received 922 calls and in 2024, that number was 1,241 calls—a 35% increase (or 319 additional calls) over six years, and a 66% increase over the past 10 years. Knowing this information, are you:
T15. Since 2020, both motor vehicle accidents and emergency medical calls in the Wellington Fire Protection District have increased. In 2020, the district responded to 57 motor vehicle accidents
T15. Since 2020, both motor vehicle accidents and emergency medical calls in the Wellington Fire Protection District have increased. In 2020, the district responded to 57 motor vehicle accidents
T15. Since 2020, both motor vehicle accidents and emergency medical calls in the Wellington Fire Protection District have increased. In 2020, the district responded to 57 motor vehicle accidents
T15. Since 2020, both motor vehicle accidents and emergency medical calls in the Wellington Fire Protection District have increased. In 2020, the district responded to 57 motor vehicle accidents
T16. A local fire district typically employs a fire marshal responsible for conducting fire and arson investigations. This role often includes fire inspection and plans review duties to ensure buildings comply with fire codes for emergency preparedness as well as coordination and implementation of fire safety and education programs throughout the community. Currently, the Wellington Fire Protection District outsources this position. If this ballot measure passes, the district would have the resources to hire a fire marshal internally. Knowing this information, are you:
T16. A local fire district typically employs a fire marshal responsible for conducting fire and arson investigations. This role often includes fire inspection and plans review duties to ensure buildings comply with fire codes for emergency preparedness as well as coordination and implementation of fire safety and education programs throughout the community. Currently, the Wellington Fire Protection District outsources this position. If this ballot measure passes, the district would have the resources to hire a fire marshal internally. Knowing this information, are you:
T16. A local fire district typically employs a fire marshal responsible for conducting fire and arson investigations. This role often includes fire inspection and plans review duties to ensure buildings comply with fire codes for emergency preparedness as well as coordination and implementation of fire safety and education programs throughout the community. Currently, the Wellington Fire Protection District outsources this position. If this ballot measure passes, the district would have the resources to hire a fire marshal internally. Knowing this information, are you:
T16. A local fire district typically employs a fire marshal responsible for conducting fire and arson investigations. This role often includes fire inspection and plans review duties to ensure buildings comply with fire codes for emergency preparedness as well as coordination and implementation of fire safety and education programs throughout the community. Currently, the Wellington Fire Protection District outsources this position. If this ballot measure passes, the district would have the resources to hire a fire marshal internally. Knowing this information, are you:
T17. If the ballot measure were to pass, the fire district would fund the maintenance and upgrades to fire trucks, update critical equipment such as SCBA (self-contained breathing apparatus), hoses, and other rescue equipment. It would also allow both stations to meet ADA and gender-neutral requirements. Knowing this information, are you:
T17. If the ballot measure were to pass, the fire district would fund the maintenance and upgrades to fire trucks, update critical equipment such as SCBA (self-contained breathing apparatus), hoses, and other rescue equipment. It would also allow both stations to meet ADA and gender-neutral requirements. Knowing this information, are you:
T17. If the ballot measure were to pass, the fire district would fund the maintenance and upgrades to fire trucks, update critical equipment such as SCBA (self-contained breathing apparatus), hoses, and other rescue equipment. It would also allow both stations to meet ADA and gender-neutral requirements. Knowing this information, are you:
T17. If the ballot measure were to pass, the fire district would fund the maintenance and upgrades to fire trucks, update critical equipment such as SCBA (self-contained breathing apparatus), hoses, and other rescue equipment. It would also allow both stations to meet ADA and gender-neutral requirements. Knowing this information, are you:
T18. Given the extended drought conditions and the high risk of wildfires in our district, it remains a top priority to maintain minimum staffing to deploy necessary resources quickly to mitigate these fires. This ballot measure would ensure reliable inventory and maintenance of wildland firefighting equipment and apparatus. Knowing this information, are you:
T18. Given the extended drought conditions and the high risk of wildfires in our district, it remains a top priority to maintain minimum staffing to deploy necessary resources quickly to mitigate these fires. This ballot measure would ensure reliable inventory and maintenance of wildland firefighting equipment and apparatus. Knowing this information, are you:

T18. Given the extended drought conditions and the high risk of wildfires in our district, it remains a top priority to maintain minimum staffing to deploy necessary resources quickly to mitigate these fires. This ballot measure would ensure reliable inventory and maintenance of wildland firefighting equipment and apparatus. Knowing this information, are you:
T18. Given the extended drought conditions and the high risk of wildfires in our district, it remains a top priority to maintain minimum staffing to deploy necessary resources quickly to mitigate these fires. This ballot measure would ensure reliable inventory and maintenance of wildland firefighting equipment and apparatus. Knowing this information, are you:
T19. A 0.5% sales tax increase would cost a consumer 50 cents on a \$100 purchase. Knowing this information, are you:
T19. A 0.5% sales tax increase would cost a consumer 50 cents on a \$100 purchase. Knowing this information, are you:
T19. A 0.5% sales tax increase would cost a consumer 50 cents on a \$100 purchase. Knowing this information, are you:
T19. A 0.5% sales tax increase would cost a consumer 50 cents on a \$100 purchase. Knowing this information, are you:
T20. Fire districts have traditionally relied solely on property taxes for funding. However, starting this year, they now have the option to ask voters to approve a sales tax as an additional funding source. This change allows fire districts to diversify their revenue streams. Many argue that sales taxes provide a more stable source of funding, as property assessments and values can be much more inconsistent. As well as, sales taxes will be paid by visitors, not just those that live in the district. Knowing this information, are you:
T20. Fire districts have traditionally relied solely on property taxes for funding. However, starting this year, they now have the option to ask voters to approve a sales tax as an additional funding source. This change allows fire districts to diversify their revenue streams. Many argue that sales taxes provide a more stable source of funding, as property assessments and values can be much more inconsistent. As well as, sales taxes will be paid by visitors, not just those that live in the district. Knowing this information, are you:
T20. Fire districts have traditionally relied solely on property taxes for funding. However, starting this year, they now have the option to ask voters to approve a sales tax as an additional funding source. This change allows fire districts to diversify their revenue streams. Many argue that sales taxes provide a more stable source of funding, as property assessments and values can be much more inconsistent. As well as, sales taxes will be paid by visitors, not just those that live in the district. Knowing this information, are you:
T20. Fire districts have traditionally relied solely on property taxes for funding. However, starting this year, they now have the option to ask voters to approve a sales tax as an additional funding source. This change allows fire districts to diversify their revenue streams. Many argue that sales taxes provide a more stable source of funding, as property assessments and values can be much more inconsistent. As well as, sales taxes will be paid by visitors, not just those that live in the district. Knowing this information, are you:
Thank you for taking the time to learn about the needs of the Wellington Fire Protection District and why this ballot measure is being considered. Now, we ask you to reread the ballot question and indicate how you would vote if it were on the ballot in November
Thank you for taking the time to learn about the needs of the Wellington Fire Protection District and why this ballot measure is being considered. Now, we ask you to reread the ballot question and indicate how you would vote if it were on the ballot in November
Thank you for taking the time to learn about the needs of the Wellington Fire Protection District and why this ballot measure is being considered. Now, we ask you to reread the ballot question and indicate how you would vote if it were on the ballot in November
Thank you for taking the time to learn about the needs of the Wellington Fire Protection District and why this ballot measure is being considered. Now, we ask you to reread the ballot question and indicate how you would vote if it were on the ballot in November
Now, we have some questions for statistical purposes only.
Now, we have some questions for statistical purposes only.
Now, we have some questions for statistical purposes only.
Now, we have some questions for statistical purposes only.
T26. Are you between the ages of:
T26. Are you between the ages of:
T26. Are you between the ages of:
T26. Are you between the ages of:
T27. Again, for statistical purposes only, are you registered to vote as an Unaffiliated voter, a Democrat, or a Republican, or are you registered with another party?
T27. Again, for statistical purposes only, are you registered to vote as an Unaffiliated voter, a Democrat, or a Republican, or are you registered with another party?9
T27. Again, for statistical purposes only, are you registered to vote as an Unaffiliated voter, a Democrat, or a Republican, or are you registered with another party?9
T27. Again, for statistical purposes only, are you registered to vote as an Unaffiliated voter, a Democrat, or a Republican, or are you registered with another party?9
T28. Which race or ethnicity do you most identify with?
T28. Which race or ethnicity do you most identify with?
T28. Which race or ethnicity do you most identify with?
T28. Which race or ethnicity do you most identify with?
T29. Have you earned a four-year college degree?9
T29. Have you earned a four-year college degree?9
T29. Have you earned a four-year college degree?9

T29. Have you earned a four-year college degree?	100
T30. Do any children 17 or under live in your household?	101
T30. Do any children 17 or under live in your household?	102
T30. Do any children 17 or under live in your household?	103
T30. Do any children 17 or under live in your household?	104
T31. Last year, what was your total family income before taxes?	
T31. Last year, what was your total family income before taxes?	106
T31. Last year, what was your total family income before taxes?	107
T31. Last year, what was your total family income before taxes?	108
T32. How long have you lived at your current address?	109
T32. How long have you lived at your current address?	110
T32. How long have you lived at your current address?	111
T32. How long have you lived at your current address?	112

		Gender						Age-Gender									Race-Ethnicity			College Degree	
	TOTAL	Men	Wom	18-34	35-44	18-44	18-44 Men	18-44 Wom	45-54	55-64	45-64	45-64 Men	45-64 Wom	65+	65+ Men	65+ Wom	Whit	Hisp	Oth	Yes Deg	No Deg
TOTAL	520	255	264	83	104	187	82	105	83	94	177	96	80	156	77	79	452	52	16	295	225
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Yes	520	255	264	83	104	187	82	105	83	94	177	96	80	156	77	79	452	52	16	295	225
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

				Democra	t Voters				ı	Unaffiliate	ed Voters				I	Republica	an Voters			Other Voters	
	TOTAL	Dems	Dem Men	Dem Wom	Dem 18-44	Dem 45-64	Dem 65+	Unaf	Unaf Men	Unaf Wom	Unaf 18-44	Unaf 45-64	Unaf 65+	Reps	Rep Men	Rep Wom	Rep 18-44	Rep 45-64	Rep 65+	Other Party	
TOTAL	520 100.0	99 100.0	27 100.0	71 100.0	31 100.0	29 100.0	39 100.0	218 100.0	114 100.0	104 100.0	86 100.0	80 100.0	52 100.0	192 100.0	108 100.0	85 100.0	65 100.0	65 100.0	62 100.0	10 100.0	
Yes	520 100.0	99 100.0	27 100.0	71 100.0	31 100.0	29 100.0	39 100.0	218 100.0	114 100.0	104 100.0	86 100.0	80 100.0	52 100.0	192 100.0	108 100.0	85 100.0	65 100.0	65 100.0	62 100.0	10 100.0	

		Par	ent	Tenu	ıre at Cuı	rrent Add	ress		Income		Familia	arity with	Wellingto	n Fire	A	Approval	of WFPD		Opinior	on Fire F Area	₹isk in
	TOTAL	Yes Prnt	No Prnt	Less 5Yrs	5-10 Yrs	11-20 Yrs	More 20Yrs	Less \$75k	\$75k \$150k	More \$150k	Very Some	Very Fam	Some Fam	Not All	Tot Aprv	Strg Aprv	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Inc	Abt Same	Tot Dec
TOTAL	520	174	346	159	165	105	79	112	202	117	438	136	302	78	408	284	25	14	349	126	12
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Yes	520	174	346	159	165	105	79	112	202	117	438	136	302	78	408	284	25	14	349	126	12
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

			n on Emer vices in A		WFP	D Fiscal F	Responsit	oility	Opinio Genera Tax for	l Sales	Unin	nformed V	VFPD Sal	es Tax Ba	allot	Info	ormed Wi	PD Sale	s Tax Bal	lot
	TOTAL	Tot Inc	Abt Same	Tot Dec	Tot Agr	Strg Agre	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Sup	Tot Opp	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und
TOTAL	520	427	64	3	214	117	79	43	337	127	317	124	130	72	69	358	187	115	72	46
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Yes	520	427	64	3	214	117	79	43	337	127	317	124	130	72	69	358	187	115	72	46
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

		Gen	ıder						A	ge-Gende	er						Rad	ce-Ethnic	ity	Deg	
	TOTAL	Men	Wom	18-34	35-44	18-44	18-44 Men	18-44 Wom	45-54	55-64	45-64	45-64 Men	45-64 Wom	65+	65+ Men	65+ Wom	Whit	Hisp	Oth	Yes Deg	No Deg
TOTAL	520 100.0	255 100.0	264 100.0	83 100.0	104 100.0	187 100.0	82 100.0	105 100.0	83 100.0	94 100.0	177 100.0	96 100.0	80 100.0	156 100.0	77 100.0	79 100.0	452 100.0	52 100.0	16 100.0	295 100.0	225 100.0
Extremely Likely	418 80.3	210 82.6	206 78.0	57 68.0	80 76.7	136 72.8	60 73.9	75 71.8	68 81.5	83 88.8	151 85.4	87 90.6	63 79.0	130 83.5	63 81.9	67 85.1	365 80.7	40 76.3	13 81.5	255 86.6	162 72.1
Very Likely	69 13.2	29 11.4	40 15.0	13 15.3	18 17.2	31 16.3	11 13.1	20 19.0	11 13.6	9 9.2	20 11.2	8 8.5	12 14.7	18 11.6	10 13.2	8 10.1	55 12.2	11 20.2	3 17.0	33 11.1	36 15.9
Somewhat Likely	31 6.0	14 5.7	17 6.3	14 16.7	5 4.5	19 9.9	10 11.9	9 8.5	4 4.9	1 0.9	5 2.8	1 0.9	4 5.0	8 4.8	4 5.0	4 4.7	29 6.4	2 3.5	1.5	7 2.3	24 10.8
Unlikely to Vote	3 0.5	1 0.4	2 0.7		2 1.6	2 0.9	1 1.1	1 0.7		1 1.2	1 0.6		1 1.4				3 0.6				3 1.2

				Democra	at Voters				ı	Unaffiliate	ed Voters					Republica	an Voters			Voters	
	TOTAL	Dems	Dem Men	Dem Wom	Dem 18-44	Dem 45-64	Dem 65+	Unaf	Unaf Men	Unaf Wom	Unaf 18-44	Unaf 45-64	 Unaf 65+	Reps	Rep Men	Rep Wom	Rep 18-44	Rep 45-64	Rep 65+	Other Party	
TOTAL	520 100.0	99 100.0	27 100.0	71 100.0	31 100.0	29 100.0	39 100.0	218 100.0	114 100.0	104 100.0	86 100.0	80 100.0	52 100.0	192 100.0	108 100.0	85 100.0	65 100.0	65 100.0	62 100.0	10 100.0	
Extremely Likely	418 80.3	84 85.4	26 97.1	57 80.8	23 72.9	25 87.2	37 94.1	179 82.1	97 85.7	81 78.1	68 79.0	65 81.4	46 88.3	150 77.9	84 78.3	65 77.3	45 68.5	58 88.9	47 76.1	4 38.3	
Very Likely	69 13.2	11 11.1	1 2.9	10 14.3	7 23.0	2 7.8	2 3.9	26 11.8	10 9.2	15 14.7	9 10.8	12 14.3	5 9.6	26 13.7	14 12.8	12 14.8	10 15.7	6 9.4	10 16.1	6 53.6	
Somewhat Likely	31 6.0	3 3.5		3 4.9	1 4.1	1 5.0	1 2.0	12 5.3	5 4.3	7 6.4	7 8.2	3 4.3	1 2.1	15 7.9	10 8.8	6 6.7	10 15.8		5 7.8	1 8.1	
Unlikely to Vote	3 0.5							2 0.8	1 0.8	1 0.7	2 2.0			1 0.6		1 1.3		1 1.7			

		Par	ent	Tenu	ire at Cur	rrent Add	ress		Income		Familia	arity with	Wellingto	n Fire	A	Approval o	of WFPD		Opinion	on Fire I Area	Risk in
	TOTAL	Yes Prnt	No Prnt	Less 5Yrs	5-10 Yrs	11-20 Yrs	More 20Yrs	Less \$75k	\$75k \$150k	More \$150k	Very Some	Very Fam	Some Fam	Not All	Tot Aprv	Strg Aprv	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Inc	Abt Same	Tot Dec
TOTAL	520 100.0	174 100.0	346 100.0	159 100.0	165 100.0	105 100.0	79 100.0	112 100.0	202 100.0	117 100.0	438 100.0	136 100.0	302 100.0	78 100.0	408 100.0	284 100.0	25 100.0	14 100.0	349 100.0	126 100.0	12 100.0
Extremely Likely	418 80.3	133 76.3	285 82.3	128 80.1	131 79.8	89 84.3	65 82.1	86 76.4	163 80.9	99 84.5	352 80.3	105 77.5	246 81.6	64 81.5	320 78.6	220 77.5	22 86.7	11 79.3	281 80.4	106 84.5	7 60.0
Very Likely	69 13.2	27 15.6	41 12.0	20 12.6	21 12.6	13 12.4	10 13.0	17 15.1	24 11.8	14 11.7	56 12.8	16 11.7	40 13.3	11 14.4	57 14.1	40 14.2	3 13.3	3 20.7	52 14.9	7 5.8	4 34.0
Somewhat Likely	31 6.0	13 7.2	19 5.4	10 6.1	12 7.0	3 3.3	4 4.9	8 6.7	14 7.0	5 3.8	28 6.4	15 10.9	13 4.4	2 3.2	28 6.9	22 7.7			16 4.5	11 9.0	1 6.0
Unlikely to Vote	3 0.5	2 1.0	1 0.3	2 1.2	1 0.6			2 1.8	1 0.4		2 0.5		2 0.7	1 1.0	2 0.5	2 0.7			1 0.2	1 0.7	

			n on Emer vices in Ai		WFP	D Fiscal F	Responsil	oility	Opinio General Tax for	Sales	Unin	formed V	/FPD Sal	es Tax Ba	allot	Info	ormed WF	FPD Sale	s Tax Bal	lot
	TOTAL	Tot Inc	Abt Same	Tot Dec	Tot Agr	Strg Agre	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Sup	Tot Opp	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und
TOTAL	520 100.0	427 100.0	64 100.0	3 100.0	214 100.0	117 100.0	79 100.0	43 100.0	337 100.0	127 100.0	317 100.0	124 100.0	130 100.0	72 100.0	69 100.0	358 100.0	187 100.0	115 100.0	72 100.0	46 100.0
Extremely Likely	418 80.3	343 80.2	52 82.3	3 100.0	169 78.8	86 73.6	63 80.3	34 79.7	275 81.6	99 78.4	257 81.0	105 84.5	110 84.2	60 83.8	51 73.4	282 78.7	152 81.4	97 84.3	60 82.5	38 82.7
Very Likely	69 13.2	59 13.8	5 7.5		31 14.4	20 16.9	11 14.1	7 16.6	41 12.2	20 16.0	41 12.9	9 7.4	16 12.7	10 13.8	11 16.0	48 13.5	17 8.9	15 13.0	11 15.2	5 11.4
Somewhat Likely	31 6.0	24 5.6	7 10.3		15 6.8	11 9.5	4 5.6	2 3.7	19 5.7	6 4.8	18 5.7	10 8.1	4 3.1	2 2.4	5 7.9	26 7.3	18 9.7	3 2.8	2 2.2	2 3.5
Unlikely to Vote	3 0.5	2 0.4							2 0.5	1 0.9	1 0.3				2 2.7	2 0.5				1 2.4

		Gen	ıder						Ą	ge-Gende	er						Rad	ce-Ethnic	ity	Colle Deg	
	TOTAL	Men	Wom	18-34	35-44	18-44	18-44 Men	18-44 Wom	45-54	55-64	45-64	45-64 Men	45-64 Wom	65+	65+ Men	65+ Wom	Whit	Hisp	Oth	Yes Deg	No Deg
TOTAL	520	255	264	83	104	187	82	105	83	94	177	96	80	156	77	79	452	52	16	295	225
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Very and Somewhat Familiar	438	214	223	74	88	162	74	88	74	79	153	81	73	122	60	62	379	46	13	242	196
Combined	84.2	84.0	84.6	89.5	84.3	86.6	90.1	84.2	89.5	84.3	86.7	83.8	90.7	78.3	77.7	78.8	83.8	88.0	82.0	82.0	87.0
Very Familiar	136	77	58	19	28	47	28	19	29	21	50	27	23	38	22	17	116	15	5	76	60
	26.1	30.2	22.1	22.8	27.3	25.3	34.2	18.1	35.2	22.1	28.3	28.2	28.6	24.6	28.4	21.0	25.7	28.2	29.4	25.6	26.7
Somewhat Familiar	302	137	165	56	59	115	46	69	45	58	103	53	50	84	38	46	263	31	8	166	136
	58.1	53.8	62.5	66.7	57.0	61.3	55.8	66.2	54.2	62.2	58.4	55.6	62.1	53.7	49.3	57.9	58.0	59.7	52.6	56.4	60.2
Not Familiar at All	78	37	40	9	16	25	8	16	8	15	23	16	7	30	14	17	69	6	3	50	28
	15.0	14.6	15.2	10.5	15.7	13.4	9.9	15.8	9.8	15.7	12.9	16.2	8.5	19.4	17.6	21.2	15.3	12.0	18.0	17.0	12.5
Unsure No Opinion	4 0.8	4 1.4	1 0.2						1 0.8		1 0.4		1 0.8	4 2.3	4 4.7		4 0.9			3 1.0	1 0.6

				Democra	at Voters				I	Unaffiliate	ed Voters					Republica	an Voters			Other Voters
	TOTAL	Dems	Dem Men	Dem Wom	Dem 18-44	Dem 45-64	Dem 65+	Unaf	Unaf Men	Unaf Wom	Unaf 18-44	Unaf 45-64	Unaf 65+	Reps	Rep Men	Rep Wom	Rep 18-44	Rep 45-64	Rep 65+	Other Party
TOTAL	520	99	27	71	31	29	39	218	114	104	86	80	52	192	108	85	65	65	62	10
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Very and Somewhat Familiar	438	81	23	57	28	23	30	178	89	89	71	68	39	171	97	74	60	60	52	8
Combined	84.2	81.6	86.4	80.1	89.0	81.2	76.0	81.5	77.9	85.7	82.5	84.5	75.1	88.7	89.8	87.3	91.3	91.3	83.3	80.8
Very Familiar	136	18	6	12	3	8	8	52	30	21	17	20	14	64	38	25	26	21	16	2
	26.1	18.6	23.2	16.6	9.9	26.4	19.9	23.7	26.6	20.6	20.1	25.3	27.3	33.0	35.6	29.7	39.7	32.5	26.4	19.2
Somewhat Familiar	302	62	17	45	25	16	22	126	58	68	54	47	25	107	58	49	34	38	35	6
	58.1	63.0	63.2	63.5	79.1	54.8	56.1	57.7	51.2	65.1	62.4	59.2	47.8	55.7	54.2	57.6	51.5	58.8	56.8	61.7
Not Familiar at All	78	18	4	14	3	5	9	39	24	15	15	12	12	19	8	11	6	6	8	2
	15.0	17.8	13.6	19.0	11.0	16.6	24.0	18.1	21.2	14.3	17.5	15.5	22.9	10.0	7.8	12.7	8.7	8.7	12.7	19.2
Unsure No Opinion	4 0.8	1 0.6		1 0.9		1 2.2		1 0.5	1 0.9				1 2.0	3 1.3	3 2.3				3 4.1	

		Par	ent	Tenu	ıre at Cur	rent Add	lress		Income		Familia	arity with	Wellingto	n Fire	A	Approval	of WFPD		Opinion	on Fire I Area	Risk in
	TOTAL	Yes Prnt	No Prnt	Less 5Yrs	5-10 Yrs	11-20 Yrs	More 20Yrs	Less \$75k	\$75k \$150k	More \$150k	Very Some	Very Fam	Some Fam	Not All	Tot Aprv	Strg Aprv	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Inc	Abt Same	Tot Dec
TOTAL	520 100.0	174 100.0	346 100.0	159 100.0	165 100.0	105 100.0	79 100.0	112 100.0	202 100.0	117 100.0	438 100.0	136 100.0	302 100.0	78 100.0	408 100.0	284 100.0	25 100.0	14 100.0	349 100.0	126 100.0	12 100.0
Very and Somewhat Familiar Combined	438 84.2	157 90.3	280 81.1	123 77.3	143 86.8	90 85.7	69 88.1	90 80.3	176 87.3	103 87.7	438 100.0	136 100.0	302 100.0		362 88.9	252 88.7	24 95.6	14 100.0	293 83.9	111 88.7	10 83.6
Very Familiar	136 26.1	52 29.8	84 24.3	24 14.9	49 29.7	29 27.1	29 36.8	20 18.1	63 31.0	34 28.6	136 31.0	136 100.0			115 28.2	79 27.9	19 74.5	13 92.3	94 27.0	37 29.2	4 31.7
Somewhat Familiar	302 58.1	105 60.5	196 56.8	99 62.4	94 57.1	62 58.6	40 51.2	70 62.2	114 56.3	69 59.1	302 69.0		302 100.0		247 60.7	173 60.8	5 21.1	1 7.7	199 57.0	75 59.5	6 52.0
Not Familiar at All	78 15.0	16 9.3	62 17.9	35 22.0	20 12.5	13 12.5	9 11.9	22 19.7	24 11.8	14 12.3				78 100.0	42 10.3	30 10.7	1 4.4		54 15.4	12 9.8	2 16.4
Unsure No Opinion	4 0.8	1 0.4	4 1.0	1 0.7	1 0.8	2 1.8			2 0.9						3 0.8	2 0.7			2 0.7	2 1.5	

			n on Emer vices in A		WFP	D Fiscal F	Responsil	bility	Opinio Genera Tax for	l Sales	Unin	formed W	/FPD Sal	es Tax Ba	allot	Info	ormed WF	FPD Sale	s Tax Ball	lot
	TOTAL	Tot Inc	Abt Same	Tot Dec	Tot Agr	Strg Agre	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Sup	Tot Opp	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und
TOTAL	520 100.0	427 100.0	64 100.0	3 100.0	214 100.0	117 100.0	79 100.0	43 100.0	337 100.0	127 100.0	317 100.0	124 100.0	130 100.0	72 100.0	69 100.0	358 100.0	187 100.0	115 100.0	72 100.0	46 100.0
Very and Somewhat Familiar Combined	438 84.2	362 84.7	59 92.0	2 63.1	189 88.1	109 93.4	77 97.5	42 99.4	281 83.3	109 86.0	268 84.4	105 84.1	114 87.3	63 88.5	53 76.4	301 84.1	158 84.2	102 88.7	64 87.9	33 73.0
Very Familiar	136 26.1	106 24.8	30 46.6		71 33.0	45 38.7	40 50.2	29 68.3	85 25.3	43 34.4	79 25.0	46 37.3	43 33.1	32 44.9	11 16.1	86 24.1	56 29.8	41 35.9	30 41.8	8 17.6
Somewhat Familiar	302 58.1	256 59.9	29 45.4	2 63.1	118 55.1	64 54.7	37 47.3	13 31.1	195 58.0	65 51.6	189 59.4	58 46.8	71 54.2	31 43.7	42 60.3	215 59.9	102 54.4	61 52.8	33 46.1	25 55.4
Not Familiar at All	78 15.0	61 14.3	5 8.0	1 36.9	25 11.9	8 6.6	2 2.5	0.6	54 16.1	15 12.2	48 15.0	20 15.9	14 10.9	7 10.0	16 23.6	55 15.4	28 15.1	11 9.2	9 12.1	12 27.0
Unsure No Opinion	4 0.8	4 1.0							2 0.6	2 1.9	2 0.6		2 1.8	1 1.5		2 0.5	1 0.7	2 2.0		

		Gender							A	ge-Gende	er						Rad	ce-Ethnic	ity	Colle Deg	ree
	TOTAL	Men	Wom	18-34	35-44	18-44	18-44 Men	18-44 Wom	45-54	55-64	45-64	45-64 Men	45-64 Wom	65+	65+ Men	65+ Wom	Whit	Hisp	Oth	Yes Deg	No Deg
TOTAL	520	255	264	83	104	187	82	105	83	94	177	96	80	156	77	79	452	52	16	295	225
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total Increased	349	147	201	55	76	131	50	80	59	57	117	55	62	101	42	59	306	33	9	203	147
	67.1	57.7	76.4	66.2	72.9	69.9	61.2	76.8	71.5	61.3	66.1	57.1	77.3	65.0	54.8	75.1	67.7	64.1	60.1	68.7	65.1
About the Same	126	91	35	21	16	37	24	13	19	29	49	37	11	40	29	11	105	14	6	68	58
	24.2	35.5	13.3	25.3	15.6	19.9	29.6	12.5	23.3	31.2	27.5	38.6	14.3	25.5	38.0	13.4	23.2	27.9	39.1	23.0	25.6
Total Decreased	12 2.4	6 2.5	6 2.3	4 4.2	4 3.5	7 3.8	3 3.4	4 4.2	1 0.9	1 1.2	2 1.1	1 1.2	1 0.9	3 2.1	2 3.0	1 1.1	10 2.3	2 3.9		10 3.5	2 0.9
Unsure	33	11	21	4	8	12	5	7	4	6	10	3	6	12	3	8	31	2	0.8	14	19
No Opinion	6.4	4.3	8.0	4.3	8.1	6.4	5.7	6.6	4.4	6.3	5.4	3.1	7.5	7.4	4.2	10.4	6.8	4.1		4.8	8.4
Definitely Increased	133	51	81	12	28	40	14	25	27	24	51	20	31	42	16	25	113	16	3	78	54
	25.5	20.0	30.8	14.0	27.1	21.3	17.7	23.8	32.8	25.4	28.9	20.9	38.5	26.9	21.4	32.2	25.0	30.8	21.7	26.6	24.1
Probably Increased	216	96	120	43	48	91	36	55	32	34	66	35	31	60	26	34	193	17	6	124	92
	41.6	37.7	45.6	52.1	45.8	48.6	43.5	53.0	38.7	35.9	37.2	36.1	38.8	38.2	33.4	42.9	42.7	33.2	38.4	42.1	41.0
Definitely Decreased	2 0.4	2 0.8		2 2.3		2 1.0	2 2.4										2 0.4			2 0.7	
Probably Decreased	10 2.0	4 1.7	6 2.3	2 1.9	4 3.5	5 2.8	1 1.1	4 4.2	1 0.9	1 1.2	2 1.1	1 1.2	1 0.9	3 2.1	2 3.0	1 1.1	8 1.8	2 3.9		8 2.8	2 0.9

				Democra	at Voters				ı	Unaffiliate	ed Voters				I	Republica	an Voters			Other Voters
	TOTAL	Dems	Dem Men	Dem Wom	Dem 18-44	Dem 45-64	Dem 65+	Unaf	Unaf Men	Unaf Wom	Unaf 18-44	Unaf 45-64	Unaf 65+	Reps	Rep Men	Rep Wom	Rep 18-44	Rep 45-64	Rep 65+	Other Party
TOTAL	520	99	27	71	31	29	39	218	114	104	86	80	52	192	108	85	65	65	62	10
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total Increased	349	71	17	54	23	22	27	152	70	82	62	55	35	122	58	63	45	38	39	4
	67.1	72.2	64.4	75.3	73.7	76.2	68.0	69.7	61.4	79.1	72.7	68.5	66.5	63.3	54.3	74.9	68.4	58.6	63.0	35.8
About the Same	126	15	6	9	4	3	8	48	34	14	17	20	11	59	48	11	16	24	20	3
	24.2	15.1	22.6	12.4	12.0	11.1	20.4	22.2	30.3	13.4	19.2	25.5	21.8	30.9	44.6	13.5	24.3	36.8	31.6	27.3
Total Decreased	12 2.4							8 3.7	4 3.7	4 3.8	4 4.9	2 2.3	2 3.8	3 1.7	1 1.2	2 2.4	2 3.1		1 2.0	1 8.4
Unsure	33	13	4	9	4	4	5	10	5	4	3	3	4	8		8	3	3	2	3
No Opinion	6.4	12.7	13.0	12.2	14.3	12.7	11.6	4.4	4.7	3.7	3.1	3.6	7.9	4.1		9.2	4.2	4.6	3.3	28.6
Definitely Increased	133	27	6	20	3	10	14	56	19	37	20	23	13	48	25	23	17	17	14	1
	25.5	27.2	22.2	28.7	8.8	34.8	36.3	25.8	17.1	35.4	23.4	28.4	25.7	25.2	23.5	27.4	26.0	26.4	23.1	9.7
Probably Increased	216	44	11	33	20	12	12	96	50	45	42	32	21	73	33	40	28	21	25	3
	41.6	45.0	42.2	46.6	64.9	41.4	31.7	43.9	44.2	43.7	49.3	40.2	40.8	38.1	30.8	47.5	42.3	32.1	40.0	26.1
Definitely Decreased	2 0.4							2 0.9	2 1.7		2 2.3									
Probably Decreased	10 2.0							6 2.8	2 2.0	4 3.8	2 2.7	2 2.3	2 3.8	3 1.7	1 1.2	2 2.4	2 3.1		1 2.0	1 8.4

		Par	ent	Tenu	ıre at Cuı	rrent Add	ress		Income		Familia	arity with	Wellingto	n Fire	A	Approval	of WFPD		Opinior	on Fire I Area	Risk in
	TOTAL	Yes Prnt	No Prnt	Less 5Yrs	5-10 Yrs	11-20 Yrs	More 20Yrs	Less \$75k	\$75k \$150k	More \$150k	Very Some	Very Fam	Some Fam	Not All	Tot Aprv	Strg Aprv	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Inc	Abt Same	Tot Dec
TOTAL	520 100.0	174 100.0	346 100.0	159 100.0	165 100.0	105 100.0	79 100.0	112 100.0	202 100.0	117 100.0	438 100.0	136 100.0	302 100.0	78 100.0	408 100.0	284 100.0	25 100.0	14 100.0	349 100.0	126 100.0	12 100.0
Total Increased	349 67.1	119 68.0	231 66.7	114 71.6	105 63.7	74 70.7	52 66.1	76 67.8	138 68.2	79 67.7	293 67.0	94 69.3	199 65.9	54 68.7	284 69.6	202 71.1	13 50.2	3 19.9	349 100.0		
About the Same	126 24.2	44 25.5	81 23.5	28 17.4	48 29.1	24 22.5	22 28.1	26 23.5	47 23.3	35 29.5	111 25.5	37 27.1	75 24.7	12 15.7	97 23.8	63 22.1	10 41.6	9 65.0		126 100.0	
Total Decreased	12 2.4	3 1.6	9 2.7	5 3.3	3 1.7	1 1.2	1 1.4	5 4.3	3 1.4		10 2.3	4 2.9	6 2.1	2 2.6	6 1.5	4 1.3	2 8.2	2 15.0			12 100.0
Unsure No Opinion	33 6.4	8 4.9	25 7.1	12 7.7	9 5.6	6 5.6	3 4.4	5 4.4	14 7.1	3 2.8	23 5.2	1 0.8	22 7.3	10 13.0	21 5.0	16 5.5					
Definitely Increased	133 25.5	48 27.4	85 24.6	35 21.7	36 22.0	36 34.0	25 31.4	24 21.2	53 26.5	33 28.4	116 26.6	45 32.8	72 23.8	16 20.9	115 28.3	88 30.9	3 12.7	1 5.7	133 38.0		
Probably Increased	216 41.6	71 40.7	146 42.1	80 49.9	69 41.7	39 36.8	27 34.7	52 46.5	84 41.7	46 39.3	177 40.4	50 36.5	127 42.1	37 47.8	168 41.3	114 40.2	9 37.5	2 14.2	216 62.0		
Definitely Decreased	2 0.4		2 0.6								2 0.4		2 0.6								2 15.8
Probably Decreased	10 2.0	3 1.6	8 2.2	5 3.3	3 1.7	1 1.2	1 1.4	5 4.3	3 1.4		8 1.9	4 2.9	4 1.5	2 2.6	6 1.5	4 1.3	2 8.2	2 15.0			10 84.2

			n on Emer vices in Ai		WFP	D Fiscal F	Responsil	bility	Opinio General Tax for	Sales	Unin	formed V	/FPD Sal	es Tax Ba	allot	Info	ormed WF	PD Sales	s Tax Bal	lot
	TOTAL	Tot Inc	Abt Same	Tot Dec	Tot Agr	Strg Agre	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Sup	Tot Opp	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und
TOTAL	520 100.0	427 100.0	64 100.0	3 100.0	214 100.0	117 100.0	79 100.0	43 100.0	337 100.0	127 100.0	317 100.0	124 100.0	130 100.0	72 100.0	69 100.0	358 100.0	187 100.0	115 100.0	72 100.0	46 100.0
Total Increased	349 67.1	323 75.7	15 24.0		156 73.0	88 75.6	43 54.2	19 44.1	254 75.5	64 50.2	240 75.5	102 81.8	64 49.4	28 39.1	44 63.9	268 74.8	151 80.8	53 45.7	26 36.2	27 60.2
About the Same	126 24.2	82 19.2	43 66.9		43 19.9	18 15.6	31 39.3	20 46.6	61 18.2	52 41.0	58 18.3	17 13.5	53 40.5	38 52.5	13 18.1	65 18.2	24 12.6	51 44.5	39 53.3	9 20.5
Total Decreased	12 2.4	5 1.2	4 6.3	3 100.0	5 2.2	3 2.4	4 5.1	4 9.4	4 1.2	6 4.7	2 0.6		8 6.0	6 8.2	2 3.5	2 0.6	1 0.4	8 6.8	6 8.1	2 5.4
Unsure No Opinion	33 6.4	17 3.9	2 2.7		11 5.0	7 6.3	1 1.4		17 5.1	5 4.0	18 5.6	6 4.7	5 4.1	0.2	10 14.4	23 6.5	12 6.3	3 3.0	2 2.5	6 13.9
Definitely Increased	133 25.5	126 29.5	2 3.3		73 33.8	46 39.2	16 20.4	8 18.3	98 29.1	23 18.2	94 29.6	50 39.9	20 15.1	11 15.0	18 26.3	104 29.0	65 34.6	18 15.8	11 14.5	11 23.4
Probably Increased	216 41.6	197 46.2	13 20.8		84 39.1	43 36.4	27 33.7	11 25.8	156 46.4	40 32.0	146 45.9	52 42.0	45 34.3	17 24.1	26 37.6	164 45.8	86 46.2	34 29.9	16 21.6	17 36.7
Definitely Decreased	2 0.4			2 63.1			2 2.5	2 4.5		2 1.5			2 1.5	2 2.7				2 1.7	2 2.7	
Probably Decreased	10 2.0	5 1.2	4 6.3	1 36.9	5 2.2	3 2.4	2 2.6	2 4.8	4 1.2	4 3.2	2 0.6		6 4.5	4 5.5	2 3.5	2 0.6	1 0.4	6 5.1	4 5.4	2 5.4

		Gen	ider						Ą	ge-Gende	er						Rad	ce-Ethnic	ity	Colle Degi	
	TOTAL	Men	Wom	18-34	35-44	18-44	18-44 Men	18-44 Wom	45-54	55-64	45-64	45-64 Men	45-64 Wom	65+	65+ Men	65+ Wom	Whit	Hisp	Oth	Yes Deg	No Deg
TOTAL	520	255	264	83	104	187	82	105	83	94	177	96	80	156	77	79	452	52	16	295	225
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total Increased	427	199	228	63	95	158	66	92	70	76	146	75	70	123	57	66	380	36	11	245	183
	82.2	77.9	86.5	76.1	91.5	84.6	80.7	88.1	84.2	80.9	82.5	78.3	87.8	78.8	74.6	83.0	84.1	69.1	70.1	83.0	81.1
About the Same	64	43	21	14	6	20	13	7	10	13	23	18	6	20	12	8	50	9	5	37	27
	12.2	16.9	7.8	17.1	6.0	10.9	16.0	7.0	12.6	13.9	13.3	18.5	7.1	12.7	15.7	9.7	11.0	17.8	29.9	12.5	12.0
Total Decreased	3 0.6	3 1.2		2 2.3		2 1.0	2 2.4			1 1.2	1 0.6	1 1.2					3 0.7			3 1.0	
Unsure	26	10	15	4	3	6	1	5	3	4	6	2	4	13	7	6	19	7		10	16
No Opinion	5.0	4.0	5.7	4.5	2.5	3.4	1.0	4.9	3.2	3.9	3.6	2.0	5.1	8.5	9.7	7.3	4.2	13.2		3.5	7.0
Definitely Increased	218	80	137	41	52	93	35	58	33	35	68	24	44	56	22	35	191	22	5	125	93
	41.9	31.5	52.1	49.1	50.4	49.8	42.5	55.9	40.2	37.1	38.6	24.7	55.3	36.1	28.1	43.9	42.1	42.2	33.5	42.4	41.2
Probably Increased	210	118	91	22	43	65	31	34	37	41	78	51	26	67	36	31	190	14	6	120	90
	40.3	46.5	34.4	26.9	41.1	34.8	38.1	32.2	44.1	43.8	43.9	53.6	32.5	42.7	46.5	39.1	42.0	26.9	36.6	40.6	39.9
Definitely Decreased	2 0.4	2 0.8		2 2.3		2 1.0	2 2.4										2 0.4			2 0.7	
Probably Decreased	1 0.2	1 0.4								1 1.2	1 0.6	1 1.2					1 0.3			1 0.4	

				Democra	at Voters				l	Jnaffiliate	ed Voters				I	Republica	ın Voters			Other Voters
	TOTAL	Dems	Dem Men	Dem Wom	Dem 18-44	Dem 45-64	Dem 65+	Unaf	Unaf Men	Unaf Wom	Unaf 18-44	Unaf 45-64	Unaf 65+	Reps	Rep Men	Rep Wom	Rep 18-44	Rep 45-64	Rep 65+	Other Party
TOTAL	520 100.0	99 100.0	27 100.0	71 100.0	31 100.0	29 100.0	39 100.0	218 100.0	114 100.0	104 100.0	86 100.0	80 100.0	52 100.0	192 100.0	108 100.0	85 100.0	65 100.0	65 100.0	62 100.0	10 100.0
Total Increased	427 82.2	84 85.4	21 77.7	63 88.6	27 85.2	24 84.6	34 86.0	175 80.0	90 79.1	85 81.3	71 82.2	65 80.6	39 75.2	160 83.1	82 76.5	77 91.5	56 86.3	55 84.5	48 78.2	8 80.8
About the Same	64 12.2	6 6.4	4 15.7	2 3.0	3 10.1	1 2.7	2 6.2	28 12.8	15 13.5	12 12.0	8 9.7	13 15.9	7 13.1	27 14.2	22 20.6	5 6.0	9 13.7	9 13.9	9 15.1	2 19.2
Total Decreased	3 0.6							3 1.4	3 2.7		2 2.3	1 1.4								
Unsure No Opinion	26 5.0	8 8.2	2 6.6	6 8.4	1 4.7	4 12.7	3 7.7	13 5.8	5 4.7	7 6.7	5 5.8	2 2.0	6 11.7	5 2.7	3 2.8	2 2.5		1 1.7	4 6.6	
Definitely Increased	218 41.9	45 45.7	9 32.9	36 51.0	15 48.6	15 52.1	15 38.7	89 40.5	33 28.8	56 53.6	39 45.1	29 36.5	21 39.3	78 40.6	35 32.5	43 51.1	36 55.4	22 34.1	20 32.0	6 56.0
Probably Increased	210 40.3	39 39.7	12 44.8	27 37.7	11 36.6	9 32.5	18 47.3	86 39.4	57 50.3	29 27.7	32 37.1	35 44.2	19 35.9	82 42.4	47 44.0	34 40.4	20 30.9	33 50.4	29 46.2	3 24.8
Definitely Decreased	2 0.4							2 0.9	2 1.7		2 2.3									
Probably Decreased	1 0.2							1 0.5	1 1.0			1 1.4								

		Par							Income			•	Wellingto			Approval			Opinion	on Fire I Area	Risk in
	TOTAL	Yes Prnt	No Prnt	Less 5Yrs	5-10 Yrs	11-20 Yrs	More 20Yrs	Less \$75k	\$75k \$150k	More \$150k	Very Some	Very Fam	Some Fam	Not All	Tot Aprv	Strg Aprv	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Inc	Abt Same	Tot Dec
TOTAL	520 100.0	174 100.0	346 100.0	159 100.0	165 100.0	105 100.0	79 100.0	112 100.0	202 100.0	117 100.0	438 100.0	136 100.0	302 100.0	78 100.0	408 100.0	284 100.0	25 100.0	14 100.0	349 100.0	126 100.0	12 100.0
Total Increased	427 82.2	148 85.2	279 80.6	128 80.5	137 83.3	92 87.4	65 82.5	92 82.1	164 81.4	101 86.5	362 82.7	106 78.1	256 84.7	61 78.3	348 85.3	252 88.6	10 38.3	2 14.2	323 92.7	82 65.2	5 42.0
About the Same	64 12.2	22 12.7	42 12.0	12 7.5	25 15.0	11 10.1	11 14.4	13 11.4	22 11.1	15 12.7	59 13.4	30 21.9	29 9.6	5 6.5	40 9.9	20 7.2	16 61.7	12 85.8	15 4.4	43 33.9	4 32.9
Total Decreased	3 0.6		3 0.9	1 0.7				1 1.0			2 0.4		2 0.6	1 1.5							3 25.1
Unsure No Opinion	26 5.0	4 2.1	22 6.5	18 11.3	3 1.7	3 2.5	2 3.2	6 5.5	15 7.5	1 0.8	15 3.5		15 5.1	11 13.7	19 4.8	12 4.2			10 2.9	1 0.9	
Definitely Increased	218 41.9	81 46.6	137 39.5	59 36.8	67 40.4	45 42.6	45 57.7	41 36.2	94 46.5	52 44.8	195 44.6	67 49.4	128 42.4	23 28.9	192 47.2	149 52.6	5 21.4	2 14.2	194 55.7	19 14.9	1 6.0
Probably Increased	210 40.3	67 38.6	142 41.2	70 43.7	71 42.9	47 44.8	20 24.8	51 45.9	70 34.9	49 41.7	167 38.1	39 28.7	128 42.3	39 49.4	155 38.1	102 36.0	4 16.9		129 37.0	63 50.3	4 36.0
Definitely Decreased	2 0.4		2 0.6								2 0.4		2 0.6								2 15.8
Probably Decreased	1 0.2		1 0.3	1 0.7				1 1.0						1 1.5							1 9.3

		Ser	n on Emer vices in A	rea		D Fiscal f	·	•	Opinio Genera Tax for	l Sales WFPD		formed V					ormed WF			
	TOTAL	Tot Inc	Abt Same	Tot Dec	Tot Agr	Strg Agre	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Sup	Tot Opp	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und
TOTAL	520 100.0	427 100.0	64 100.0	3 100.0	214 100.0	117 100.0	79 100.0	43 100.0	337 100.0	127 100.0	317 100.0	124 100.0	130 100.0	72 100.0	69 100.0	358 100.0	187 100.0	115 100.0	72 100.0	46 100.0
Total Increased	427 82.2	427 100.0			188 87.6	105 89.5	44 56.5	17 40.4	298 88.5	81 64.1	288 90.7	119 95.3	83 63.9	37 51.3	55 79.7	319 89.1	171 91.4	71 61.7	38 52.2	36 78.8
About the Same	64 12.2		64 100.0		18 8.5	8 6.7	32 41.0	24 55.1	22 6.4	38 30.4	14 4.3	2 1.4	42 32.5	32 44.4	5 7.9	18 4.9	6 3.2	40 34.8	31 42.2	6 13.3
Total Decreased	3 0.6			3 100.0			2 2.5	2 4.5		3 2.4			3 2.4	3 4.3				3 2.7	3 4.2	
Unsure No Opinion	26 5.0				8 4.0	4 3.8			17 5.1	4 3.1	16 5.0	4 3.3	2 1.3		9 12.4	21 6.0	10 5.4	1 0.9	1 1.4	4 8.0
Definitely Increased	218 41.9	218 51.0			110 51.4	71 60.6	23 29.6	11 24.7	165 49.1	29 22.9	162 51.2	84 67.5	28 21.2	12 17.2	27 38.4	177 49.5	107 57.4	26 22.2	14 18.8	14 30.3
Probably Increased	210 40.3	210 49.0			77 36.2	34 28.9	21 26.9	7 15.7	133 39.4	52 41.2	125 39.5	35 27.9	56 42.7	24 34.1	29 41.3	142 39.7	64 34.0	45 39.5	24 33.3	22 48.5
Definitely Decreased	2 0.4			2 63.1			2 2.5	2 4.5		2 1.5			2 1.5	2 2.7				2 1.7	2 2.7	
Probably Decreased	1 0.2			1 36.9						1 0.9			1 0.9	1 1.6				1 1.0	1 1.6	

		Gen							,	ge-Gende								ce-Ethnic	,	Colle Deg	ree
	TOTAL	Men	Wom	18-34	35-44	18-44	18-44 Men	18-44 Wom	45-54	55-64	45-64	45-64 Men	45-64 Wom	65+	65+ Men	65+ Wom	Whit	Hisp	Oth	Yes Deg	No Deg
TOTAL	520	255	264	83	104	187	82	105	83	94	177	96	80	156	77	79	452	52	16	295	225
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total Approve	408	191	215	65	83	148	64	83	66	65	131	68	63	129	60	69	353	45	10	214	193
	78.4	75.1	81.5	78.7	79.6	79.2	78.3	79.7	78.8	69.6	73.9	70.4	78.4	82.5	77.6	87.2	78.0	85.7	64.9	72.7	85.8
Total Disapprove	25	16	9	4	6	10	4	6	4	8	12	10	2	3	2	1	18	5	2	19	6
	4.8	6.4	3.4	4.9	5.4	5.2	4.6	5.6	5.1	8.6	7.0	10.6	2.7	2.1	3.1	1.1	4.1	10.1	10.1	6.4	2.8
Unsure	87	47	40	14	16	29	14	15	13	20	34	18	15	24	15	9	81	2	4	62	26
No Opinion	16.8	18.5	15.1	16.4	15.0	15.6	17.0	14.7	16.2	21.8	19.2	19.0	19.0	15.5	19.3	11.7	17.9	4.2	25.0	20.9	11.4
Strongly Approve	284	120	163	43	60	103	39	63	39	44	83	36	46	99	45	54	244	35	5	143	141
	54.6	47.1	62.0	51.6	57.4	54.8	47.6	60.5	46.8	46.7	46.8	37.6	57.9	63.3	58.4	68.0	54.0	66.8	31.3	48.6	62.4
Somewhat Approve	124	71	52	23	23	46	25	20	27	21	48	32	16	30	15	15	109	10	5	71	53
	23.8	28.1	19.6	27.2	22.2	24.4	30.7	19.2	32.0	22.8	27.1	32.8	20.5	19.2	19.2	19.2	24.0	18.9	33.7	24.1	23.3
Strongly Disapprove	14 2.6	10 3.8	4 1.5	2 2.3	3 2.8	5 2.6	2 2.4	3 2.8	2 2.2	6 6.1	8 4.3	6 6.7	1 1.4	1 0.8	1 1.7		8 1.8	5 10.1	2.0	11 3.8	2 1.1
Somewhat Disapprove	11 2.2	7 2.6	5 1.8	2 2.6	3 2.6	5 2.6	2 2.2	3 2.8	2 2.9	2 2.5	5 2.7	4 3.9	1 1.3	2 1.3	1 1.4	1 1.1	10 2.3		1 8.1	7 2.5	4 1.8

				Democra	at Voters				l	Jnaffiliate	ed Voters				I	Republica	ın Voters			Other Voters
	TOTAL	Dems	Dem Men	Dem Wom	Dem 18-44	Dem 45-64	Dem 65+	Unaf	Unaf Men	Unaf Wom	Unaf 18-44	Unaf 45-64	Unaf 65+	Reps	Rep Men	Rep Wom	Rep 18-44	Rep 45-64	Rep 65+	Other Party
TOTAL	520	99	27	71	31	29	39	218	114	104	86	80	52	192	108	85	65	65	62	10
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total Approve	408	73	16	56	23	18	32	166	79	86	66	59	41	161	91	70	56	51	54	8
	78.4	74.0	60.0	79.5	72.7	62.9	83.1	75.8	69.3	82.8	76.3	73.8	78.1	83.9	84.7	82.8	85.9	77.9	88.0	72.9
Total Disapprove	25 4.8	2 2.2	1 2.9	1 2.0	1 2.0	2 5.5		9 4.1	5 4.8	3 3.3	5 5.9	2 2.4	2 3.7	14 7.3	10 9.3	4 4.7	4 6.1	9 13.5	1 2.1	
Unsure	87	24	10	13	8	9	7	44	29	14	15	19	9	17	6	11	5	6	6	3
No Opinion	16.8	23.8	37.1	18.5	25.2	31.6	16.9	20.1	25.9	13.9	17.8	23.8	18.1	8.9	6.0	12.5	8.0	8.7	9.9	27.1
Strongly Approve	284	57	10	47	17	14	25	110	49	60	44	36	29	111	57	55	37	30	44	6
	54.6	57.7	36.8	66.2	55.7	50.1	64.9	50.3	43.3	57.9	51.8	45.4	55.5	57.9	52.6	64.7	56.3	46.6	71.6	53.6
Somewhat Approve	124	16	6	9	5	4	7	56	30	26	21	23	12	50	35	15	19	20	10	2
	23.8	16.3	23.2	13.3	17.1	12.8	18.2	25.5	26.0	24.9	24.5	28.3	22.6	25.9	32.0	18.2	29.6	31.3	16.4	19.3
Strongly Disapprove	14 2.6	1 0.8	1 2.9			1 2.7		3 1.5	3 3.0		2 2.3	1 1.8		10 4.9	6 5.1	4 4.7	3 4.5	5 8.2	1 2.1	
Somewhat Disapprove	11 2.2	1 1.4		1 2.0	1 2.0	1 2.8		6 2.5	2 1.9	3 3.3	3 3.6	0.6	2 3.7	5 2.3	5 4.2		1 1.6	3 5.3		

		Par	ent	Tenu	ire at Cur	rent Add	ress		Income		Familia	arity with	Wellingto	n Fire	A	Approval	of WFPD		Opinion	on Fire I Area	Risk in
	TOTAL	Yes Prnt	No Prnt	Less 5Yrs	5-10 Yrs	11-20 Yrs	More 20Yrs	Less \$75k	\$75k \$150k	More \$150k	Very Some	Very Fam	Some Fam	Not All	Tot Aprv	Strg Aprv	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Inc	Abt Same	Tot Dec
TOTAL	520 100.0	174 100.0	346 100.0	159 100.0	165 100.0	105 100.0	79 100.0	112 100.0	202 100.0	117 100.0	438 100.0	136 100.0	302 100.0	78 100.0	408 100.0	284 100.0	25 100.0	14 100.0	349 100.0	126 100.0	12 100.0
Total Approve	408 78.4	144 82.5	264 76.3	117 73.6	127 77.3	87 82.9	69 87.3	98 87.6	158 78.4	86 73.6	362 82.8	115 84.6	247 82.0	42 53.8	408 100.0	284 100.0			284 81.2	97 77.4	6 51.0
Total Disapprove	25 4.8	9 5.1	16 4.7		11 6.7	6 6.1	5 6.1	2 1.6	9 4.7	8 6.9	24 5.5	19 13.8	5 1.8	1 1.4			25 100.0	14 100.0	13 3.6	10 8.3	2 16.8
Unsure No Opinion	87 16.8	22 12.4	66 19.0	42 26.4	26 15.9	12 11.0	5 6.6	12 10.7	34 16.9	23 19.6	51 11.7	2 1.6	49 16.3	35 44.7					53 15.1	18 14.3	4 32.2
Strongly Approve	284 54.6	98 56.1	186 53.9	75 47.3	93 56.4	62 59.4	49 61.9	79 70.9	105 51.9	54 45.8	252 57.5	79 58.4	173 57.2	30 38.8	284 69.7	284 100.0			202 57.8	63 50.0	4 29.3
Somewhat Approve	124 23.8	46 26.4	78 22.5	42 26.3	34 21.0	25 23.6	20 25.4	19 16.8	54 26.6	32 27.7	111 25.3	36 26.3	75 24.8	12 15.1	124 30.3				82 23.4	34 27.3	3 21.7
Strongly Disapprove	14 2.6	5 2.8	9 2.6		8 4.9	1 1.0	2 3.1		7 3.5	4 3.8	14 3.1	13 9.3	1 0.3				14 54.3	14 100.0	3 0.8	9 7.1	2 16.8
Somewhat Disapprove	11 2.2	4 2.3	8 2.2		3 1.8	5 5.0	2 3.1	2 1.6	2 1.2	4 3.1	10 2.4	6 4.5	4 1.4	1 1.4			11 45.7		10 2.8	2 1.3	

			n on Emer vices in A		WFP	D Fiscal F	Responsil	oility	Opinio Genera Tax for	Sales	Unin	nformed V	/FPD Sal	es Tax B	allot	Info	ormed WF	PD Sale	s Tax Bal	lot
	TOTAL	Tot Inc	Abt Same	Tot Dec	Tot Agr	Strg Agre	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Sup	Tot Opp	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und
TOTAL	520 100.0	427 100.0	64 100.0	3 100.0	214 100.0	117 100.0	79 100.0	43 100.0	337 100.0	127 100.0	317 100.0	124 100.0	130 100.0	72 100.0	69 100.0	358 100.0	187 100.0	115 100.0	72 100.0	46 100.0
Total Approve	408 78.4	348 81.4	40 63.5		210 98.2	116 99.1	46 58.8	19 45.0	281 83.3	82 65.2	276 87.0	112 89.7	78 60.2	38 53.6	50 72.2	308 85.9	169 90.5	70 60.9	40 55.2	29 62.6
Total Disapprove	25 4.8	10 2.3	16 24.4		1 0.4		24 30.9	20 46.0	6 1.8	19 15.2	4 1.3		21 16.3	18 25.7		4 1.1	0.1	20 17.7	17 23.9	1 1.9
Unsure No Opinion	87 16.8	70 16.4	8 12.1	3 100.0	3 1.4	1 0.9	8 10.4	4 9.1	50 15.0	25 19.6	37 11.8	13 10.3	31 23.5	15 20.7	19 27.8	46 13.0	18 9.4	25 21.4	15 20.9	16 35.5
Strongly Approve	284 54.6	252 58.9	20 32.1		171 79.7	111 95.3	11 14.6	6 14.7	218 64.6	32 25.5	217 68.4	98 78.5	29 22.6	14 20.0	34 49.4	248 69.3	148 79.0	20 17.5	14 19.2	15 32.1
Somewhat Approve	124 23.8	96 22.5	20 31.4		40 18.6	4 3.7	35 44.2	13 30.3	63 18.6	50 39.7	59 18.5	14 11.2	49 37.6	24 33.6	16 22.8	60 16.7	22 11.5	50 43.5	26 36.1	14 30.5
Strongly Disapprove	14 2.6	2 0.5	12 18.4				14 17.4	13 30.2	1 0.2	13 10.2	1 0.2		13 9.9	12 16.8		1 0.2		12 10.5	12 16.6	1 1.9
Somewhat Disapprove	11 2.2	8 1.8	4 6.0		1 0.4		11 13.5	7 15.8	5 1.5	6 5.0	3 1.0		8 6.4	6 8.9		3 0.9	0.1	8 7.2	5 7.3	

T7. Do you agree or disagree with the following statement? "The Wellington Fire Protection District is fiscally responsible and spends taxpayer money wisely."

		Gen							,	ge-Gende								ce-Ethnic	•	Colle Deg	ree
	TOTAL	Men	Wom	18-34	35-44	18-44	18-44 Men	18-44 Wom	45-54	55-64	45-64	45-64 Men	45-64 Wom	65+	65+ Men	65+ Wom	Whit	Hisp	Oth	Yes Deg	No Deg
TOTAL	520	255	264	83	104	187	82	105	83	94	177	96	80	156	77	79	452	52	16	295	225
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total Agree	214	104	110	21	47	68	29	38	36	34	70	38	32	77	36	40	176	34	5	100	114
	41.2	40.6	41.8	24.9	45.2	36.2	36.0	36.3	43.3	36.2	39.6	39.6	39.8	49.1	46.9	51.2	38.9	64.5	29.4	33.9	50.8
Total Disagree	79	47	31	21	16	37	17	20	13	17	30	23	7	11	7	5	67	7	4	55	23
	15.1	18.6	11.8	25.7	15.4	20.0	21.4	18.9	15.5	18.3	17.0	24.2	8.5	7.2	8.7	5.8	14.9	14.3	25.2	18.8	10.4
Unsure	227	104	122	41	41	82	35	47	34	43	77	35	41	68	34	34	209	11	7	140	87
No Opinion	43.7	40.8	46.3	49.4	39.4	43.9	42.6	44.8	41.1	45.5	43.4	36.2	51.7	43.7	44.4	43.0	46.2	21.2	45.4	47.4	38.8
Strongly Agree	117	54	63	16	24	40	21	18	19	17	36	17	19	41	16	26	100	15	3	50	67
	22.5	21.2	23.9	18.6	23.1	21.1	25.8	17.7	23.3	17.6	20.3	18.0	23.2	26.5	20.2	32.7	22.0	28.3	16.5	17.0	29.6
Somewhat Agree	97	50	47	5	23	28	8	19	17	17	34	21	13	35	21	15	77	19	2	50	48
	18.7	19.5	18.0	6.2	22.1	15.0	10.2	18.6	20.1	18.6	19.3	21.6	16.6	22.5	26.6	18.5	16.9	36.1	12.9	16.9	21.1
Strongly Disagree	43	27	15	12	10	22	11	11	6	9	15	12	3	6	4	1	35	5	2	30	12
	8.2	10.7	5.8	14.3	9.7	11.8	13.4	10.5	7.1	9.5	8.4	12.3	3.7	3.8	5.7	1.9	7.8	10.1	13.6	10.3	5.5
Somewhat Disagree	36	20	16	10	6	15	6	9	7	8	15	11	4	5	2	3	32	2	2	25	11
	6.9	7.9	6.0	11.4	5.6	8.2	7.9	8.5	8.4	8.8	8.6	11.8	4.8	3.5	3.0	3.9	7.1	4.2	11.6	8.5	4.9

T7. Do you agree or disagree with the following statement? "The Wellington Fire Protection District is fiscally responsible and spends taxpayer money wisely."

				Democra	at Voters				l	Jnaffiliate	ed Voters				I	·	an Voters			Other Voters
	TOTAL	Dems	Dem Men	Dem Wom	Dem 18-44	Dem 45-64	Dem 65+	Unaf	Unaf Men	Unaf Wom	Unaf 18-44	Unaf 45-64	Unaf 65+	Reps	Rep Men	Rep Wom	Rep 18-44	Rep 45-64	Rep 65+	Other Party
TOTAL	520	99	27	71	31	29	39	218	114	104	86	80	52	192	108	85	65	65	62	10
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total Agree	214	39	8	31	11	7	22	85	41	44	28	35	22	84	49	35	25	27	32	6
	41.2	39.6	30.2	43.1	34.6	23.7	55.3	39.1	36.4	42.3	32.6	43.5	42.9	43.6	45.5	41.1	38.0	41.9	51.1	56.5
Total Disagree	79	7	3	4	1	2	4	34	20	15	19	11	5	36	24	12	17	17	2	1
	15.1	7.2	12.8	5.2	4.6	5.5	10.6	15.8	17.2	14.3	22.1	13.2	9.3	18.8	22.4	14.2	26.0	25.8	3.7	9.7
Unsure	227	53	15	37	19	20	13	99	53	45	39	35	25	72	35	38	23	21	28	4
No Opinion	43.7	53.2	57.0	51.7	60.9	70.9	34.1	45.1	46.5	43.4	45.2	43.3	47.8	37.7	32.1	44.8	36.0	32.2	45.1	33.8
Strongly Agree	117	24	6	18	6	5	13	36	14	23	12	17	8	52	30	22	18	14	21	4
	22.5	24.5	23.0	25.4	20.8	17.2	32.9	16.7	12.2	21.7	13.5	21.4	14.5	27.2	27.8	26.3	26.9	21.0	33.9	37.8
Somewhat Agree	97	15	2	13	4	2	9	49	28	21	16	18	15	32	19	12	7	14	11	2
	18.7	15.1	7.2	17.7	13.8	6.4	22.5	22.4	24.2	20.6	19.1	22.1	28.3	16.4	17.7	14.7	11.1	20.9	17.2	18.7
Strongly Disagree	43 8.2	2 1.6	1 3.4	1 0.9	1 2.0		1 2.4	14 6.3	9 7.8	5 4.5	8 9.8	3 3.3	3 5.0	26 13.8	17 15.8	9 11.1	13 19.9	11 17.2	2 3.7	1 9.7
Somewhat Disagree	36 6.9	6 5.6	3 9.4	3 4.3	1 2.5	2 5.5	3 8.2	21 9.5	11 9.3	10 9.8	11 12.3	8 10.0	2 4.3	10 5.0	7 6.6	3 3.0	4 6.1	6 8.7		

T7. Do you agree or disagree with the following statement? "The Wellington Fire Protection District is fiscally responsible and spends taxpayer money wisely."

		Par	ent	Tenu	ıre at Cur	rent Add	ress		Income			í	Wellingto			Approval			Opinion	on Fire I Area	Risk in
	TOTAL	Yes Prnt	No Prnt	Less 5Yrs	5-10 Yrs	11-20 Yrs	More 20Yrs	Less \$75k	\$75k \$150k	More \$150k	Very Some	Very Fam	Some Fam	Not All	Tot Aprv	Strg Aprv	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Inc	Abt Same	Tot Dec
TOTAL	520 100.0	174 100.0	346 100.0	159 100.0	165 100.0	105 100.0	79 100.0	112 100.0	202 100.0	117 100.0	438 100.0	136 100.0	302 100.0	78 100.0	408 100.0	284 100.0	25 100.0	14 100.0	349 100.0	126 100.0	12 100.0
Total Agree	214 41.2	74 42.2	141 40.7	57 35.8	67 40.7	45 42.7	39 48.9	57 50.7	78 38.6	45 38.3	189 43.1	71 52.0	118 39.1	25 32.5	210 51.6	171 60.1	1 3.4		156 44.8	43 33.9	5 38.3
Total Disagree	79 15.1	32 18.2	47 13.6	12 7.3	33 20.1	16 15.2	13 16.4	9 7.8	31 15.4	23 19.3	77 17.5	40 29.1	37 12.3	2 2.5	46 11.3	11 4.0	24 96.6	14 100.0	43 12.2	31 24.6	4 32.6
Unsure No Opinion	227 43.7	69 39.6	158 45.7	91 57.0	64 39.2	44 42.0	27 34.6	46 41.5	93 46.1	50 42.3	172 39.3	26 18.9	146 48.5	51 65.0	151 37.0	102 35.9			150 43.0	52 41.4	4 29.1
Strongly Agree	117 22.5	40 23.1	77 22.2	24 15.3	39 23.6	26 24.4	24 30.0	28 25.0	48 23.8	25 21.7	109 24.9	45 33.3	64 21.2	8 9.9	116 28.4	111 39.2			88 25.3	18 14.5	3 23.3
Somewhat Agree	97 18.7	33 19.1	64 18.5	33 20.5	28 17.2	19 18.4	15 18.9	29 25.7	30 14.7	19 16.6	80 18.2	25 18.7	54 17.9	18 22.7	95 23.2	59 20.9	1 3.4		68 19.5	24 19.4	2 14.9
Strongly Disagree	43 8.2	21 12.1	22 6.2	1 0.8	19 11.2	11 10.6	8 10.1	3 2.4	20 10.0	10 8.3	42 9.7	29 21.5	13 4.4	0.3	19 4.7	6 2.2	20 78.0	13 94.3	19 5.4	20 15.8	4 32.6
Somewhat Disagree	36 6.9	11 6.1	25 7.4	10 6.4	15 8.9	5 4.6	5 6.3	6 5.4	11 5.3	13 11.0	34 7.8	10 7.6	24 7.9	2 2.2	27 6.6	5 1.8	5 18.6	1 5.7	24 6.8	11 8.8	

T7. Do you agree or disagree with the following statement? "The Wellington Fire Protection District is fiscally responsible and spends taxpayer money wisely."

			n on Emer vices in Aı		WFP	D Fiscal F	Responsik	oility	Opinio Genera Tax for	Sales	Unin	oformed V	/FPD Sal	es Tax B	allot	Info	ormed Wi	FPD Sale	s Tax Bal	lot
	TOTAL	Tot Inc	Abt Same	Tot Dec	Tot Agr	Strg Agre	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Sup	Tot Opp	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und
TOTAL	520 100.0	427 100.0	64 100.0	3 100.0	214 100.0	117 100.0	79 100.0	43 100.0	337 100.0	127 100.0	317 100.0	124 100.0	130 100.0	72 100.0	69 100.0	358 100.0	187 100.0	115 100.0	72 100.0	46 100.0
Total Agree	214 41.2	188 43.9	18 28.5		214 100.0	117 100.0			168 49.8	30 24.1	166 52.3	87 69.7	23 17.5	9 12.8	22 31.7	181 50.7	110 58.7	17 15.1	8 11.7	14 31.1
Total Disagree	79 15.1	44 10.4	32 50.7	2 63.1			79 100.0	43 100.0	22 6.4	54 43.0	19 5.8	4 2.9	58 44.2	42 58.9	3 3.9	19 5.2	6 3.4	56 48.3	40 55.4	5 9.9
Unsure No Opinion	227 43.7	195 45.7	13 20.7	1 36.9					148 43.8	42 32.9	133 41.8	34 27.3	50 38.3	20 28.3	45 64.4	158 44.1	71 37.9	42 36.6	24 32.9	27 59.0
Strongly Agree	117 22.5	105 24.5	8 12.3		117 54.6	117 100.0			104 30.8	4 3.4	102 32.0	64 51.3	1 0.8		11 15.9	113 31.5	79 42.2	1 0.9		2 3.9
Somewhat Agree	97 18.7	83 19.4	10 16.3		97 45.4				64 19.0	26 20.6	65 20.3	23 18.4	22 16.8	9 12.8	11 15.8	69 19.2	31 16.5	16 14.2	8 11.7	12 27.1
Strongly Disagree	43 8.2	17 4.0	24 37.0	2 63.1			43 54.2	43 100.0	6 1.8	36 28.4	5 1.7		37 28.1	28 39.5	1 1.2	5 1.5		36 31.0	28 39.1	2 3.5
Somewhat Disagree	36 6.9	27 6.4	9 13.8				36 45.8		16 4.6	18 14.6	13 4.2	4 2.9	21 16.1	14 19.4	2 2.7	13 3.7	6 3.4	20 17.3	12 16.3	3 6.5

		Gen	der						Ą	ge-Gende	er						Rad	ce-Ethnic	ity	Colle Deg	
	TOTAL	Men	Wom	18-34	35-44	18-44	18-44 Men	18-44 Wom	45-54	55-64	45-64	45-64 Men	45-64 Wom	65+	65+ Men	65+ Wom	Whit	Hisp	Oth	Yes Deg	No Deg
TOTAL	520	255	264	83	104	187	82	105	83	94	177	96	80	156	77	79	452	52	16	295	225
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total Support	337	164	171	52	67	119	56	62	54	57	111	57	54	107	51	56	289	41	8	193	144
	64.8	64.4	65.0	61.9	64.6	63.4	69.0	58.9	64.8	61.3	62.9	59.4	66.9	68.5	65.7	71.3	63.8	78.1	48.3	65.5	63.8
Total Oppose	127	77	49	18	26	44	22	21	21	27	49	33	16	34	22	12	110	9	8	72	54
	24.3	30.4	18.6	21.8	24.8	23.5	27.4	20.4	25.4	29.3	27.5	34.3	19.4	21.8	28.6	15.2	24.2	17.8	49.1	24.6	24.0
Unsure	57	13	43	14	11	25	3	22	8	9	17	6	11	15	4	11	54	2	2.6	29	27
No Opinion	10.9	5.2	16.4	16.2	10.6	13.1	3.6	20.7	9.8	9.4	9.6	6.2	13.7	9.6	5.7	13.5	11.9	4.1		9.9	12.2
Strongly Support	141	58	82	20	28	48	20	28	23	25	48	19	29	44	19	26	115	21	4	83	58
	27.0	22.9	31.1	24.2	26.8	25.7	24.7	26.3	28.1	26.4	27.2	20.3	35.7	28.5	24.2	32.7	25.5	41.2	25.4	28.1	25.7
Somewhat Support	196	106	90	31	39	71	36	34	31	33	63	38	25	62	32	30	174	19	4	110	86
	37.7	41.5	33.9	37.8	37.7	37.8	44.3	32.6	36.7	34.9	35.7	39.2	31.2	40.0	41.5	38.6	38.4	36.9	22.9	37.5	38.1
Strongly Oppose	86	53	33	14	14	28	14	14	14	24	38	25	13	20	13	7	73	7	6	59	28
	16.6	20.9	12.5	16.7	13.9	15.1	17.7	13.2	17.2	25.2	21.4	26.3	15.7	12.8	17.4	8.4	16.1	14.3	38.0	19.9	12.2
Somewhat Oppose	40	24	16	4	11	16	8	8	7	4	11	8	3	14	9	5	37	2	2	14	27
	7.7	9.5	6.0	5.2	10.9	8.3	9.7	7.2	8.2	4.1	6.0	8.0	3.7	9.0	11.2	6.8	8.1	3.5	11.1	4.6	11.8

				Democra	at Voters				ı	Unaffiliate	ed Voters				I	Republica	an Voters			Other Voters
	TOTAL	Dems	Dem Men	Dem Wom	Dem 18-44	Dem 45-64	Dem 65+	Unaf	Unaf Men	Unaf Wom	Unaf 18-44	Unaf 45-64	Unaf 65+	Reps	Rep Men	Rep Wom	Rep 18-44	Rep 45-64	Rep 65+	Other Party
TOTAL	520	99	27	71	31	29	39	218	114	104	86	80	52	192	108	85	65	65	62	10
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total Support	337	77	21	54	25	21	31	143	72	71	56	55	32	110	67	43	35	32	42	7
	64.8	77.6	79.9	76.6	79.4	73.4	79.2	65.6	63.2	68.2	65.0	68.8	61.8	57.0	61.9	50.7	54.1	49.6	67.9	68.5
Total Oppose	127	7	2	5	2	2	3	56	37	19	22	18	15	62	39	24	20	28	15	1
	24.3	7.4	6.4	7.7	5.4	8.4	8.2	25.5	32.3	18.2	26.0	22.7	29.0	32.4	35.9	28.0	30.6	42.8	23.5	10.8
Unsure	57	15	4	11	5	5	5	19	5	14	8	7	5	20	2	18	10	5	5	2
No Opinion	10.9	15.0	13.7	15.7	15.1	18.2	12.6	8.8	4.5	13.6	8.9	8.5	9.2	10.6	2.2	21.2	15.4	7.6	8.6	20.6
Strongly Support	141	40	9	31	10	11	20	52	21	31	20	22	9	47	27	20	17	14	16	2
	27.0	40.7	33.4	43.5	31.5	37.4	50.6	23.6	18.3	29.6	23.8	27.7	17.1	24.3	24.6	24.0	25.6	21.9	25.6	19.2
Somewhat Support	196	36	13	24	15	10	11	92	51	40	35	33	23	63	40	23	19	18	26	5
	37.7	36.9	46.5	33.2	48.0	36.1	28.7	42.0	44.9	38.7	41.2	41.2	44.7	32.7	37.3	26.8	28.5	27.7	42.3	49.4
Strongly Oppose	86	5	2	3	1	2	2	33	21	11	12	14	7	48	30	18	16	22	10	1
	16.6	4.9	6.4	4.3	2.5	5.5	6.2	15.0	18.8	10.9	13.9	17.6	13.1	24.7	27.6	21.0	24.0	34.0	15.5	10.8
Somewhat Oppose	40 7.7	2 2.5		2 3.4	1 2.9	1 2.8	1 2.0	23 10.5	15 13.5	8 7.3	10 12.2	4 5.2	8 15.9	15 7.7	9 8.3	6 7.0	4 6.5	6 8.8	5 7.9	

		Par	ent	Tenu	ire at Cur	rent Add	ress		Income		Familia	arity with	Wellingto	n Fire	A	Approval	of WFPD		Opinior	on Fire I Area	Risk in
	TOTAL	Yes Prnt	No Prnt	Less 5Yrs	5-10 Yrs	11-20 Yrs	More 20Yrs	Less \$75k	\$75k \$150k	More \$150k	Very Some	Very Fam	Some Fam	Not All	Tot Aprv	Strg Aprv	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Inc	Abt Same	Tot Dec
TOTAL	520	174	346	159	165	105	79	112	202	117	438	136	302	78	408	284	25	14	349	126	12
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total Support	337	110	227	107	109	62	56	76	138	78	281	85	195	54	281	218	6	1	254	61	4
	64.8	63.1	65.7	67.2	66.0	59.2	70.6	68.2	68.4	66.5	64.2	62.8	64.8	69.4	68.8	76.7	23.6	5.7	72.9	48.9	32.2
Total Oppose	127	47	80	25	45	33	17	23	42	32	109	43	65	15	82	32	19	13	64	52	6
	24.3	27.0	23.0	15.9	27.1	31.3	21.4	20.9	20.9	26.9	24.9	32.0	21.6	19.7	20.2	11.4	76.4	94.3	18.2	41.3	49.1
Unsure No Opinion	57 10.9	17 9.9	39 11.4	27 16.9	11 6.8	10 9.5	6 8.0	12 10.9	22 10.7	8 6.6	48 11.0	7 5.1	41 13.6	9 10.9	45 10.9	34 12.0			31 8.9	12 9.8	2 18.7
Strongly Support	141 27.0	46 26.3	95 27.4	49 30.5	42 25.3	26 25.1	24 30.3	29 26.0	67 33.3	27 23.0	121 27.7	52 38.6	69 22.8	18 23.2	127 31.1	113 39.9	3 10.7		118 33.9	16 12.9	
Somewhat Support	196	64	132	59	67	36	32	47	71	51	160	33	127	36	154	105	3	1	136	45	4
	37.7	36.8	38.2	36.7	40.7	34.0	40.3	42.1	35.1	43.5	36.5	24.2	42.0	46.2	37.7	36.8	12.9	5.7	39.0	36.0	32.2
Strongly Oppose	86	32	54	18	26	25	10	16	27	24	80	36	44	7	51	18	18	12	33	45	6
	16.6	18.3	15.7	11.4	16.0	24.1	12.6	14.0	13.3	20.1	18.2	26.5	14.5	8.4	12.5	6.3	73.0	88.0	9.5	36.0	49.1
Somewhat Oppose	40 7.7	15 8.7	25 7.2	7 4.5	18 11.2	8 7.2	7 8.8	8 6.9	15 7.6	8 6.8	29 6.6	8 5.6	21 7.1	9 11.4	31 7.7	14 5.1	1 3.4	1 6.2	30 8.7	7 5.3	

			n on Emer vices in Aı		WFP	D Fiscal F	Responsil	oility	Opinio General Tax for	Sales	Unin	formed V	/FPD Sal	es Tax B	allot	Info	ormed WF	FPD Sale:	s Tax Bal	lot
	TOTAL	Tot Inc	Abt Same	Tot Dec	Tot Agr	Strg Agre	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Sup	Tot Opp	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und
TOTAL	520 100.0	427 100.0	64 100.0	3 100.0	214 100.0	117 100.0	79 100.0	43 100.0	337 100.0	127 100.0	317 100.0	124 100.0	130 100.0	72 100.0	69 100.0	358 100.0	187 100.0	115 100.0	72 100.0	46 100.0
Total Support	337 64.8	298 69.8	22 33.9		168 78.3	104 88.7	22 27.4	6 13.9	337 100.0		279 88.1	115 92.8	19 14.5	2 2.6	35 50.9	302 84.3	172 91.9	19 16.7	5 6.7	15 32.0
Total Oppose	127 24.3	81 19.0	38 60.4	3 100.0	30 14.2	4 3.7	54 69.1	36 84.1		127 100.0	13 4.1	3 2.6	105 80.6	69 96.4	9 12.5	18 5.0	4 2.0	94 81.8	67 92.3	15 32.0
Unsure No Opinion	57 10.9	48 11.2	4 5.6		16 7.5	9 7.6	3 3.5	1 2.0			25 7.8	6 4.6	6 4.9	1 1.0	25 36.7	38 10.7	12 6.2	2 1.5	1 1.0	16 36.0
Strongly Support	141 27.0	129 30.2	3 5.4		91 42.4	66 56.8	6 7.4	1 2.5	141 41.7		132 41.6	89 71.3	2 1.5	1 1.5	7 9.6	135 37.8	108 57.7	4 3.7	1 1.5	1 2.0
Somewhat Support	196 37.7	169 39.6	18 28.5		77 36.0	37 32.0	16 20.0	5 11.4	196 58.3		147 46.5	27 21.6	17 13.0	1 1.1	29 41.3	166 46.5	64 34.1	15 12.9	4 5.2	14 30.0
Strongly Oppose	86 16.6	48 11.3	34 53.4	3 100.0	17 8.0	2 1.6	46 58.7	32 74.5		86 68.2	2 0.7	2 1.8	82 62.8	66 91.9	2 3.2	2 0.6		79 69.0	63 87.3	5 10.2
Somewhat Oppose	40 7.7	33 7.7	4 7.0		13 6.2	2 2.1	8 10.4	4 9.6		40 31.8	11 3.4	1 0.7	23 17.7	3 4.6	6 9.3	16 4.3	4 2.0	15 12.9	4 5.0	10 21.8

Before we continue, you should know that the Wellington Fire Protection District has not decided to place a ballot measure before voters this November to generate additional revenue for the Wellington Fire Protection District. However, your participation in this survey will strongly influence that decision. Let's continue the survey now.

T11. Wellington Fire Protection District is considering the following ballot measure this November. After reading it, please indicate if you would vote yes and approve it or if you would vote no and reject it. The ballot measure reads as follows: SHALL WELLINGTON FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT TAXES BE INCREASED \$675,801.00 IN FISCAL YEAR 2026 AND BY WHATEVER AMOUNTS AS ARE RAISED THEREAFTER, FROM A ONE-HALF PERCENT (0.5%) SALES TAX, FOR THE PURPOSE OF REDUCING THE FINANCIAL IMPACTS OF GROWTH ON EXISTING PROPERTY OWNERS BY SHARING THE COST OF FIRE AND EMERGENCY SERVICES WITH BUSINESS PATRONS WHO RESIDE OUTSIDE THE DISTRICT BOUNDARIES AND OFFSETTING LOST REVENUE DUE TO THE LIMIT ON PROPERTY ASSESSMENT RATES, IN ORDER TO MAINTAIN VITAL EMERGENCY SERVICES FOR THE RESIDENTS, PROPERTY OWNERS AND BUSINESSES SERVED BY THE DISTRICT, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: FUNDING AN INTERNAL FIRE MARSHAL POSITION. PROVIDING FUNDING FOR RECURRING CAPITAL PROJECTS SUCH AS APPARATUS REPLACEMENT AND REPAIR AS WELL AS FIRE STATION MAINTENANCE AND IMPROVEMENTS. AND SHALL THE RESULTING TAX REVENUE AND INVESTMENT EARNINGS THEREON BE COLLECTED, RETAINED, AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT AS VOTER APPROVED REVENUE AND SPENDING CHANGES IN EACH YEAR, WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY CONSTITUTIONAL OR STATUATORY SPENDING OR REVENUE LIMITATION INCLUDING THOSE LIMITS CONTAINED IN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION OR ANY OTHER LAW?

		Gen							·	ge-Gende								ce-Ethnic	,	Colle Deg	ree
	TOTAL	Men	Wom	18-34	35-44	18-44	18-44 Men	18-44 Wom	45-54	55-64	45-64	45-64 Men	45-64 Wom	65+	65+ Men	65+ Wom	Whit	Hisp	Oth	Yes Deg	No Deg
TOTAL	520	255	264	83	104	187	82	105	83	94	177	96	80	156	77	79	452	52	16	295	225
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total Yes, Approve	317	150	166	57	68	125	55	69	46	51	97	52	45	96	43	52	275	38	5	181	136
	61.0	58.9	63.0	68.2	65.2	66.6	67.1	66.0	55.5	54.4	54.9	54.0	55.9	61.3	56.2	66.3	60.7	72.8	31.3	61.3	60.6
Total No, Reject	130	76	54	15	27	43	20	22	26	29	55	35	19	33	20	13	114	7	8	85	46
	25.0	29.7	20.6	18.6	26.3	22.9	25.1	21.3	30.8	30.8	30.8	36.8	23.7	21.0	25.7	16.4	25.3	14.3	52.8	28.7	20.2
Undecided	69	27	42	9	9	17	4	13	11	14	25	9	16	27	14	13	60	7	2	29	40
	13.3	10.5	16.0	10.4	8.4	9.3	5.0	12.7	13.6	14.8	14.2	9.2	20.3	17.0	18.0	16.1	13.3	12.9	15.9	10.0	17.7
Definitely Yes,	124	52	72	22	23	45	21	24	20	21	41	18	23	38	13	25	108	13	4	68	56
Approve	23.9	20.3	27.4	26.9	22.2	24.3	25.6	23.2	23.5	22.6	23.0	18.6	28.4	24.5	16.7	32.1	23.8	25.5	22.6	23.1	25.1
Probably Yes, Approve	193	98	94	34	45	79	34	45	27	30	56	34	22	57	30	27	167	25	1	113	80
	37.1	38.6	35.6	41.3	43.0	42.3	41.5	42.8	32.1	31.8	31.9	35.4	27.5	36.8	39.5	34.2	36.9	47.3	8.7	38.3	35.6
Definitely No, Reject	72	46	25	10	13	23	12	11	14	19	34	23	10	15	12	3	60	7	4	52	20
	13.8	18.2	9.5	12.1	12.5	12.3	14.1	10.9	17.1	20.7	19.0	24.1	12.9	9.6	15.3	4.0	13.2	14.3	28.2	17.6	8.8
Probably No, Reject	59 11.3	29 11.4	29 11.1	5 6.5	14 13.8	20 10.6	9 10.9	11 10.4	11 13.7	10 10.2	21 11.8	12 12.7	9 10.9	18 11.4	8 10.4	10 12.3	55 12.1		4 24.6	33 11.1	26 11.4

Before we continue, you should know that the Wellington Fire Protection District has not decided to place a ballot measure before voters this November to generate additional revenue for the Wellington Fire Protection District. However, your participation in this survey will strongly influence that decision. Let's continue the survey now.

T11. Wellington Fire Protection District is considering the following ballot measure this November. After reading it, please indicate if you would vote yes and approve it or if you would vote no and reject it. The ballot measure reads as follows: SHALL WELLINGTON FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT TAXES BE INCREASED \$675,801.00 IN FISCAL YEAR 2026 AND BY WHATEVER AMOUNTS AS ARE RAISED THEREAFTER, FROM A ONE-HALF PERCENT (0.5%) SALES TAX, FOR THE PURPOSE OF REDUCING THE FINANCIAL IMPACTS OF GROWTH ON EXISTING PROPERTY OWNERS BY SHARING THE COST OF FIRE AND EMERGENCY SERVICES WITH BUSINESS PATRONS WHO RESIDE OUTSIDE THE DISTRICT BOUNDARIES AND OFFSETTING LOST REVENUE DUE TO THE LIMIT ON PROPERTY ASSESSMENT RATES, IN ORDER TO MAINTAIN VITAL EMERGENCY SERVICES FOR THE RESIDENTS, PROPERTY OWNERS AND BUSINESSES SERVED BY THE DISTRICT, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: FUNDING AN INTERNAL FIRE MARSHAL POSITION. PROVIDING FUNDING FOR RECURRING CAPITAL PROJECTS SUCH AS APPARATUS REPLACEMENT AND REPAIR AS WELL AS FIRE STATION MAINTENANCE AND IMPROVEMENTS. AND SHALL THE RESULTING TAX REVENUE AND INVESTMENT EARNINGS THEREON BE COLLECTED, RETAINED, AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT AS VOTER APPROVED REVENUE AND SPENDING CHANGES IN EACH YEAR, WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY CONSTITUTIONAL OR STATUATORY SPENDING OR REVENUE LIMITATION INCLUDING THOSE LIMITS CONTAINED IN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION OR ANY OTHER LAW?

Other

				Democra	at Voters				ı	Unaffiliate	ed Voters					Republica	an Voters			Voters
	TOTAL	Dems	Dem Men	Dem Wom	Dem 18-44	Dem 45-64	Dem 65+	Unaf	Unaf Men	Unaf Wom	Unaf 18-44	Unaf 45-64	Unaf 65+	Reps	Rep Men	Rep Wom	Rep 18-44	Rep 45-64	Rep 65+	Other Party
TOTAL	520	99	27	71	31	29	39	218	114	104	86	80	52	192	108	85	65	65	62	10
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total Yes, Approve	317	73	19	53	26	18	29	135	65	70	57	50	28	102	61	41	37	27	38	7
	61.0	74.1	71.4	75.0	83.6	63.2	74.6	61.7	56.8	67.0	66.6	62.0	53.1	53.1	56.8	48.3	57.1	41.3	61.2	70.1
Total No, Reject	130	11	3	7	3	3	4	54	33	21	20	21	13	63	38	25	19	30	15	2
	25.0	10.9	12.2	10.6	11.2	11.1	10.6	24.8	29.4	19.7	23.3	26.4	24.8	32.7	34.8	30.0	28.4	45.8	23.5	22.0
Undecided	69	15	4	10	2	7	6	30	16	14	9	9	12	24	7	17	7	8	8	1
	13.3	15.0	16.4	14.5	5.2	25.7	14.8	13.5	13.8	13.3	10.1	11.7	22.1	12.5	6.2	20.5	11.0	12.9	13.6	7.9
Definitely Yes, Approve	124	29	4	24	7	8	13	50	23	28	22	19	9	44	24	21	15	14	16	1
	23.9	29.2	16.4	33.8	23.4	28.4	34.4	23.0	19.9	26.5	26.1	23.4	17.1	23.1	22.1	24.5	22.8	21.0	25.7	8.6
Probably Yes, Approve	193	44	15	29	19	10	16	85	42	42	35	31	19	58	37	20	22	13	22	6
	37.1	44.9	54.9	41.2	60.2	34.8	40.2	38.7	36.9	40.5	40.5	38.5	36.1	30.0	34.8	23.8	34.3	20.3	35.5	61.5
Definitely No, Reject	72	4	2	2	1	2	1	29	19	9	11	12	6	38	26	12	11	20	7	1
	13.8	4.0	6.4	3.1	4.6	5.5	2.4	13.2	16.9	9.0	12.5	15.2	11.4	19.8	23.7	14.7	16.7	30.4	11.8	8.1
Probably No, Reject	59	7	2	5	2	2	3	25	14	11	9	9	7	25	12	13	8	10	7	1
	11.3	6.9	5.8	7.4	6.6	5.6	8.2	11.6	12.5	10.7	10.8	11.2	13.5	12.9	11.1	15.3	11.7	15.4	11.7	13.9

Before we continue, you should know that the Wellington Fire Protection District has not decided to place a ballot measure before voters this November to generate additional revenue for the Wellington Fire Protection District. However, your participation in this survey will strongly influence that decision. Let's continue the survey now.

T11. Wellington Fire Protection District is considering the following ballot measure this November. After reading it, please indicate if you would vote yes and approve it or if you would vote no and reject it. The ballot measure reads as follows: SHALL WELLINGTON FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT TAXES BE INCREASED \$675,801.00 IN FISCAL YEAR 2026 AND BY WHATEVER AMOUNTS AS ARE RAISED THEREAFTER, FROM A ONE-HALF PERCENT (0.5%) SALES TAX, FOR THE PURPOSE OF REDUCING THE FINANCIAL IMPACTS OF GROWTH ON EXISTING PROPERTY OWNERS BY SHARING THE COST OF FIRE AND EMERGENCY SERVICES WITH BUSINESS PATRONS WHO RESIDE OUTSIDE THE DISTRICT BOUNDARIES AND OFFSETTING LOST REVENUE DUE TO THE LIMIT ON PROPERTY ASSESSMENT RATES, IN ORDER TO MAINTAIN VITAL EMERGENCY SERVICES FOR THE RESIDENTS, PROPERTY OWNERS AND BUSINESSES SERVED BY THE DISTRICT, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: FUNDING AN INTERNAL FIRE MARSHAL POSITION. PROVIDING FUNDING FOR RECURRING CAPITAL PROJECTS SUCH AS APPARATUS REPLACEMENT AND REPAIR AS WELL AS FIRE STATION MAINTENANCE AND IMPROVEMENTS. AND SHALL THE RESULTING TAX REVENUE AND INVESTMENT EARNINGS THEREON BE COLLECTED, RETAINED, AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT AS VOTER APPROVED REVENUE AND SPENDING CHANGES IN EACH YEAR, WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY CONSTITUTIONAL OR STATUATORY SPENDING OR REVENUE LIMITATION INCLUDING THOSE LIMITS CONTAINED IN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION OR ANY OTHER LAW?

		Pare	ent	Tenu	re at Cur	rent Add	ress		Income			•	Wellingto			Approval (Opinion	on Fire I Area	Risk in
	TOTAL	Yes Prnt	No Prnt	Less 5Yrs	5-10 Yrs	11-20 Yrs	More 20Yrs	Less \$75k	\$75k \$150k	More \$150k	Very Some	Very Fam	Some Fam	Not All	Tot Aprv	Strg Aprv	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Inc	Abt Same	Tot Dec
TOTAL	520	174	346	159	165	105	79	112	202	117	438	136	302	78	408	284	25	14	349	126	12
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total Yes, Approve	317	111	206	112	99	56	48	75	128	73	268	79	189	48	276	217	4	1	240	58	2
	61.0	63.9	59.6	70.0	60.3	53.1	60.7	67.2	63.6	62.0	61.2	58.3	62.5	61.0	67.7	76.4	15.9	5.7	68.6	46.2	16.1
Total No, Reject	130	49	81	23	49	37	15	21	44	34	114	43	71	14	78	29	21	13	64	53	8
	25.0	28.3	23.4	14.4	29.7	34.7	19.0	18.6	21.7	28.7	26.0	31.8	23.4	18.1	19.2	10.4	84.1	94.3	18.4	42.0	63.9
Undecided	69 13.3	11 6.5	58 16.7	25 15.6	16 10.0	12 11.2	16 20.3	16 14.2	30 14.7	11 9.3	53 12.1	11 8.2	42 13.8	16 20.9	50 12.2	34 12.0			44 12.7	13 10.0	2 20.0
Definitely Yes, Approve	124 23.9	44 25.2	81 23.3	44 27.4	37 22.7	21 19.6	23 28.8	30 26.3	53 26.3	30 25.3	105 23.9	46 34.2	58 19.3	20 25.3	112 27.4	98 34.4			102 29.2	17 13.3	
Probably Yes, Approve	193	68	125	68	62	35	25	46	75	43	163	33	130	28	164	119	4	1	138	41	2
	37.1	38.8	36.3	42.5	37.6	33.5	31.9	40.8	37.3	36.7	37.3	24.1	43.2	35.8	40.3	42.0	15.9	5.7	39.5	32.8	16.1
Definitely No, Reject	72	25	47	14	26	17	9	11	23	21	63	32	31	7	38	14	18	12	28	38	6
	13.8	14.1	13.6	8.8	16.0	16.3	10.9	10.0	11.2	17.9	14.5	23.7	10.4	9.2	9.4	5.1	73.1	88.0	8.0	30.0	47.9
Probably No, Reject	59	25	34	9	23	19	6	10	21	13	50	11	39	7	40	15	3	1	36	15	2
	11.3	14.2	9.8	5.5	13.7	18.4	8.1	8.6	10.5	10.9	11.5	8.1	13.0	8.9	9.8	5.3	11.0	6.2	10.4	12.0	16.0

Before we continue, you should know that the Wellington Fire Protection District has not decided to place a ballot measure before voters this November to generate additional revenue for the Wellington Fire Protection District. However, your participation in this survey will strongly influence that decision. Let's continue the survey now.

T11. Wellington Fire Protection District is considering the following ballot measure this November. After reading it, please indicate if you would vote yes and approve it or if you would vote no and reject it. The ballot measure reads as follows: SHALL WELLINGTON FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT TAXES BE INCREASED \$675,801.00 IN FISCAL YEAR 2026 AND BY WHATEVER AMOUNTS AS ARE RAISED THEREAFTER, FROM A ONE-HALF PERCENT (0.5%) SALES TAX, FOR THE PURPOSE OF REDUCING THE FINANCIAL IMPACTS OF GROWTH ON EXISTING PROPERTY OWNERS BY SHARING THE COST OF FIRE AND EMERGENCY SERVICES WITH BUSINESS PATRONS WHO RESIDE OUTSIDE THE DISTRICT BOUNDARIES AND OFFSETTING LOST REVENUE DUE TO THE LIMIT ON PROPERTY ASSESSMENT RATES, IN ORDER TO MAINTAIN VITAL EMERGENCY SERVICES FOR THE RESIDENTS, PROPERTY OWNERS AND BUSINESSES SERVED BY THE DISTRICT, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: FUNDING AN INTERNAL FIRE MARSHAL POSITION. PROVIDING FUNDING FOR RECURRING CAPITAL PROJECTS SUCH AS APPARATUS REPLACEMENT AND REPAIR AS WELL AS FIRE STATION MAINTENANCE AND IMPROVEMENTS. AND SHALL THE RESULTING TAX REVENUE AND INVESTMENT EARNINGS THEREON BE COLLECTED, RETAINED, AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT AS VOTER APPROVED REVENUE AND SPENDING CHANGES IN EACH YEAR, WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY CONSTITUTIONAL OR STATUATORY SPENDING OR REVENUE LIMITATION INCLUDING THOSE LIMITS CONTAINED IN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION OR ANY OTHER LAW?

			n on Emer vices in A		WFP	D Fiscal f	Responsil	oility	Opinio Genera Tax for	l Sales	Unin	Iformed V	/FPD Sal	es Tax Ba	allot	Info	ormed WF	FPD Sale	s Tax Bal	lot
	TOTAL	Tot Inc	Abt Same	Tot Dec	Tot Agr	Strg Agre	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Sup	Tot Opp	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und
TOTAL	520 100.0	427 100.0	64 100.0	3 100.0	214 100.0	117 100.0	79 100.0	43 100.0	337 100.0	127 100.0	317 100.0	124 100.0	130 100.0	72 100.0	69 100.0	358 100.0	187 100.0	115 100.0	72 100.0	46 100.0
Total Yes, Approve	317 61.0	288 67.4	14 21.4		166 77.5	102 86.9	19 23.5	5 12.4	279 83.0	13 10.3	317 100.0	124 100.0				306 85.4	178 95.3	5 3.9	2 3.0	6 12.5
Total No, Reject	130 25.0	83 19.5	42 66.4	3 100.0	23 10.7	1 0.9	58 73.1	37 85.6	19 5.6	105 82.9			130 100.0	72 100.0		9 2.6	1 0.3	110 95.3	70 97.0	11 24.3
Undecided	69 13.3	55 12.9	5 8.6		22 10.2	11 9.4	3 3.4	1 2.0	35 10.4	9 6.8					69 100.0	39 11.0	8 4.3	1 0.8		29 63.1
Definitely Yes, Approve	124 23.9	119 27.8	2 2.7		87 40.5	64 54.6	4 4.6		115 34.3	3 2.5	124 39.2	124 100.0				124 34.7	118 62.9			
Probably Yes, Approve	193 37.1	169 39.6	12 18.7		79 37.1	38 32.3	15 18.9	5 12.4	164 48.7	10 7.8	193 60.8					182 50.7	61 32.5	5 3.9	2 3.0	6 12.5
Definitely No, Reject	72 13.8	37 8.6	32 50.0	3 100.0	9 4.3		42 53.6	28 66.3	2 0.5	69 54.6			72 55.0	72 100.0				68 59.4	62 85.3	3 7.3
Probably No, Reject	59 11.3	46 10.9	10 16.4		14 6.4	1 0.9	15 19.5	8 19.3	17 5.1	36 28.3			59 45.0			9 2.6	1 0.3	41 35.9	8 11.6	8 17.1

We will now share some information about why the Wellington Fire Protection District is considering a ballot measure to hire an internal fire marshal, and fund updates to the current stations and apparatus. After reading the information, please indicate if you are more likely to vote yes and approve the ballot measure or if you are more likely to vote no and reject it. Let's get started:

T12. The Wellington Fire Protection District operates two fire stations 24

^{7,} that protect and serve approximately 15,000 residents. The department has 21 full-time and 12 part-time fire fighters. Knowing this information, are you:

		Gen	der						Ą	ge-Gend	er						Rad	ce-Ethnic	ity	Colle Deg	•
	TOTAL	Men	Wom	18-34	35-44	18-44	18-44 Men	18-44 Wom	45-54	55-64	45-64	45-64 Men	45-64 Wom	65+	65+ Men	65+ Wom	Whit	Hisp	Oth	Yes Deg	No Deg
TOTAL	520	255	264	83	104	187	82	105	83	94	177	96	80	156	77	79	452	52	16	295	225
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total More Likely Vote Yes,	346	152	194	54	76	130	52	77	53	56	109	49	60	107	51	57	296	45	6	191	156
Approve	66.6	59.5	73.4	65.3	73.0	69.6	64.0	73.8	63.8	59.4	61.5	50.6	74.5	68.8	65.8	71.8	65.4	85.7	38.7	64.6	69.2
Total More Likely Vote No,	87	54	33	14	14	28	15	13	13	22	36	23	13	24	16	8	75	7	5	52	35
Reject	16.8	21.2	12.6	16.8	13.3	14.9	18.3	12.2	16.2	24.0	20.3	24.1	15.8	15.2	20.6	9.8	16.6	14.3	31.2	17.7	15.6
Unsure	86	49	37	15	14	29	14	15	17	15	32	24	8	25	10	15	81		5	52	34
No Opinion	16.6	19.3	14.0	17.9	13.6	15.5	17.7	14.0	20.0	16.5	18.2	25.3	9.7	16.0	13.5	18.4	18.0		30.1	17.6	15.2
Much More Likely Vote	147	52	94	18	37	55	15	39	24	28	53	23	29	40	13	26	124	19	4	82	65
Yes, Approve	28.3	20.3	35.8	21.2	35.8	29.3	18.6	37.1	29.2	30.2	29.7	24.4	36.3	25.4	17.2	33.4	27.5	35.6	27.6	27.7	29.0
Somewhat More Likely Vote Yes, Approve	199	100	99	37	39	75	37	38	29	27	56	25	31	68	37	30	172	26	2	109	90
	38.3	39.1	37.6	44.1	37.3	40.3	45.4	36.7	34.6	29.3	31.8	26.2	38.1	43.4	48.6	38.3	37.9	50.1	11.1	36.9	40.2
Much More Likely Vote	44	29	15	6	6	12	6	7	8	13	21	14	7	10	9	1	34	7	2	32	12
No, Reject	8.5	11.4	5.6	7.5	5.9	6.6	7.0	6.2	9.9	13.8	12.0	14.8	8.6	6.7	12.0	1.6	7.5	14.3	15.8	10.8	5.5
Somewhat More Likely	43	25	19	8	8	15	9	6	5	10	15	9	6	13	7	6	41		2	21	23
Vote No, Reject	8.3	9.8	7.0	9.3	7.4	8.3	11.3	5.9	6.3	10.2	8.3	9.3	7.2	8.4	8.7	8.2	9.1		15.4	7.0	10.1

We will now share some information about why the Wellington Fire Protection District is considering a ballot measure to hire an internal fire marshal, and fund updates to the current stations and apparatus. After reading the information, please indicate if you are more likely to vote yes and approve the ballot measure or if you are more likely to vote no and reject it. Let's get started:

T12. The Wellington Fire Protection District operates two fire stations 24

^{7,} that protect and serve approximately 15,000 residents. The department has 21 full-time and 12 part-time fire fighters. Knowing this information, are you:

				Democra						Jnaffiliate						·	an Voters			Other Voters
	TOTAL	Dems	Dem Men	Dem Wom	Dem 18-44	Dem 45-64	Dem 65+	Unaf	Unaf Men	Unaf Wom	Unaf 18-44	Unaf 45-64	Unaf 65+	Reps	Rep Men	Rep Wom	Rep 18-44	Rep 45-64	Rep 65+	Other Party
TOTAL	520	99	27	71	31	29	39	218	114	104	86	80	52	192	108	85	65	65	62	10
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total More Likely Vote Yes,	346	81	21	59	27	21	33	141	67	73	57	52	31	115	57	58	42	33	41	9
Approve	66.6	82.5	78.5	83.8	86.0	74.6	85.5	64.4	59.0	70.2	66.2	65.4	59.8	59.9	53.3	68.4	63.9	49.9	66.4	86.4
Total More Likely Vote No,	87	5	2	3	1	2	2	34	21	13	12	12	10	47	31	16	14	22	11	1
Reject	16.8	4.7	6.4	4.2	4.6	5.5	4.3	15.6	18.3	12.6	14.4	14.5	19.5	24.5	28.7	19.2	21.6	34.4	17.2	13.6
Unsure	86	13	4	9	3	6	4	44	26	18	17	16	11	30	19	11	9	10	10	
No Opinion	16.6	12.8	15.1	12.0	9.5	19.9	10.2	20.0	22.6	17.2	19.4	20.1	20.7	15.5	18.0	12.4	14.5	15.7	16.4	
Much More Likely Vote	147	34	6	27	9	12	13	62	20	42	29	26	8	50	25	25	16	15	19	1
Yes, Approve	28.3	34.0	23.0	37.8	30.4	40.2	32.2	28.6	17.2	40.7	33.6	32.3	14.6	26.1	23.4	29.6	24.0	23.2	31.4	8.6
Somewhat More Likely Vote Yes, Approve	199	48	15	33	17	10	21	78	48	31	28	27	24	65	32	33	26	17	22	8
	38.3	48.5	55.5	45.9	55.6	34.4	53.3	35.8	41.8	29.5	32.6	33.1	45.2	33.8	29.9	38.8	39.9	26.7	35.0	77.8
Much More Likely Vote No, Reject	44 8.5	2 1.7	1 3.4	1 1.1		1 2.8	1 2.4	15 6.8	10 8.4	5 4.9	6 6.6	6 7.1	3 6.7	27 14.3	19 17.3	9 10.4	7 10.2	15 22.5	6 9.8	
Somewhat More Likely	43	3	1	2	1	1	1	19	11	8	7	6	7	20	12	7	7	8	5	1
Vote No, Reject	8.3	3.0	2.9	3.1	4.6	2.8	2.0	8.8	9.9	7.7	7.7	7.4	12.8	10.3	11.4	8.8	11.4	11.9	7.4	13.6

We will now share some information about why the Wellington Fire Protection District is considering a ballot measure to hire an internal fire marshal, and fund updates to the current stations and apparatus. After reading the information, please indicate if you are more likely to vote yes and approve the ballot measure or if you are more likely to vote no and reject it. Let's get started:

T12. The Wellington Fire Protection District operates two fire stations 24

^{7,} that protect and serve approximately 15,000 residents. The department has 21 full-time and 12 part-time fire fighters. Knowing this information, are you:

		Par	Parent Tenure at Current Address						Income		Familia	arity with	Wellingto	n Fire	Å	Approval (of WFPD		Opinion	on Fire I Area	Risk in
	TOTAL	Yes Prnt	No Prnt	Less 5Yrs	5-10 Yrs	11-20 Yrs	More 20Yrs	Less \$75k	\$75k \$150k	More \$150k	Very Some	Very Fam	Some Fam	Not All	Tot Aprv	Strg Aprv	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Inc	Abt Same	Tot Dec
TOTAL	520	174	346	159	165	105	79	112	202	117	438	136	302	78	408	284	25	14	349	126	12
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total More Likely Vote Yes,	346	116	230	115	110	65	54	90	138	76	294	75	218	51	299	236	3	2	259	59	3
Approve	66.6	66.8	66.5	71.9	66.6	61.8	69.0	79.9	68.4	65.2	67.1	55.5	72.3	65.0	73.3	83.2	13.8	12.0	74.2	47.1	23.2
Total More Likely Vote No,	87	28	60	15	27	24	12	16	25	22	81	39	42	6	52	23	21	12	37	43	7
Reject	16.8	16.0	17.2	9.7	16.1	23.2	15.0	14.0	12.4	19.1	18.6	28.6	14.0	7.9	12.8	8.2	83.3	88.0	10.7	34.2	56.8
Unsure	86	30	56	29	28	16	13	7	39	18	63	21	41	21	57	25	1		53	24	2
No Opinion	16.6	17.2	16.2	18.4	17.3	15.0	16.0	6.1	19.2	15.7	14.3	15.8	13.6	27.1	13.9	8.7	2.9		15.0	18.7	20.0
Much More Likely Vote Yes, Approve	147 28.3	52 29.8	95 27.5	45 28.3	51 30.8	24 22.6	27 34.7	37 32.9	61 30.3	32 27.5	127 29.1	48 35.2	79 26.3	20 25.4	136 33.3	118 41.6			119 34.1	18 14.6	2 16.1
Somewhat More Likely Vote Yes, Approve	199	64	135	69	59	41	27	53	77	44	166	28	139	31	163	118	3	2	140	41	1
	38.3	37.0	39.0	43.5	35.8	39.1	34.2	47.0	38.1	37.7	38.0	20.3	46.0	39.6	40.0	41.6	13.8	12.0	40.2	32.4	7.1
Much More Likely Vote	44	13	31	5	16	10	7	7	15	12	43	27	16	1	20	11	17	12	13	27	5
No, Reject	8.5	7.7	8.9	3.0	9.6	9.8	9.4	6.6	7.2	10.3	9.8	19.7	5.3	1.7	4.9	3.9	68.8	88.0	3.6	21.2	38.6
Somewhat More Likely	43	14	29	11	11	14	4	8	10	10	39	12	26	5	33	12	4		25	16	2
Vote No, Reject	8.3	8.3	8.4	6.7	6.5	13.4	5.6	7.4	5.2	8.8	8.8	9.0	8.7	6.2	8.0	4.3	14.5		7.1	13.0	18.2

We will now share some information about why the Wellington Fire Protection District is considering a ballot measure to hire an internal fire marshal, and fund updates to the current stations and apparatus. After reading the information, please indicate if you are more likely to vote yes and approve the ballot measure or if you are more likely to vote no and reject it. Let's get started:

T12. The Wellington Fire Protection District operates two fire stations 24

7, that protect and serve approximately 15,000 residents. The department has 21 full-time and 12 part-time fire fighters. Knowing this information, are you:

			n on Emer vices in A		WFP	D Fiscal F	Responsil	oility	Opinio General Tax for	Sales	Unin	formed W	/FPD Sal	es Tax Ba	allot	Info	ormed WF	FPD Sale	s Tax Bal	lot
	TOTAL	Tot Inc	Abt Same	Tot Dec	Tot Agr	Strg Agre	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Sup	Tot Opp	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und
TOTAL	520 100.0	427 100.0	64 100.0	3 100.0	214 100.0	117 100.0	79 100.0	43 100.0	337 100.0	127 100.0	317 100.0	124 100.0	130 100.0	72 100.0	69 100.0	358 100.0	187 100.0	115 100.0	72 100.0	46 100.0
Total More Likely Vote Yes, Approve	346 66.6	309 72.3	17 26.2		177 82.8	109 93.0	17 21.2	6 14.9	283 84.0	23 18.5	289 91.1	119 95.7	18 14.2	2 2.4	38 54.5	319 89.1	176 94.2	10 9.1	3 3.9	16 34.4
Total More Likely Vote No, Reject	87 16.8	48 11.2	36 57.3	3 100.0	21 9.7	3 2.9	46 58.9	33 77.1	11 3.3	74 58.8	3 0.9		82 63.1	58 81.0		5 1.4		79 69.0	59 81.1	3 6.1
Unsure No Opinion	86 16.6	70 16.5	10 16.5		16 7.5	5 4.2	16 20.0	3 8.0	43 12.6	29 22.7	25 7.9	5 4.3	30 22.7	12 16.6	31 45.5	34 9.5	11 5.8	25 21.9	11 15.0	27 59.4
Much More Likely Vote Yes, Approve	147 28.3	133 31.1	4 6.8		97 45.3	71 60.5	2 2.3	1 2.1	138 41.1	1 0.8	143 45.0	99 79.5	1 0.5	1 0.9	4 5.4	146 40.9	128 68.7	1 0.5	1 0.9	
Somewhat More Likely Vote Yes, Approve	199 38.3	176 41.2	12 19.4		80 37.5	38 32.5	15 18.9	5 12.8	145 43.0	22 17.7	146 46.2	20 16.2	18 13.7	1 1.5	34 49.1	173 48.2	48 25.6	10 8.5	2 3.0	16 34.4
Much More Likely Vote No, Reject	44 8.5	18 4.2	24 38.2	2 63.1	8 4.0		33 41.8	26 61.5	1 0.4	42 33.3			44 33.8	43 59.6				44 38.3	42 57.8	
Somewhat More Likely Vote No, Reject	43 8.3	30 7.0	12 19.1	1 36.9	12 5.8	3 2.9	13 17.1	7 15.6	10 3.0	32 25.6	3 0.9		38 29.3	15 21.4		5 1.4		35 30.8	17 23.2	3 6.1

		Gen	der						A	ge-Gende	er						Rad	ce-Ethnic	ity	Colle Deg	•
	TOTAL	Men	Wom	18-34	35-44	18-44	18-44 Men	18-44 Wom	45-54	55-64	45-64	45-64 Men	45-64 Wom	65+	65+ Men	65+ Wom	Whit	Hisp	Oth	Yes Deg	No Deg
TOTAL	520	255	264	83	104	187	82	105	83	94	177	96	80	156	77	79	452	52	16	295	225
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total More Likely Vote Yes,	381	170	209	65	85	149	64	84	55	58	113	53	59	119	54	66	327	45	9	208	172
Approve	73.3	66.9	79.4	77.6	81.4	79.7	78.2	80.8	66.0	61.5	63.6	55.1	73.8	76.4	69.6	83.1	72.4	85.7	57.2	70.7	76.6
Total More Likely Vote No,	77	47	30	8	13	21	11	11	13	21	34	21	13	22	15	7	66	7	4	48	29
Reject	14.9	18.5	11.4	9.4	12.8	11.3	13.0	10.1	15.1	22.8	19.2	21.9	15.8	14.4	20.1	8.7	14.5	14.3	28.3	16.3	13.1
Unsure	62	37	24	11	6	17	7	9	16	15	30	22	8	14	8	6	59		2	38	23
No Opinion	11.9	14.6	9.2	13.0	5.7	9.0	8.8	9.1	18.9	15.7	17.2	23.0	10.4	9.2	10.3	8.2	13.1		14.5	13.0	10.3
Much More Likely Vote	205	73	132	36	44	80	27	53	31	35	66	29	37	59	17	42	173	28	5	118	87
Yes, Approve	39.5	28.6	49.9	43.4	42.5	42.9	32.5	50.5	37.8	37.1	37.4	30.2	46.4	37.8	22.5	52.7	38.2	54.1	29.2	40.1	38.7
Somewhat More Likely Vote Yes, Approve	176	98	78	29	41	69	37	32	23	23	46	24	22	60	36	24	155	16	4	90	85
	33.8	38.3	29.5	34.3	38.9	36.9	45.7	30.3	28.2	24.4	26.2	24.9	27.4	38.6	47.1	30.3	34.2	31.6	28.0	30.6	37.9
Much More Likely Vote	42	28	14	6	5	12	6	6	6	14	20	13	7	10	9	1	32	7	2	31	11
No, Reject	8.1	11.0	5.3	7.5	5.1	6.2	7.0	5.5	7.6	15.0	11.5	13.7	8.8	6.6	12.0	1.3	7.2	14.3	14.8	10.4	5.1
Somewhat More Likely	35	19	16	2	8	10	5	5	6	7	13	8	6	12	6	6	33		2	17	18
Vote No, Reject	6.8	7.5	6.1	1.9	7.7	5.1	5.9	4.5	7.5	7.8	7.6	8.2	7.0	7.8	8.1	7.4	7.3		13.5	5.8	8.0

				Democra	at Voters				l	Jnaffiliate	ed Voters					Republica				Other Voters
	TOTAL	Dems	Dem Men	Dem Wom	Dem 18-44	Dem 45-64	Dem 65+	Unaf	Unaf Men	Unaf Wom	Unaf 18-44	Unaf 45-64	Unaf 65+	Reps	Rep Men	Rep Wom	Rep 18-44	Rep 45-64	Rep 65+	Other Party
TOTAL	520	99	27	71	31	29	39	218	114	104	86	80	52	192	108	85	65	65	62	10
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total More Likely Vote Yes,	381	89	23	65	30	24	36	156	75	80	65	54	37	126	66	61	49	33	44	9
Approve	73.3	90.3	87.1	91.4	95.0	83.9	91.3	71.4	66.1	77.3	76.0	66.8	71.2	65.7	60.9	71.9	75.8	49.9	71.8	89.1
Total More Likely Vote No,	77	4	2	2	1	2	2	28	18	11	11	10	8	44	28	16	9	22	12	1
Reject	14.9	4.1	6.4	3.3	2.5	5.5	4.3	13.0	15.5	10.3	12.7	11.9	15.2	22.8	25.6	19.2	14.5	34.4	19.2	10.9
Unsure	62	6	2	4	1	3	2	34	21	13	10	17	7	22	15	8	6	10	6	
No Opinion	11.9	5.6	6.6	5.3	2.5	10.6	4.4	15.5	18.4	12.4	11.3	21.3	13.7	11.5	13.5	8.9	9.7	15.7	9.0	
Much More Likely Vote	205	52	9	42	16	15	21	86	32	53	38	34	13	67	31	36	25	17	25	1
Yes, Approve	39.5	52.2	32.9	59.5	51.3	50.7	54.0	39.4	28.4	51.3	44.7	42.8	25.5	34.7	28.6	42.5	38.3	26.5	39.6	8.6
Somewhat More Likely Vote Yes, Approve	176	38	15	23	14	10	15	70	43	27	27	19	24	60	35	25	24	15	20	8
	33.8	38.1	54.2	31.9	43.6	33.2	37.3	32.0	37.7	26.0	31.3	24.0	45.7	31.0	32.3	29.3	37.5	23.4	32.1	80.5
Much More Likely Vote No, Reject	42 8.1	2 1.7	1 3.4	1 1.1		1 2.8	1 2.4	15 6.9	11 9.4	4 4.1	5 5.7	7 8.8	3 6.2	25 13.1	16 15.3	9 10.4	7 10.2	13 19.2	6 9.8	
Somewhat More Likely	35	2	1	2	1	1	1	13	7	6	6	3	5	19	11	7	3	10	6	1
Vote No, Reject	6.8	2.4	2.9	2.2	2.5	2.8	2.0	6.1	6.1	6.1	7.0	3.1	9.0	9.6	10.3	8.8	4.3	15.2	9.4	10.9

		Par	Parent Tenure at Current Address						Income		Familia	rity with	Wellingto	n Fire	Å	Approval (of WFPD		Opinion	on Fire I Area	Risk in
	TOTAL	Yes Prnt	No Prnt	Less 5Yrs	5-10 Yrs	11-20 Yrs	More 20Yrs	Less \$75k	\$75k \$150k	More \$150k	Very Some	Very Fam	Some Fam	Not All	Tot Aprv	Strg Aprv	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Inc	Abt Same	Tot Dec
TOTAL	520	174	346	159	165	105	79	112	202	117	438	136	302	78	408	284	25	14	349	126	12
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total More Likely Vote Yes,	381	131	249	128	118	70	58	88	154	85	323	87	236	56	321	255	6	2	283	66	4
Approve	73.3	75.5	72.2	80.5	71.9	66.7	73.5	78.4	76.5	72.9	73.7	64.0	78.1	72.1	78.8	89.7	22.7	12.0	81.0	52.5	30.4
Total More Likely Vote No,	77	23	54	11	26	22	12	16	23	20	71	34	37	6	45	19	18	12	30	40	6
Reject	14.9	13.4	15.6	7.1	16.1	21.1	15.0	14.1	11.3	16.7	16.3	25.1	12.3	7.9	11.1	6.8	73.0	88.0	8.5	31.5	47.5
Unsure	62	19	42	20	20	13	9	8	25	12	44	15	29	16	41	10	1		36	20	3
No Opinion	11.9	11.2	12.2	12.5	12.1	12.2	11.5	7.4	12.2	10.4	10.0	11.0	9.5	19.9	10.1	3.4	4.4		10.4	16.0	22.0
Much More Likely Vote Yes, Approve	205 39.5	72 41.1	134 38.7	69 43.1	66 40.3	34 32.4	36 46.1	45 40.2	87 43.2	49 42.2	172 39.2	61 45.3	110 36.5	33 42.4	187 45.9	164 57.7	1.0		161 46.0	31 25.0	2 13.2
Somewhat More Likely Vote Yes, Approve	176	60	116	60	52	36	22	43	67	36	151	25	126	23	134	91	5	2	122	35	2
	33.8	34.3	33.5	37.4	31.5	34.3	27.4	38.2	33.3	30.7	34.5	18.7	41.6	29.7	32.9	32.0	21.7	12.0	35.0	27.6	17.2
Much More Likely Vote	42	13	29	5	16	9	7	7	15	10	41	26	15	1	20	11	16	11	14	24	5
No, Reject	8.1	7.3	8.5	3.4	9.5	8.8	9.4	6.6	7.3	8.8	9.3	18.9	5.0	1.7	4.9	3.9	64.6	80.3	3.9	18.8	38.6
Somewhat More Likely	35	11	25	6	11	13	4	8	8	9	30	8	22	5	25	8	2	1	16	16	1
Vote No, Reject	6.8	6.1	7.1	3.7	6.5	12.3	5.6	7.5	4.0	7.9	6.9	6.2	7.3	6.2	6.2	3.0	8.4	7.7	4.6	12.8	8.9

			n on Emer vices in A		WFP	D Fiscal F	Responsil	oility	Opinio General Tax for	Sales	Unin	formed V	/FPD Sal	es Tax Ba	allot	Info	ormed WF	FPD Sale:	s Tax Bal	ilot
	TOTAL	Tot Inc	Abt Same	Tot Dec	Tot Agr	Strg Agre	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Sup	Tot Opp	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und
TOTAL	520	427	64	3	214	117	79	43	337	127	317	124	130	72	69	358	187	115	72	46
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total More Likely Vote Yes,	381	338	20		187	115	21	7	312	28	305	122	24	3	49	342	185	17	3	21
Approve	73.3	79.1	30.9		87.1	98.3	26.9	16.4	92.5	22.0	96.0	98.1	18.1	3.7	71.3	95.4	98.7	14.7	4.3	46.4
Total More Likely Vote No,	77	43	32	2	17	1	44	31	5	71	1	1	76	56		3	1	72	58	2
Reject	14.9	10.0	50.0	63.1	7.9	0.9	56.3	73.3	1.4	55.9	0.4	1.0	58.5	77.8		1.0	0.7	62.5	80.7	4.3
Unsure	62	47	12	1	11	1	13	4	21	28	11	1	30	13	20	13	1	26	11	22
No Opinion	11.9	10.9	19.1	36.9	5.0	0.8	16.8	10.3	6.1	22.0	3.6	0.9	23.4	18.6	28.7	3.6	0.6	22.8	15.0	49.2
Much More Likely Vote	205	188	7		121	84	7	1	183	5	185	107	4	2	17	199	152	1	1	5
Yes, Approve	39.5	44.1	11.3		56.6	72.0	8.5	2.1	54.4	4.0	58.3	86.4	2.7	2.2	24.5	55.5	81.2	1.2	0.9	11.6
Somewhat More Likely Vote Yes, Approve	176 33.8	150 35.0	12 19.6		65 30.5	31 26.3	14 18.4	6 14.3	128 38.0	23 18.0	120 37.8	15 11.7	20 15.4	1 1.5	32 46.8	143 39.9	33 17.5	16 13.5	2 3.4	16 34.8
Much More Likely Vote No, Reject	42 8.1	19 4.5	21 33.1	2 63.1	8 4.0		31 39.4	24 56.1	1 0.4	40 31.8			42 32.4	41 56.7				42 36.6	41 56.0	
Somewhat More Likely Vote No, Reject	35 6.8	23 5.5	11 16.8		8 3.9	1 0.9	13 16.9	7 17.2	4 1.1	31 24.1	1 0.4	1 1.0	34 26.1	15 21.1		3 1.0	1 0.7	30 25.9	18 24.7	2 4.3

T14. The fire district's need for additional funding is directly related to the significant increase in calls. In 2018, the fire department received 922 calls and in 2024, that number was 1,241 calls—a 35% increase (or 319 additional calls) over six years, and a 66% increase over the past 10 years. Knowing this information, are you:

		Gen	der						Ą	ge-Gende	er						Rad	ce-Ethnic	ity	Colle Deg	•
	TOTAL	Men	Wom	18-34	35-44	18-44	18-44 Men	18-44 Wom	45-54	55-64	45-64	45-64 Men	45-64 Wom	65+	65+ Men	65+ Wom	Whit	Hisp	Oth	Yes Deg	No Deg
TOTAL	520	255	264	83	104	187	82	105	83	94	177	96	80	156	77	79	452	52	16	295	225
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total More Likely Vote Yes,	389	170	217	67	84	150	58	91	58	58	116	56	59	122	55	66	335	45	8	222	167
Approve	74.7	66.8	82.2	80.0	80.6	80.3	71.6	87.1	70.2	61.9	65.8	58.6	74.2	78.1	71.9	84.1	74.2	85.7	54.3	75.1	74.2
Total More Likely Vote No,	84	52	32	12	13	25	14	11	16	22	38	23	14	21	15	6	70	7	6	47	37
Reject	16.2	20.5	12.0	14.5	12.7	13.5	17.1	10.7	18.7	23.6	21.3	24.2	18.0	13.5	19.4	7.6	15.5	14.3	40.9	16.1	16.3
Unsure	48	32	15	5	7	12	9	2	9	14	23	16	6	13	7	7	47		1	26	22
No Opinion	9.1	12.7	5.7	5.5	6.7	6.2	11.3	2.3	11.0	14.5	12.9	17.1	7.8	8.5	8.7	8.3	10.3		4.8	8.8	9.6
Much More Likely Vote	242	96	144	47	52	100	37	62	37	35	72	32	39	71	26	44	209	28	5	139	103
Yes, Approve	46.5	37.6	54.8	57.0	50.2	53.2	45.1	59.2	44.3	37.0	40.4	33.8	48.2	45.2	34.4	55.8	46.3	52.9	29.5	47.1	45.7
Somewhat More Likely Vote Yes, Approve	147	74	72	19	32	51	22	29	22	23	45	24	21	51	29	22	126	17	4	83	64
	28.3	29.2	27.4	23.0	30.4	27.1	26.5	27.9	25.9	24.9	25.4	24.8	26.0	32.9	37.5	28.3	27.8	32.8	24.8	28.1	28.5
Much More Likely Vote	40	27	13	6	6	11	5	6	5	12	17	11	6	12	11	1	30	7	2	30	11
No, Reject	7.7	10.5	5.0	6.9	5.3	6.0	6.7	5.4	6.3	12.4	9.5	11.2	7.7	7.8	13.8	1.9	6.7	14.3	14.7	10.1	4.7
Somewhat More Likely	44	25	18	6	8	14	9	6	10	11	21	13	8	9	4	5	40		4	18	26
Vote No, Reject	8.4	10.0	7.0	7.7	7.3	7.5	10.4	5.3	12.4	11.2	11.8	13.0	10.4	5.7	5.6	5.8	8.8		26.2	6.0	11.6

T14. The fire district's need for additional funding is directly related to the significant increase in calls. In 2018, the fire department received 922 calls and in 2024, that number was 1,241 calls—a 35% increase (or 319 additional calls) over six years, and a 66% increase over the past 10 years. Knowing this information, are you:

				Democra	at Voters				ı	Jnaffiliate	ed Voters				I	Republica	an Voters			Other Voters
	TOTAL	Dems	Dem Men	Dem Wom	Dem 18-44	Dem 45-64	Dem 65+	Unaf	Unaf Men	Unaf Wom	Unaf 18-44	Unaf 45-64	Unaf 65+	Reps	Rep Men	Rep Wom	Rep 18-44	Rep 45-64	Rep 65+	Other Party
TOTAL	520	99	27	71	31	29	39	218	114	104	86	80	52	192	108	85	65	65	62	10
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total More Likely Vote Yes,	389	88	22	65	29	24	36	165	76	88	69	56	39	126	66	61	47	34	46	9
Approve	74.7	89.3	83.3	91.4	92.9	82.6	91.3	75.5	66.9	84.8	81.0	70.4	74.3	65.7	61.1	71.6	72.0	51.8	73.8	86.4
Total More Likely Vote No,	84	5	2	3	1	2	2	32	21	11	12	14	6	46	29	17	12	22	12	1
Reject	16.2	4.7	6.4	4.2	4.6	5.5	4.3	14.7	18.8	10.3	14.1	17.3	12.0	23.7	26.5	20.2	18.1	33.7	19.2	13.6
Unsure	48	6	3	3	1	3	2	21	16	5	4	10	7	20	13	7	7	9	4	
No Opinion	9.1	6.0	10.3	4.4	2.5	11.9	4.4	9.8	14.3	4.9	5.0	12.3	13.7	10.5	12.4	8.2	10.0	14.5	7.0	
Much More Likely Vote	242	58	12	45	21	15	22	98	41	56	45	35	17	85	41	44	33	21	31	1
Yes, Approve	46.5	59.0	45.6	63.5	67.1	52.0	57.7	44.7	36.4	53.6	52.3	43.9	33.3	44.1	38.3	51.5	50.5	32.7	49.4	8.6
Somewhat More Likely Vote Yes, Approve	147	30	10	20	8	9	13	67	35	32	25	21	21	42	25	17	14	13	15	8
	28.3	30.3	37.7	27.9	25.9	30.6	33.6	30.8	30.5	31.2	28.7	26.5	41.0	21.6	22.8	20.1	21.4	19.2	24.4	77.8
Much More Likely Vote No, Reject	40 7.7	2 1.7	1 3.4	1 1.1		1 2.8	1 2.4	13 5.9	8 7.2	5 4.4	5 6.3	5 6.1	3 5.0	26 13.3	18 16.4	8 9.4	6 8.9	11 17.2	9 13.9	
Somewhat More Likely	44	3	1	2	1	1	1	19	13	6	7	9	4	20	11	9	6	11	3	1
Vote No, Reject	8.4	3.0	2.9	3.1	4.6	2.8	2.0	8.8	11.6	5.9	7.7	11.2	7.0	10.4	10.1	10.9	9.1	16.5	5.4	13.6

114. The fire district's need for additional funding is directly related to the significant increase in calls. In 2018, the fire department received 922 calls and in 2024, that number was 1,241 calls—a 35% increase (or 319 additional calls) over six years, and a 66% increase over the past 10 years. Knowing this information, are you:

		Par	ent	Tenure at Current Address					Income		Familia	arity with	Wellingto	n Fire	A	Approval	of WFPD		Opinior	on Fire I Area	₹isk in
	TOTAL	Yes Prnt	No Prnt	Less 5Yrs	5-10 Yrs	11-20 Yrs	More 20Yrs	Less \$75k	\$75k \$150k	More \$150k	Very Some	Very Fam	Some Fam	Not All	Tot Aprv	Strg Aprv	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Inc	Abt Same	Tot Dec
TOTAL	520	174	346	159	165	105	79	112	202	117	438	136	302	78	408	284	25	14	349	126	12
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total More Likely Vote Yes,	389	133	256	132	125	68	59	91	157	86	323	87	236	63	323	254	6	2	287	69	4
Approve	74.7	76.2	74.0	83.0	75.9	64.9	74.6	81.6	77.8	73.4	73.9	64.2	78.2	81.2	79.3	89.5	22.7	12.0	82.2	54.5	36.0
Total More Likely Vote No,	84	27	57	14	27	23	12	14	28	19	76	37	39	7	51	20	18	12	34	43	6
Reject	16.2	15.7	16.4	8.9	16.3	22.1	15.0	12.3	14.0	16.1	17.4	27.3	12.9	8.6	12.4	7.0	73.0	88.0	9.9	34.0	47.5
Unsure	48	14	33	13	13	14	8	7	16	12	38	11	27	8	34	10	1		28	14	2
No Opinion	9.1	8.1	9.7	8.1	7.7	13.0	10.3	6.1	8.2	10.5	8.8	8.5	8.9	10.3	8.3	3.5	4.4		7.9	11.4	16.5
Much More Likely Vote	242	83	158	86	76	42	37	62	101	52	200	64	136	41	210	182	2		190	35	2
Yes, Approve	46.5	47.9	45.8	54.2	45.9	40.2	47.5	55.7	50.1	44.0	45.6	47.2	44.9	52.7	51.5	64.2	7.3		54.4	27.9	16.1
Somewhat More Likely Vote Yes, Approve	147	49	98	46	49	26	21	29	56	34	123	23	100	22	113	72	4	2	97	34	2
	28.3	28.3	28.2	28.8	30.0	24.7	27.2	26.0	27.7	29.3	28.2	17.0	33.3	28.4	27.8	25.3	15.3	12.0	27.8	26.7	19.9
Much More Likely Vote	40	13	27	4	18	8	6	8	13	11	39	26	13	0.3	19	8	15	11	12	24	4
No, Reject	7.7	7.3	7.9	2.6	10.7	7.7	8.0	6.7	6.4	9.4	8.8	18.9	4.3		4.6	2.9	58.2	80.3	3.4	19.3	32.6
Somewhat More Likely	44	15	29	10	9	15	6	6	15	8	37	11	26	6	32	12	4	1	22	18	2
Vote No, Reject	8.4	8.4	8.4	6.3	5.6	14.3	7.0	5.6	7.6	6.7	8.5	8.4	8.6	8.2	7.8	4.1	14.8	7.7	6.4	14.7	14.9

T14. The fire district's need for additional funding is directly related to the significant increase in calls. In 2018, the fire department received 922 calls and in 2024, that number was 1,241 calls—a 35% increase (or 319 additional calls) over six years, and a 66% increase over the past 10 years. Knowing this information, are you:

			n on Emer vices in Aı		WFP	D Fiscal F	Responsit	oility	Opinio Genera Tax for	Sales	Unin	formed V	/FPD Sal	es Tax Ba	allot	Info	ormed WF	FPD Sale:	s Tax Ball	lot
	TOTAL	Tot Inc	Abt Same	Tot Dec	Tot Agr	Strg Agre	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Sup	Tot Opp	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und
TOTAL	520	427	64	3	214	117	79	43	337	127	317	124	130	72	69	358	187	115	72	46
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total More Likely Vote Yes,	389	350	16		186	111	22	8	311	32	309	121	29	8	49	344	185	19	5	24
Approve	74.7	81.9	25.7		86.9	94.6	27.5	19.5	92.2	25.5	97.3	97.5	22.4	10.5	71.5	96.1	98.9	16.4	6.9	53.4
Total More Likely Vote No,	84	43	38	2	18	5	44	30	10	72	3	2	77	55	1	8	2	74	57	2
Reject	16.2	10.0	60.2	63.1	8.4	3.9	55.9	70.5	3.1	56.8	1.0	1.6	59.4	76.6	1.7	2.2	1.1	64.5	78.6	4.4
Unsure	48	35	9	1	10	2	13	4	16	22	5	1	24	9	19	6		22	11	19
No Opinion	9.1	8.1	14.2	36.9	4.7	1.5	16.7	10.0	4.7	17.7	1.7	0.9	18.2	12.9	26.8	1.8		19.1	14.5	42.2
Much More Likely Vote Yes, Approve	242 46.5	223 52.1	9 13.9		129 60.2	92 78.5	7 8.5		219 65.0	4 3.3	221 69.6	113 91.0	3 2.3	2 2.2	17 23.9	236 65.8	176 94.0	2 1.9	1 0.9	3 5.8
Somewhat More Likely Vote Yes, Approve	147 28.3	127 29.8	7 11.8		57 26.7	19 16.1	15 19.0	8 19.5	92 27.3	28 22.2	88 27.7	8 6.5	26 20.0	6 8.4	33 47.6	108 30.3	9 4.9	17 14.6	4 6.0	22 47.6
Much More Likely Vote No, Reject	40 7.7	15 3.5	23 36.3	2 63.1	6 2.7		29 37.3	23 54.5		40 31.8			40 30.9	38 53.7				40 35.0	37 51.0	
Somewhat More Likely	44	28	15		12	5	15	7	10	32	3	2	37	16	1	8	2	34	20	2
Vote No, Reject	8.4	6.5	23.8		5.7	3.9	18.5	16.0	3.1	25.0	1.0	1.6	28.5	22.9	1.7	2.2	1.1	29.6	27.6	4.4

		Gen	der						Ag	e-Gende	r						Rac	e-Ethnici	ty	College [Degree
	TOTAL	Men	Wom	18-34	35-44	18-44	18-44 Men	18-44 Wom	45-54	55-64	45-64	45-64 Men	45-64 Wom	65+	65+ Men	65+ Wom	Whit	Hisp	Oth	Yes Deg	No Deg
TOTAL	520	255	264	83	104	187	82	105	83	94	177	96	80	156	77	79	452	52	16	295	225
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total More Likely Vote Yes	, 382	168	213	63	84	147	59	87	57	57	114	53	60	121	55	66	331	45	7	210	172
Approve	73.4	65.8	80.6	75.9	80.7	78.6	72.6	83.1	68.0	61.1	64.3	55.2	75.1	77.5	71.9	83.0	73.1	85.7	43.2	71.2	76.4
Total More Likely Vote No,	85	51	34	14	15	29	14	14	14	23	36	24	13	20	13	7	72	7	6	54	32
Reject	16.4	20.1	12.9	16.7	14.3	15.4	17.4	13.8	16.6	24.0	20.5	24.6	15.8	13.0	17.4	8.7	15.9	14.3	39.1	18.2	14.1
Unsure	53	36	17	6	5	11	8	3	13	14	27	19	7	15	8	7	50		3	31	21
No Opinion	10.1	14.0	6.5	7.4	5.0	6.0	10.0	3.0	15.4	14.9	15.1	20.2	9.1	9.5	10.7	8.3	11.1		17.7	10.6	9.5
Much More Likely	227	81	144	40	50	90	29	60	36	37	73	32	41	64	21	43	195	28	5	130	97
Vote Yes, Approve	43.6	31.9	54.7	47.5	48.1	47.9	35.2	57.3	43.8	39.1	41.3	33.1	51.0	41.2	26.9	55.1	43.0	52.9	29.5	44.2	42.9
Somewhat More Likely Vote Yes, Approve	155 29.8	87 34.0	68 25.9	24 28.4	34 32.6	58 30.7	31 37.4	27 25.8	20 24.2	21 22.0	41 23.0	21 22.2	19 24.1	57 36.3	35 45.0	22 27.9	136 30.0	17 32.8	2 13.7	80 27.0	75 33.5
Much More Likely	39	25	14	6	6	11	5	6	7	13	20	12	7	8	7	1	28	7	4	31	9
Vote No, Reject	7.6	9.7	5.5	6.9	5.4	6.1	6.7	5.5	8.5	13.6	11.2	12.8	9.3	5.3	9.1	1.6	6.2	14.3	25.1	10.5	3.8
Somewhat More Likely Vote No, Reject	46 8.9	26 10.4	20 7.4	8 9.9	9 8.9	17 9.3	9 10.7	9 8.3	7 8.1	10 10.5	17 9.4	11 11.8	5 6.5	12 7.7	6 8.3	6 7.1	44 9.7		2 14.0	23 7.7	23 10.3

				Democra	t Voters				l	Jnaffiliate	d Voters				i	Republica	ın Voters			Other Voters
	TOTAL	Dems	Dem Men	Dem Wom	Dem 18-44	Dem 45-64	Dem 65+	Unaf	Unaf Men	Unaf Wom	Unaf 18-44	Unaf 45-64	Unaf 65+	Reps	Rep Men	Rep Wom	Rep 18-44	Rep 45-64	Rep 65+	Other Party
TOTAL	520	99	27	71	31	29	39	218	114	104	86	80	52	192	108	85	65	65	62	10
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total More Likely Vote Yes,	382	86	20	65	30	21	36	163	78	84	68	57	38	123	64	60	45	33	46	9
Approve	73.4	87.4	74.8	92.0	95.0	73.9	91.3	74.7	68.7	81.2	78.8	71.6	72.7	64.2	59.1	70.6	68.9	50.3	73.8	86.4
Total More Likely Vote No,	85	4	2	2	1	2	2	32	18	14	13	12	7	48	31	17	15	22	11	1
Reject	16.4	4.1	6.4	3.3	2.5	5.5	4.3	14.8	16.2	13.2	15.3	15.0	13.6	24.9	28.6	20.2	22.8	34.4	17.2	10.9
Unsure	53	8	5	3	1	6	2	23	17	6	5	11	7	21	13	8	5	10	6	2.7
No Opinion	10.1	8.5	18.8	4.7	2.5	20.6	4.4	10.6	15.1	5.7	5.9	13.5	13.7	10.9	12.3	9.2	8.3	15.3	9.0	
Much More Likely	227	56	10	46	17	15	24	92	35	57	41	37	14	76	34	42	30	20	26	2
Vote Yes, Approve	43.6	56.8	36.3	64.1	55.4	52.0	61.6	42.2	31.1	54.3	47.7	46.3	27.1	39.5	31.9	49.1	45.5	31.0	42.1	23.6
Somewhat More Likely Vote Yes, Approve	155 29.8	30 30.5	10 38.5	20 27.9	12 39.6	6 21.9	12 29.7	71 32.4	43 37.6	28 26.8	27 31.1	20 25.3	24 45.5	47 24.7	29 27.2	18 21.5	15 23.4	13 19.3	20 31.7	7 62.7
Much More Likely Vote No, Reject	39 7.6	2 1.7	1 3.4	1 1.1		1 2.8	1 2.4	13 5.8	9 7.7	4 3.7	5 5.5	7 8.4	1 2.5	25 13.0	15 14.0	10 11.7	7 10.2	12 18.8	6 9.7	
Somewhat More	46	2	1	2	1	1	1	20	10	10	8	5	6	23	16	7	8	10	5	1
Likely Vote No, Rejec	t 8.9	2.4	2.9	2.2	2.5	2.8	2.0	9.0	8.5	9.5	9.8	6.6	11.2	11.9	14.6	8.5	12.6	15.6	7.4	10.9

		Pare	ent	Tenure at Current Address				Income		Familia	rity with \	Wellingtor	n Fire	A	pproval o	f WFPD		Opinion	on Fire F Area	Risk in	
	TOTAL	Yes Prnt	No Prnt	Less 5Yrs	5-10 Yrs	11-20 Yrs	More 20Yrs	Less \$75k	\$75k \$150k	More \$150k	Very Some	Very Fam	Some Fam	Not All	Tot Aprv	Strg Aprv	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Inc	Abt Same	Tot Dec
TOTAL	520	174	346	159	165	105	79	112	202	117	438	136	302	78	408	284	25	14	349	126	12
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total More Likely Vote Yes,	382	127	255	130	121	68	60	89	157	82	317	87	230	63	316	251	6	2	284	66	4
Approve	73.4	72.7	73.8	81.5	73.4	64.6	76.4	79.9	77.8	69.8	72.4	64.1	76.1	80.6	77.5	88.4	22.7	12.0	81.3	52.6	36.0
Total More Likely Vote No,	85	31	54	14	27	23	12	14	26	22	80	37	43	5	55	22	18	12	33	45	6
Reject	16.4	17.9	15.7	9.0	16.4	22.1	15.0	12.7	12.9	19.2	18.3	27.4	14.2	6.7	13.4	7.8	73.0	88.0	9.4	35.8	47.5
Unsure	53	16	36	15	17	14	7	8	19	13	41	11	29	10	37	11	1		33	15	2
No Opinion	10.1	9.5	10.5	9.5	10.2	13.3	8.6	7.5	9.3	11.0	9.3	8.5	9.6	12.7	9.2	3.7	4.4		9.3	11.6	16.5
Much More Likely Vote Yes, Approve	227 43.6	76 43.3	151 43.8	77 48.6	74 45.2	38 36.5	37 46.6	58 52.0	101 50.1	44 37.8	192 44.0	62 45.9	130 43.1	34 43.3	204 50.0	180 63.3	1.0		183 52.4	28 22.4	3 23.2
Somewhat More Likely Vote Yes, Approve	155 29.8	51 29.3	104 30.0	52 32.9	47 28.2	29 28.0	23 29.8	31 27.9	56 27.7	37 32.0	125 28.5	25 18.2	100 33.1	29 37.3	112 27.5	71 25.1	5 21.7	2 12.0	101 28.9	38 30.2	2 12.8
Much More Likely	39	13	26	4	16	9	5	8	15	8	38	22	15	2	18	9	14	11	12	24	4
Vote No, Reject	7.6	7.4	7.7	2.4	9.9	8.6	6.4	6.7	7.6	6.8	8.6	16.5	5.1	2.1	4.5	3.2	57.3	78.7	3.4	18.8	32.6
Somewhat More Likely Vote No, Reject	46 8.9	18 10.5	28 8.0	10 6.6	11 6.5	14 13.5	7 8.6	7 6.0	11 5.3	15 12.4	42 9.7	15 10.9	28 9.2	4 4.6	36 8.9	13 4.6	4 15.6	1 9.3	21 6.0	21 17.0	2 14.9

			on Emerç vices in Ar		WFPI	D Fiscal R	desponsib	ility	Opinio General Tax for \	Sales	Unin	formed W	/FPD Sale	es Tax Ba	illot	Info	ormed WF	PD Sales	Tax Ball	ot
	TOTAL	Tot Inc	Abt Same	Tot Dec	Tot Agr	Strg Agre	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Sup	Tot Opp	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und
TOTAL	520 100.0	427 100.0	64 100.0	3 100.0	214 100.0	117 100.0	79 100.0	43 100.0	337 100.0	127 100.0	317 100.0	124 100.0	130 100.0	72 100.0	69 100.0	358 100.0	187 100.0	115 100.0	72 100.0	46 100.0
Total More Likely Vote Yes, Approve	382 73.4	344 80.5	16 24.6		183 85.2	111 94.6	21 27.1	6 14.7	311 92.4	27 21.0	307 96.6	122 98.2	25 19.4	4 6.0	49 70.7	340 95.0	187 100.0	16 13.8	4 5.1	25 53.8
Total More Likely Vote No, Reject	85 16.4	45 10.6	37 58.3	2 63.1	21 10.0	5 3.9	46 59.0	33 77.3	8 2.5	76 60.3	4 1.3	2 1.8	79 60.7	56 78.4		8 2.2		76 65.9	60 82.2	2 3.5
Unsure No Opinion	53 10.1	38 8.9	11 17.1	1 36.9	10 4.8	2 1.5	11 13.8	3 8.0	17 5.1	24 18.7	7 2.1		26 19.9	11 15.5	20 29.3	10 2.8		23 20.2	9 12.7	19 42.7
Much More Likely Vote Yes, Approve	227 43.6	208 48.8	8 12.0		123 57.5	88 75.7	4 5.3		204 60.7	4 3.3	209 65.7	111 89.1	4 2.8	2 2.2	15 21.2	221 61.9	171 91.4	2 1.3	1 0.9	4 8.5
Somewhat More Likely Vote Yes, Approve	155 29.8	136 31.7	8 12.6		59 27.7	22 18.9	17 21.8	6 14.7	107 31.7	22 17.7	98 30.9	11 9.1	22 16.6	3 3.9	34 49.5	119 33.1	16 8.6	14 12.5	3 4.2	21 45.3
Much More Likely Vote No, Reject	39 7.6	15 3.5	23 35.4	2 63.1	6 2.7		27 34.7	23 53.4		39 31.1			39 30.3	38 52.5				39 34.2	37 50.8	
Somewhat More Likely Vote No, Rejec	46 t 8.9	30 7.1	15 22.9		16 7.3	5 3.9	19 24.3	10 23.9	8 2.5	37 29.1	4 1.3	2 1.8	40 30.4	19 25.9		8 2.2		37 31.7	23 31.4	2 3.5

		Gen	der						Ą	ge-Gende	er						Rad	ce-Ethnic	ity	Colle Deg	
	TOTAL	Men	Wom	18-34	35-44	18-44	18-44 Men	18-44 Wom	45-54	55-64	45-64	45-64 Men	45-64 Wom	65+	65+ Men	65+ Wom	Whit	Hisp	Oth	Yes Deg	No Deg
TOTAL	520	255	264	83	104	187	82	105	83	94	177	96	80	156	77	79	452	52	16	295	225
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total More Likely Vote Yes,	348	153	194	51	79	130	51	78	52	57	109	54	54	109	47	61	300	41	8	189	159
Approve	66.9	59.9	73.6	61.7	76.1	69.7	62.8	74.9	62.1	61.1	61.6	56.2	67.9	69.7	61.4	77.7	66.2	78.4	48.7	64.0	70.7
Total More Likely Vote No,	110	72	38	19	15	34	22	12	19	28	47	30	17	29	20	9	96	7	7	65	45
Reject	21.2	28.3	14.4	23.2	14.5	18.3	27.0	11.6	22.9	29.4	26.3	30.7	21.3	18.8	26.6	11.2	21.3	14.3	41.9	21.9	20.2
Unsure	62	30	32	13	10	22	8	14	12	9	21	13	9	18	9	9	57	4	1	41	20
No Opinion	11.9	11.8	12.0	15.1	9.5	12.0	10.2	13.5	15.0	9.5	12.1	13.0	10.8	11.6	12.0	11.1	12.5	7.2	9.4	14.0	9.1
Much More Likely Vote	183	69	113	26	44	71	23	46	29	30	59	27	31	54	19	35	157	22	4	104	80
Yes, Approve	35.3	27.2	42.9	31.7	42.5	37.7	28.5	44.4	34.9	31.6	33.2	28.2	39.3	34.7	24.7	44.4	34.7	42.3	26.8	35.1	35.4
Somewhat More Likely Vote Yes, Approve	165	83	81	25	35	60	28	32	23	28	50	27	23	55	28	26	143	19	3	85	79
	31.7	32.7	30.8	30.0	33.6	32.0	34.4	30.5	27.2	29.5	28.4	28.0	28.6	35.0	36.7	33.3	31.5	36.1	21.8	28.9	35.3
Much More Likely Vote	58	40	19	9	7	15	9	6	12	15	28	17	10	15	13	3	46	7	5	39	19
No, Reject	11.2	15.5	7.1	10.3	6.3	8.1	11.3	5.5	15.0	16.4	15.8	18.1	13.0	9.9	16.8	3.2	10.1	14.3	33.0	13.3	8.5
Somewhat More Likely	52	32	19	11	8	19	13	6	7	12	19	12	7	14	8	6	50		1	25	26
Vote No, Reject	9.9	12.7	7.3	12.9	8.1	10.3	15.7	6.1	7.9	13.0	10.6	12.6	8.2	8.8	9.8	7.9	11.1		8.9	8.6	11.7

				Democra	at Voters				l	Jnaffiliate	ed Voters				1	Republica	n Voters			Other Voters
	TOTAL	Dems	Dem Men	Dem Wom	Dem 18-44	Dem 45-64	Dem 65+	Unaf	Unaf Men	Unaf Wom	Unaf 18-44	Unaf 45-64	Unaf 65+	Reps	Rep Men	Rep Wom	Rep 18-44	Rep 45-64	Rep 65+	Other Party
TOTAL	520	99	27	71	31	29	39	218	114	104	86	80	52	192	108	85	65	65	62	10
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total More Likely Vote Yes,	348	82	22	59	26	23	34	145	72	73	63	52	30	114	54	59	38	33	43	7
Approve	66.9	83.1	83.3	82.9	82.2	79.1	86.9	66.3	63.1	69.8	73.3	64.4	57.8	59.1	50.4	70.2	57.9	50.7	69.3	69.7
Total More Likely Vote No,	110	6	2	4	1	2	2	42	27	15	11	19	12	60	42	18	21	24	14	3
Reject	21.2	5.7	6.4	5.6	4.6	8.3	4.8	19.1	23.5	14.2	12.6	23.3	23.2	31.2	38.6	21.8	32.5	37.3	23.3	27.6
Unsure	62	11	3	8	4	4	3	32	15	17	12	10	10	19	12	7	6	8	5	2.7
No Opinion	11.9	11.1	10.3	11.5	13.3	12.7	8.2	14.6	13.4	15.9	14.1	12.3	19.0	9.7	11.0	8.0	9.5	12.0	7.4	
Much More Likely Vote	183	47	11	36	14	13	20	78	32	45	34	30	14	55	24	31	19	15	20	4
Yes, Approve	35.3	47.6	39.1	50.8	44.1	45.6	52.0	35.6	28.1	43.5	40.0	37.0	26.1	28.5	22.2	36.5	29.8	23.2	32.6	35.9
Somewhat More Likely Vote Yes, Approve	165	35	12	23	12	10	14	67	40	27	29	22	17	59	30	29	18	18	23	4
	31.7	35.5	44.2	32.1	38.1	33.5	34.9	30.7	35.0	26.3	33.3	27.4	31.7	30.6	28.2	33.7	28.1	27.4	36.7	33.7
Much More Likely Vote	58	3	1	2		2	1	25	17	8	6	12	7	30	21	9	9	13	7	1
No, Reject	11.2	2.7	3.4	2.5		5.5	2.9	11.6	14.9	7.9	7.2	15.0	13.5	15.4	19.3	10.4	13.8	20.4	11.8	8.3
Somewhat More Likely Vote No, Reject	52	3	1	2	1	1	1	16	10	7	5	7	5	30	21	10	12	11	7	2
	9.9	3.0	2.9	3.1	4.6	2.8	2.0	7.5	8.6	6.3	5.5	8.2	9.7	15.8	19.3	11.4	18.7	16.9	11.5	19.3

		Pare	ent	Tenu	re at Cur	rent Add	ress		Income		Familia	arity with	Wellingto	n Fire	A	Approval (of WFPD		Opinion	on Fire I Area	Risk in
	TOTAL	Yes Prnt	No Prnt	Less 5Yrs	5-10 Yrs	11-20 Yrs	More 20Yrs	Less \$75k	\$75k \$150k	More \$150k	Very Some	Very Fam	Some Fam	Not All	Tot Aprv	Strg Aprv	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Inc	Abt Same	Tot Dec
TOTAL	520	174	346	159	165	105	79	112	202	117	438	136	302	78	408	284	25	14	349	126	12
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total More Likely Vote Yes,	348	119	229	119	107	59	60	82	141	77	287	78	209	58	294	237	1	1	260	59	4
Approve	66.9	68.0	66.4	74.8	65.3	55.9	75.9	73.0	69.8	66.0	65.6	57.5	69.2	74.3	72.2	83.6	4.1	5.7	74.4	47.3	28.9
Total More Likely Vote No,	110	37	73	21	37	31	12	17	43	25	97	48	49	13	70	30	20	12	44	55	8
Reject	21.2	21.2	21.2	13.2	22.7	29.5	15.0	14.8	21.1	21.0	22.2	35.6	16.1	17.0	17.1	10.6	80.7	88.0	12.7	43.8	63.9
Unsure	62	19	43	19	20	15	7	14	18	15	54	9	44	7	44	17	4	1	45	11	1
No Opinion	11.9	10.8	12.4	12.0	12.1	14.7	9.1	12.2	9.1	13.0	12.3	7.0	14.7	8.7	10.7	5.8	15.2	6.2	12.9	8.9	7.2
Much More Likely Vote	183	62	121	59	63	30	29	46	77	36	156	59	97	27	167	146	1	1	143	24	2
Yes, Approve	35.3	35.5	35.1	37.0	38.0	28.3	36.9	40.8	38.1	31.2	35.7	43.7	32.1	34.5	40.9	51.4	4.1	5.7	40.9	19.5	16.1
Somewhat More Likely Vote Yes, Approve	165 31.7	57 32.5	108 31.2	60 37.8	45 27.2	29 27.6	31 38.9	36 32.2	64 31.7	41 34.8	131 29.9	19 13.7	112 37.1	31 39.8	127 31.3	91 32.2			117 33.5	35 27.8	2 12.8
Much More Likely Vote	58	18	40	9	22	14	7	11	21	14	52	31	21	6	30	11	15	12	19	33	7
No, Reject	11.2	10.5	11.6	5.9	13.1	13.7	9.4	9.7	10.5	12.0	11.9	22.6	7.1	8.1	7.5	3.9	61.3	88.0	5.3	26.2	56.8
Somewhat More Likely Vote No, Reject	52 9.9	19 10.7	33 9.5	12 7.3	16 9.6	17 15.7	4 5.6	6 5.1	21 10.6	11 9.1	45 10.2	18 12.9	27 9.0	7 8.9	39 9.6	19 6.7	5 19.4		26 7.4	22 17.6	1 7.1

			n on Emer vices in A		WFP	D Fiscal F	Responsil	oility	Opinio General Tax for	Sales	Unin	formed V	/FPD Sal	es Tax Ba	allot	Info	ormed WF	FPD Sale	s Tax Bal	lot
	TOTAL	Tot Inc	Abt Same	Tot Dec	Tot Agr	Strg Agre	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Sup	Tot Opp	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und
TOTAL	520	427	64	3	214	117	79	43	337	127	317	124	130	72	69	358	187	115	72	46
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total More Likely Vote Yes,	348	320	11		174	108	18	5	284	25	288	121	18	5	42	320	179	11	3	16
Approve	66.9	75.0	16.9		81.3	92.5	22.3	11.2	84.4	20.0	90.7	97.1	13.5	7.0	60.2	89.3	95.5	9.8	3.9	34.8
Total More Likely Vote No,	110	62	44	3	27	7	49	35	24	81	11	2	93	60	4	14	2	88	62	8
Reject	21.2	14.6	68.7	100.0	12.5	5.8	61.9	81.6	7.1	63.9	3.6	1.9	71.3	83.1	5.3	4.0	1.2	76.3	85.4	17.7
Unsure	62	44	9		13	2	12	3	29	20	18	1	20	7	24	24	6	16	8	22
No Opinion	11.9	10.4	14.4		6.3	1.7	15.8	7.2	8.5	16.1	5.7	1.1	15.2	9.9	34.5	6.7	3.3	14.0	10.7	47.6
Much More Likely Vote Yes, Approve	183 35.3	171 40.1	6 9.4		112 52.3	81 69.3	7 9.0		163 48.3	3 2.1	168 52.8	98 79.0	3 2.3	2 3.1	13 18.3	179 50.0	140 75.0	1 1.2	1 0.9	3 6.6
Somewhat More Likely Vote Yes, Approve	165 31.7	149 34.9	5 7.5		62 28.9	27 23.2	10 13.3	5 11.2	122 36.1	23 18.0	120 37.8	22 18.1	15 11.3	3 3.9	29 41.9	141 39.3	38 20.4	10 8.5	2 3.0	13 28.1
Much More Likely Vote No, Reject	58 11.2	24 5.7	31 48.9	3 100.0	12 5.4		34 43.3	27 62.9	6 1.8	49 38.7	1 0.3		56 43.1	45 63.5	2 2.2	2 0.5		55 47.9	47 64.4	2 3.3
Somewhat More Likely	52	38	13		15	7	15	8	18	32	11	2	37	14	2	13	2	33	15	7
Vote No, Reject	9.9	8.9	19.9		7.1	5.8	18.5	18.7	5.3	25.1	3.3	1.9	28.2	19.7	3.1	3.5	1.2	28.3	20.9	14.3

		Gen	der						Ą	ge-Gende	er						Rad	ce-Ethnic	ity	Colle Deg	
	TOTAL	Men	Wom	18-34	35-44	18-44	18-44 Men	18-44 Wom	45-54	55-64	45-64	45-64 Men	45-64 Wom	65+	65+ Men	65+ Wom	Whit	Hisp	Oth	Yes Deg	No Deg
TOTAL	520	255	264	83	104	187	82	105	83	94	177	96	80	156	77	79	452	52	16	295	225
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total More Likely Vote Yes,	374	165	208	64	77	140	56	84	57	59	115	57	58	118	52	66	326	40	8	205	169
Approve	71.9	64.6	79.0	76.3	74.0	75.0	68.3	80.2	68.4	62.6	65.3	59.1	72.7	75.7	67.5	83.8	72.0	77.4	52.4	69.6	75.0
Total More Likely Vote No,	104	69	34	12	19	31	19	12	20	26	45	31	14	27	19	8	85	12	7	62	42
Reject	20.0	27.2	13.1	14.9	18.0	16.6	23.1	11.6	23.8	27.3	25.6	32.2	18.0	17.5	25.3	10.0	18.9	22.6	42.9	21.1	18.5
Unsure	42	21	21	7	8	16	7	9	6	9	16	8	8	11	6	5	41		1	28	15
No Opinion	8.1	8.3	8.0	8.8	8.0	8.4	8.7	8.2	7.8	10.1	9.0	8.7	9.4	6.7	7.3	6.2	9.2		4.7	9.3	6.5
Much More Likely Vote	230	86	143	47	46	93	33	59	33	38	70	31	39	67	22	44	195	31	4	129	101
Yes, Approve	44.2	33.6	54.2	56.7	44.0	49.6	40.0	56.8	39.3	40.3	39.8	32.1	48.9	42.7	28.8	56.2	43.1	59.2	27.6	43.8	44.8
Somewhat More Likely Vote Yes, Approve	144	79	65	16	31	48	23	24	24	21	45	26	19	52	30	22	131	9	4	76	68
	27.7	31.0	24.8	19.7	30.0	25.4	28.3	23.4	29.0	22.3	25.5	27.0	23.8	33.0	38.6	27.6	28.9	18.2	24.8	25.8	30.2
Much More Likely Vote	64	45	19	8	11	18	11	7	16	17	33	23	10	12	11	1	49	10	4	41	23
No, Reject	12.2	17.7	7.1	9.6	10.1	9.9	13.6	7.1	19.1	17.8	18.5	23.6	12.4	8.0	14.6	1.6	10.9	18.5	28.7	13.9	10.0
Somewhat More Likely Vote No, Reject	40	24	16	4	8	13	8	5	4	9	13	8	4	15	8	7	36	2	2	21	19
	7.7	9.5	6.0	5.3	7.9	6.7	9.5	4.5	4.7	9.4	7.2	8.6	5.5	9.5	10.7	8.4	7.9	4.1	14.1	7.2	8.4

				Democra	at Voters					Unaffiliate						·	an Voters			Other Voters
	TOTAL	Dems	Dem Men	Dem Wom	Dem 18-44	Dem 45-64	Dem 65+	Unaf	Unaf Men	Unaf Wom	Unaf 18-44	Unaf 45-64	Unaf 65+	Reps	Rep Men	Rep Wom	Rep 18-44	Rep 45-64	Rep 65+	Other Party
TOTAL	520	99	27	71	31	29	39	218	114	104	86	80	52	192	108	85	65	65	62	10
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total More Likely Vote Yes,	374	90	23	65	29	25	36	159	74	85	64	58	37	119	64	55	46	31	43	6
Approve	71.9	90.9	87.1	92.2	92.9	85.5	93.2	72.7	64.9	81.3	74.6	72.4	70.2	62.1	59.8	65.0	69.8	46.8	70.1	57.4
Total More Likely Vote No,	104	4	2	2	1	2	2	39	28	11	12	17	10	56	36	20	15	27	14	4
Reject	20.0	4.1	6.4	3.3	2.5	5.5	4.3	18.0	24.5	11.0	14.4	20.7	19.8	29.1	33.5	23.4	22.8	41.2	22.9	42.6
Unsure	42	5	2	3	1	3	1	20	12	8	9	6	5	17	7	10	5	8	4	
No Opinion	8.1	5.0	6.6	4.5	4.6	9.0	2.5	9.2	10.6	7.7	11.0	7.0	10.0	8.8	6.7	11.5	7.4	12.0	7.0	
Much More Likely Vote	230	61	14	47	18	16	27	92	35	56	43	34	15	74	35	38	31	19	24	2
Yes, Approve	44.2	62.2	52.5	65.5	58.9	57.0	68.8	42.2	31.0	54.2	49.8	42.3	29.5	38.4	32.9	45.5	47.4	29.8	38.0	23.2
Somewhat More Likely Vote Yes, Approve	144	28	9	19	11	8	10	67	39	28	21	24	21	46	29	17	15	11	20	4
	27.7	28.6	34.6	26.7	34.0	28.5	24.4	30.5	33.9	27.0	24.8	30.1	40.7	23.7	26.9	19.6	22.3	17.1	32.1	34.2
Much More Likely Vote	64	2	1	1		1	1	23	17	6	8	12	3	38	26	12	10	19	9	1
No, Reject	12.2	1.7	3.4	1.1		2.8	2.4	10.5	14.7	6.0	9.1	15.5	5.1	19.7	24.3	13.7	15.0	29.8	13.9	11.1
Somewhat More Likely	40	2	1	2	1	1	1	16	11	5	5	4	8	18	10	8	5	7	6	3
Vote No, Reject	7.7	2.4	2.9	2.2	2.5	2.8	2.0	7.5	9.8	5.0	5.3	5.2	14.7	9.4	9.2	9.7	7.8	11.4	9.0	31.6

		Par	ent	Tenu	ıre at Cur	rent Add	ress		Income		Familia	arity with	Wellingto	n Fire	A	Approval	of WFPD		Opinion	on Fire F Area	Risk in
	TOTAL	Yes Prnt	No Prnt	Less 5Yrs	5-10 Yrs	11-20 Yrs	More 20Yrs	Less \$75k	\$75k \$150k	More \$150k	Very Some	Very Fam	Some Fam	Not All	Tot Aprv	Strg Aprv	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Inc	Abt Same	Tot Dec
TOTAL	520	174	346	159	165	105	79	112	202	117	438	136	302	78	408	284	25	14	349	126	12
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total More Likely Vote Yes,	374	122	252	126	120	69	58	92	151	84	315	91	224	56	316	245	5	1	283	61	7
Approve	71.9	70.0	72.9	79.3	73.1	65.3	73.5	82.3	74.8	71.4	72.0	67.0	74.3	71.6	77.5	86.4	19.3	5.7	80.9	49.0	54.3
Total More Likely Vote No,	104	36	68	17	34	29	13	15	36	22	88	37	51	15	65	27	18	12	40	53	6
Reject	20.0	20.8	19.5	10.6	20.6	27.1	16.8	13.7	17.9	19.0	20.1	27.3	16.8	18.8	15.8	9.4	73.0	88.0	11.6	42.1	45.7
Unsure	42	16	26	16	10	8	8	4	15	11	35	8	27	8	27	12	2	1	26	11	
No Opinion	8.1	9.3	7.5	10.1	6.3	7.6	9.6	4.0	7.3	9.7	7.9	5.8	8.9	9.6	6.6	4.2	7.7	6.2	7.5	9.0	
Much More Likely Vote Yes, Approve	230 44.2	76 43.9	153 44.4	74 46.6	76 46.5	42 40.3	37 46.7	57 50.5	100 49.4	46 39.6	197 44.9	63 46.2	134 44.4	33 41.8	205 50.2	171 60.4			181 51.9	30 24.2	2 18.7
Somewhat More Likely Vote Yes, Approve	144	45	99	52	44	26	21	36	51	37	118	28	90	23	111	74	5	1	102	31	4
	27.7	26.1	28.5	32.7	26.7	25.0	26.9	31.8	25.4	31.8	27.1	20.8	29.9	29.8	27.3	26.1	19.3	5.7	29.1	24.8	35.6
Much More Likely Vote	64	24	40	11	22	16	9	9	25	16	54	24	30	8	36	14	17	12	21	37	6
No, Reject	12.2	13.6	11.5	6.9	13.6	15.6	11.1	8.0	12.3	14.1	12.4	17.6	10.1	10.2	8.8	4.9	66.7	88.0	6.0	29.4	45.7
Somewhat More Likely Vote No, Reject	40 7.7	12 7.2	28 8.0	6 3.7	11 7.0	12 11.5	4 5.7	6 5.6	11 5.6	6 4.9	33 7.7	13 9.6	20 6.8	7 8.6	29 7.1	13 4.5	2 6.3		19 5.6	16 12.7	

			n on Emer vices in A		WFP	D Fiscal F	Responsit	oility	Opinio General Tax for	Sales	Unin	formed V	/FPD Sal	es Tax Ba	allot	Info	ormed WF	FPD Sale:	s Tax Bal	ilot
	TOTAL	Tot Inc	Abt Same	Tot Dec	Tot Agr	Strg Agre	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Sup	Tot Opp	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und
TOTAL	520	427	64	3	214	117	79	43	337	127	317	124	130	72	69	358	187	115	72	46
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total More Likely Vote Yes,	374	335	17	1	184	108	24	8	305	28	297	120	28	6	48	334	182	19	4	20
Approve	71.9	78.4	26.2	36.9	85.9	92.8	30.7	18.7	90.4	22.0	93.5	96.1	21.7	8.2	69.6	93.4	97.1	16.3	5.2	43.5
Total More Likely Vote No,	104	62	38	2	21	7	46	33	17	84	13	2	88	60	1	12	2	86	64	6
Reject	20.0	14.5	59.9	63.1	9.9	5.7	58.8	76.7	5.0	66.5	3.9	1.7	67.5	83.6	1.5	3.5	1.2	74.4	88.3	12.3
Unsure	42	31	9		9	2	8	2	16	15	8	3	14	6	20	11	3	11	5	20
No Opinion	8.1	7.1	13.9		4.2	1.5	10.5	4.6	4.6	11.5	2.6	2.1	10.8	8.2	28.8	3.2	1.8	9.3	6.5	44.2
Much More Likely Vote	230	210	10		124	84	10	3	208	1	207	111	4	1	18	219	171	6	1	5
Yes, Approve	44.2	49.1	14.9		58.1	71.5	12.8	7.5	61.7	0.7	65.3	89.6	3.4	0.9	26.6	61.2	91.4	5.2	0.9	10.9
Somewhat More Likely Vote Yes, Approve	144	125	7	1	60	25	14	5	97	27	90	8	24	5	30	115	11	13	3	15
	27.7	29.3	11.3	36.9	27.8	21.2	17.9	11.1	28.7	21.3	28.2	6.5	18.3	7.3	43.0	32.2	5.7	11.1	4.3	32.6
Much More Likely Vote No, Reject	64 12.2	35 8.2	26 41.5	2 63.1	8 3.5		34 43.4	27 62.3	9 2.6	54 42.7	5 1.6		58 44.9	47 65.6		2 0.6		58 50.7	51 69.9	3 6.4
Somewhat More Likely	40	27	12		14	7	12	6	8	30	7	2	29	13	1	10	2	27	13	3
Vote No, Reject	7.7	6.2	18.4		6.4	5.7	15.4	14.4	2.3	23.8	2.3	1.7	22.6	18.0	1.5	2.9	1.2	23.7	18.4	5.9

T18. Given the extended drought conditions and the high risk of wildfires in our district, it remains a top priority to maintain minimum staffing to deploy necessary resources quickly to mitigate these fires. This ballot measure would ensure reliable inventory and maintenance of wildland firefighting equipment and apparatus. Knowing this information, are you:

		Gen	der						A	ge-Gende	er						Rad	ce-Ethnic	ity	Colle Deg	•
	TOTAL	Men	Wom	18-34	35-44	18-44	18-44 Men	18-44 Wom	45-54	55-64	45-64	45-64 Men	45-64 Wom	65+	65+ Men	65+ Wom	Whit	Hisp	Oth	Yes Deg	No Deg
TOTAL	520	255	264	83	104	187	82	105	83	94	177	96	80	156	77	79	452	52	16	295	225
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total More Likely Vote Yes,	403	184	217	67	82	149	61	87	61	64	125	63	61	130	60	69	349	45	9	223	180
Approve	77.5	72.4	82.3	80.5	78.4	79.3	74.3	83.0	73.7	67.9	70.6	65.8	76.2	83.0	78.5	87.5	77.2	85.7	58.5	75.5	80.1
Total More Likely Vote No,	77	46	32	12	13	25	13	12	12	21	34	20	14	19	13	6	66	7	4	48	29
Reject	14.9	17.9	12.0	14.0	12.5	13.2	15.4	11.6	14.7	22.8	19.0	20.8	16.9	12.2	16.8	7.6	14.5	14.3	26.0	16.3	13.0
Unsure	40	25	15	5	9	14	8	6	10	9	18	13	6	7	4	4	37		2	24	16
No Opinion	7.6	9.7	5.7	5.5	9.0	7.5	10.2	5.4	11.6	9.2	10.4	13.3	6.9	4.8	4.7	4.9	8.3		15.6	8.2	6.9
Much More Likely Vote	245	95	148	45	43	88	31	57	37	40	77	34	43	80	31	49	213	26	5	139	106
Yes, Approve	47.1	37.5	56.2	54.3	41.6	47.2	37.6	54.3	44.0	42.8	43.4	35.5	53.1	51.0	39.8	62.0	47.2	50.6	32.3	47.1	47.0
Somewhat More Likely Vote Yes, Approve	158	89	69	22	38	60	30	30	25	24	48	29	19	50	30	20	136	18	4	84	75
	30.4	34.9	26.1	26.2	36.8	32.1	36.7	28.7	29.7	25.2	27.3	30.3	23.1	32.0	38.7	25.5	30.1	35.1	26.2	28.4	33.1
Much More Likely Vote	43	30	13	6	5	11	5	6	7	12	19	13	6	13	12	1	34	7	2	30	13
No, Reject	8.3	11.7	5.1	6.9	5.1	5.9	6.4	5.5	8.5	12.8	10.8	13.5	7.6	8.4	15.0	1.9	7.5	14.3	12.4	10.0	6.0
Somewhat More Likely	34	16	18	6	8	14	7	6	5	9	15	7	7	6	1	5	32		2	18	16
Vote No, Reject	6.6	6.2	6.9	7.2	7.4	7.3	9.0	6.0	6.2	10.0	8.2	7.4	9.3	3.8	1.8	5.8	7.1		13.6	6.3	7.0

T18. Given the extended drought conditions and the high risk of wildfires in our district, it remains a top priority to maintain minimum staffing to deploy necessary resources quickly to mitigate these fires. This ballot measure would ensure reliable inventory and maintenance of wildland firefighting equipment and apparatus. Knowing this information, are you:

				Democra							ed Voters					·	an Voters			Other Voters
	TOTAL	Dems	Dem Men	Dem Wom	Dem 18-44	Dem 45-64	Dem 65+	Unaf	Unaf Men	Unaf Wom	Unaf 18-44	Unaf 45-64	Unaf 65+	Reps	Rep Men	Rep Wom	Rep 18-44	Rep 45-64	Rep 65+	Other Party
TOTAL	520	99	27	71	31	29	39	218	114	104	86	80	52	192	108	85	65	65	62	10
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total More Likely Vote Yes,	403	90	23	65	29	25	36	173	84	89	69	61	43	132	72	60	46	37	48	8
Approve	77.5	90.7	87.1	92.0	92.4	85.5	93.2	79.3	73.9	85.2	80.7	75.6	82.7	68.4	66.6	70.7	71.0	57.2	77.5	80.7
Total More Likely Vote No,	77	4	2	2	1	2	2	28	16	11	11	9	7	43	26	17	12	22	9	2
Reject	14.9	4.1	6.4	3.3	2.5	5.5	4.3	12.8	14.5	10.9	13.1	11.8	13.5	22.5	24.4	20.2	18.1	34.1	15.1	19.3
Unsure	40	5	2	3	2	3	1	17	13	4	5	10	2	17	10	8	7	6	5	
No Opinion	7.6	5.2	6.6	4.7	5.1	9.0	2.4	7.9	11.6	3.9	6.1	12.5	3.7	9.0	9.1	9.0	11.0	8.7	7.4	
Much More Likely Vote	245	63	14	49	19	17	27	103	42	60	38	40	24	77	38	39	30	18	29	2
Yes, Approve	47.1	63.9	51.8	68.6	61.3	60.7	68.3	47.1	37.4	57.7	44.7	50.0	46.6	40.0	35.5	45.8	46.0	28.2	46.2	15.3
Somewhat More Likely Vote Yes, Approve	158	27	9	17	10	7	10	70	41	29	31	21	19	55	34	21	16	19	19	7
	30.4	26.8	35.3	23.4	31.1	24.8	24.9	32.2	36.5	27.5	36.0	25.6	36.1	28.4	31.1	24.9	24.9	29.0	31.3	65.4
Much More Likely Vote No, Reject	43 8.3	1 0.9	1 3.4				1 2.4	16 7.3	11 10.0	5 4.4	4 5.0	7 8.4	5 9.2	26 13.6	17 16.2	9 10.4	7 10.2	12 18.8	7 11.8	
Somewhat More Likely Vote No, Reject	34	3	1	2	1	2	1	12	5	7	7	3	2	17	9	8	5	10	2	2
	6.6	3.2	2.9	3.3	2.5	5.5	2.0	5.5	4.5	6.5	8.1	3.4	4.3	8.9	8.2	9.8	7.8	15.3	3.3	19.3

T18. Given the extended drought conditions and the high risk of wildfires in our district, it remains a top priority to maintain minimum staffing to deploy necessary resources quickly to mitigate these fires. This ballot measure would ensure reliable inventory and maintenance of wildland firefighting equipment and apparatus. Knowing this information, are you:

		Par	ent	Tenure at Current Address					Income		Familia	arity with	Wellingto	n Fire	A	Approval	of WFPD		Opinion	on Fire I Area	Risk in
	TOTAL	Yes Prnt	No Prnt	Less 5Yrs	5-10 Yrs	11-20 Yrs	More 20Yrs	Less \$75k	\$75k \$150k	More \$150k	Very Some	Very Fam	Some Fam	Not All	Tot Aprv	Strg Aprv	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Inc	Abt Same	Tot Dec
TOTAL	520	174	346	159	165	105	79	112	202	117	438	136	302	78	408	284	25	14	349	126	12
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total More Likely Vote Yes,	403	132	271	140	126	70	63	95	163	87	336	88	247	64	335	255	5	1	297	73	6
Approve	77.5	76.0	78.2	87.7	76.4	66.8	80.0	84.8	80.9	74.2	76.7	65.0	82.0	82.2	82.2	89.7	19.3	5.7	85.1	58.0	45.4
Total More Likely Vote No,	77	27	50	11	25	23	11	13	24	19	71	36	35	6	47	22	18	12	30	40	7
Reject	14.9	15.4	14.6	6.8	15.2	21.9	13.4	11.9	11.9	16.1	16.3	26.6	11.7	7.4	11.4	7.8	73.0	88.0	8.6	31.5	54.6
Unsure	40	15	25	9	14	12	5	4	15	11	30	11	19	8	26	7	2	1	22	13	
No Opinion	7.6	8.6	7.2	5.5	8.4	11.3	6.5	3.3	7.2	9.7	7.0	8.4	6.3	10.3	6.3	2.5	7.7	6.2	6.3	10.5	
Much More Likely Vote	245	71	174	85	74	43	43	65	103	46	201	65	137	43	213	183	3		200	26	3
Yes, Approve	47.1	40.7	50.2	53.0	45.2	41.0	54.2	57.6	51.2	39.1	46.0	47.6	45.3	54.8	52.3	64.4	10.8		57.3	20.4	23.3
Somewhat More Likely Vote Yes, Approve	158	61	97	55	51	27	20	30	60	41	134	24	111	21	122	72	2	1	97	47	3
	30.4	35.3	28.0	34.7	31.2	25.8	25.9	27.2	29.7	35.1	30.7	17.5	36.7	27.5	30.0	25.3	8.5	5.7	27.8	37.6	22.0
Much More Likely Vote	43	11	32	3	17	11	7	9	14	9	42	27	15	1	21	12	15	12	10	27	6
No, Reject	8.3	6.5	9.2	1.9	10.5	10.0	9.1	7.7	7.1	8.1	9.5	20.0	4.8	1.7	5.3	4.2	58.3	88.0	2.8	21.9	47.5
Somewhat More Likely	34	16	19	8	8	12	3	5	10	9	30	9	21	4	25	10	4		20	12	1
Vote No, Reject	6.6	8.9	5.4	4.9	4.7	11.9	4.3	4.2	4.7	8.0	6.8	6.6	6.9	5.7	6.2	3.6	14.7		5.8	9.6	7.1

T18. Given the extended drought conditions and the high risk of wildfires in our district, it remains a top priority to maintain minimum staffing to deploy necessary resources quickly to mitigate these fires. This ballot measure would ensure reliable inventory and maintenance of wildland firefighting equipment and apparatus. Knowing this information, are you:

		•	n on Emer vices in A		WFP	D Fiscal F	Responsil	oility	Opinio General Tax for	Sales	Unin	Iformed V	/FPD Sal	es Tax Ba	allot	Info	ormed WF	PD Sale	s Tax Bal	lot
	TOTAL	Tot Inc	Abt Same	Tot Dec	Tot Agr	Strg Agre	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Sup	Tot Opp	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und
TOTAL	520 100.0	427 100.0	64 100.0	3 100.0	214 100.0	117 100.0	79 100.0	43 100.0	337 100.0	127 100.0	317 100.0	124 100.0	130 100.0	72 100.0	69 100.0	358 100.0	187 100.0	115 100.0	72 100.0	46 100.0
Total More Likely Vote Yes, Approve	403 77.5	357 83.7	21 33.6	1 36.9	190 88.8	111 95.4	23 28.7	6 14.7	316 93.8	40 31.5	313 98.5	124 100.0	33 25.2	9 12.2	56 81.6	349 97.4	187 100.0	24 20.6	6 9.0	29 64.1
Total More Likely Vote No, Reject	77 14.9	39 9.1	35 55.4	2 63.1	19 8.9	5 3.9	43 55.2	31 72.8	9 2.8	67 53.1	1 0.4		74 56.7	54 74.8		5 1.3		72 62.2	56 77.3	1 1.9
Unsure No Opinion	40 7.6	31 7.2	7 10.9		5 2.3	1 0.8	13 16.2	5 12.5	12 3.5	20 15.4	3 1.1		24 18.1	9 13.0	13 18.4	4 1.3		20 17.2	10 13.7	16 34.0
Much More Likely Vote Yes, Approve	245 47.1	223 52.3	7 11.6		129 60.3	86 73.8	8 9.6	1 2.1	218 64.6	6 4.4	220 69.2	112 89.9	5 3.8	1 0.9	20 29.2	234 65.5	167 89.1	5 4.6	1 0.9	5 11.0
Somewhat More Likely Vote Yes, Approve	158 30.4	134 31.4	14 22.0	1 36.9	61 28.5	25 21.5	15 19.0	5 12.6	98 29.2	34 27.1	93 29.3	13 10.1	28 21.4	8 11.3	36 52.3	114 31.9	20 10.9	18 16.0	6 8.1	24 53.1
Much More Likely Vote No, Reject	43 8.3	16 3.7	25 39.8	2 63.1	11 5.0	1 1.0	29 36.3	25 58.7	3 1.0	39 30.8	1 0.4		42 32.2	40 55.1		1 0.3		42 36.4	41 56.1	
Somewhat More Likely Vote No, Reject	34 6.6	23 5.4	10 15.6		8 3.9	3 2.9	15 18.9	6 14.1	6 1.8	28 22.3			32 24.5	14 19.6		4 1.0		30 25.8	15 21.2	1 1.9

		Gen							·	ge-Gende								ce-Ethnic	,	Colle Deg	ree
	TOTAL	Men	Wom	18-34	35-44	18-44	18-44 Men	18-44 Wom	45-54	55-64	45-64	45-64 Men	45-64 Wom	65+	65+ Men	65+ Wom	Whit	Hisp	Oth	Yes Deg	No Deg
TOTAL	520	255	264	83	104	187	82	105	83	94	177	96	80	156	77	79	452	52	16	295	225
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total More Likely Vote Yes,	370	159	209	62	80	142	55	85	51	62	114	54	59	114	50	65	321	41	8	199	171
Approve	71.1	62.4	79.3	74.2	76.8	75.7	67.6	81.8	61.8	66.7	64.4	56.5	73.7	73.1	64.3	81.8	70.9	77.9	52.8	67.5	75.8
Total More Likely Vote No,	95	61	34	12	18	30	17	13	18	22	41	27	14	24	17	7	79	10	6	63	32
Reject	18.3	23.8	13.0	14.9	17.0	16.1	20.5	12.7	22.0	24.0	23.1	27.8	17.5	15.4	22.2	8.8	17.5	18.5	39.9	21.2	14.4
Unsure	55	35	20	9	6	16	10	6	13	9	22	15	7	18	10	7	53	2	1	33	22
No Opinion	10.7	13.8	7.7	10.9	6.2	8.3	11.9	5.5	16.2	9.3	12.5	15.7	8.7	11.4	13.5	9.4	11.6	3.5	7.3	11.3	9.8
Much More Likely Vote	232	81	149	42	53	95	32	62	31	33	65	24	41	72	26	46	201	25	5	132	100
Yes, Approve	44.5	31.9	56.7	50.8	50.6	50.7	38.6	59.7	37.7	35.4	36.5	24.7	50.9	46.2	33.7	58.5	44.5	48.9	30.7	44.6	44.4
Somewhat More Likely Vote Yes, Approve	138	78	60	20	27	47	24	23	20	29	49	31	18	42	24	18	119	15	3	67	71
	26.5	30.5	22.7	23.4	26.2	25.0	29.0	22.1	24.1	31.3	27.9	31.8	22.8	26.9	30.6	23.3	26.4	29.1	22.1	22.8	31.4
Much More Likely Vote	57	37	20	8	9	17	8	8	11	17	28	18	9	13	11	2	46	7	4	39	18
No, Reject	11.0	14.7	7.5	9.4	8.7	9.0	10.4	7.9	13.1	18.0	15.7	19.0	11.8	8.3	13.8	2.8	10.1	14.3	26.4	13.2	8.2
Somewhat More Likely Vote No, Reject	38	23	14	5	9	13	8	5	7	6	13	8	5	11	6	5	33	2	2	24	14
	7.2	9.1	5.4	5.5	8.4	7.1	10.1	4.8	8.9	6.0	7.4	8.8	5.8	7.2	8.4	6.0	7.3	4.2	13.5	8.0	6.2

				Democra	at Voters				l	Jnaffiliate	ed Voters				I	Republica	ın Voters			Other Voters
	TOTAL	Dems	Dem Men	Dem Wom	Dem 18-44	Dem 45-64	Dem 65+	Unaf	Unaf Men	Unaf Wom	Unaf 18-44	Unaf 45-64	Unaf 65+	Reps	Rep Men	Rep Wom	Rep 18-44	Rep 45-64	Rep 65+	Other Party
TOTAL	520	99	27	71	31	29	39	218	114	104	86	80	52	192	108	85	65	65	62	10
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total More Likely Vote Yes,	370	83	20	62	26	23	34	153	68	84	65	54	34	125	66	59	47	34	44	9
Approve	71.1	83.7	74.8	86.8	83.3	80.1	86.6	69.9	59.9	80.8	75.3	67.9	64.4	65.1	61.0	70.3	72.0	52.3	71.3	86.1
Total More Likely Vote No,	95	5	2	3	1	2	2	36	25	11	12	16	8	52	32	20	16	23	13	1
Reject	18.3	4.9	6.4	4.3	2.5	5.5	6.2	16.7	22.2	10.7	14.5	19.5	16.1	27.3	30.1	23.7	24.5	35.7	21.3	11.2
Unsure	55	11	5	6	4	4	3	29	20	9	9	10	10	15	10	5	2	8	5	2.7
No Opinion	10.7	11.5	18.8	8.8	14.2	14.4	7.1	13.3	17.8	8.5	10.2	12.6	19.5	7.7	8.9	6.0	3.5	12.0	7.4	
Much More Likely Vote	232	58	11	46	18	16	24	94	35	58	44	30	20	79	35	44	32	18	28	2
Yes, Approve	44.5	58.2	42.7	64.1	58.0	55.2	60.6	43.0	31.0	56.0	51.8	37.2	37.4	40.8	32.0	52.0	49.6	27.8	45.4	14.8
Somewhat More Likely Vote Yes, Approve	138	25	9	16	8	7	10	59	33	26	20	25	14	47	31	15	15	16	16	7
	26.5	25.4	32.1	22.7	25.3	24.9	26.0	27.0	29.0	24.8	23.4	30.7	26.9	24.2	28.9	18.2	22.4	24.5	25.9	71.3
Much More Likely Vote	57	2	1	2		1	2	20	14	6	8	9	3	34	22	12	8	18	9	1
No, Reject	11.0	2.5	3.4	2.2		2.8	4.3	9.2	12.2	5.9	9.8	11.3	5.0	17.7	20.2	14.4	11.5	27.4	13.9	8.4
Somewhat More Likely	38	2	1	2	1	1	1	16	11	5	4	7	6	18	11	8	8	5	5	2.8
Vote No, Reject	7.2	2.4	2.9	2.2	2.5	2.8	2.0	7.5	10.1	4.8	4.7	8.2	11.1	9.6	9.9	9.3	12.9	8.3	7.5	

		Par	ent	Tenure at Current Address					Income		Familia	arity with	Wellingto	n Fire	A	Approval o	of WFPD		Opinion	on Fire I Area	Risk in
	TOTAL	Yes Prnt	No Prnt	Less 5Yrs	5-10 Yrs	11-20 Yrs	More 20Yrs	Less \$75k	\$75k \$150k	More \$150k	Very Some	Very Fam	Some Fam	Not All	Tot Aprv	Strg Aprv	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Inc	Abt Same	Tot Dec
TOTAL	520	174	346	159	165	105	79	112	202	117	438	136	302	78	408	284	25	14	349	126	12
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total More Likely Vote Yes,	370	118	252	124	116	67	59	88	155	77	308	84	224	59	312	243	7	2	281	61	5
Approve	71.1	67.7	72.8	78.0	70.6	63.9	74.9	78.7	76.7	66.0	70.5	62.1	74.3	75.8	76.5	85.5	27.0	12.0	80.6	48.3	37.8
Total More Likely Vote No,	95	36	59	17	33	25	11	14	32	22	86	37	49	9	57	21	18	12	38	47	7
Reject	18.3	20.9	16.9	10.6	20.1	23.4	14.4	12.3	16.1	19.0	19.5	26.9	16.2	12.0	14.0	7.6	73.0	88.0	11.0	37.8	54.9
Unsure No Opinion	55 10.7	20 11.4	36 10.3	18 11.4	15 9.4	13 12.8	8 10.7	10 9.0	15 7.2	18 15.0	44 10.0	15 11.0	29 9.5	10 12.2	39 9.5	20 6.9			29 8.4	18 14.0	1 7.2
Much More Likely Vote	232	77	154	78	71	47	36	60	104	44	192	62	131	37	205	169	2		187	27	2
Yes, Approve	44.5	44.5	44.6	48.7	43.3	44.6	45.3	53.2	51.6	37.8	43.9	45.4	43.3	48.0	50.2	59.5	7.3		53.5	21.7	16.1
Somewhat More Likely Vote Yes, Approve	138	40	98	47	45	20	23	29	51	33	116	23	94	22	107	74	5	2	95	33	3
	26.5	23.2	28.2	29.2	27.2	19.3	29.6	25.5	25.1	28.1	26.6	16.7	31.0	27.9	26.3	26.0	19.6	12.0	27.1	26.5	21.7
Much More Likely Vote	57	18	39	8	22	14	9	11	21	14	53	29	24	4	30	11	16	12	18	33	6
No, Reject	11.0	10.6	11.3	4.9	13.1	13.0	11.4	9.6	10.2	12.0	12.1	21.4	7.9	5.6	7.3	3.9	64.5	88.0	5.2	26.3	45.7
Somewhat More Likely	38	18	20	9	11	11	2	3	12	8	33	8	25	5	27	10	2		20	14	1
Vote No, Reject	7.2	10.3	5.7	5.7	7.0	10.4	3.0	2.7	5.8	7.0	7.4	5.5	8.3	6.4	6.7	3.6	8.5		5.8	11.5	9.3

			n on Emer vices in A		WFP	D Fiscal F	Responsil	oility	Opinio General Tax for	Sales	Unin	formed W	/FPD Sal	es Tax Ba	allot	Info	ormed WF	FPD Sale	s Tax Bal	lot
	TOTAL	Tot Inc	Abt Same	Tot Dec	Tot Agr	Strg Agre	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Sup	Tot Opp	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und
TOTAL	520	427	64	3	214	117	79	43	337	127	317	124	130	72	69	358	187	115	72	46
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total More Likely Vote Yes,	370	331	17		182	106	24	9	300	27	293	121	27	5	48	330	178	14	2	25
Approve	71.1	77.5	26.1		84.8	90.7	30.9	20.0	89.0	21.5	92.5	97.1	20.7	7.1	69.7	92.0	95.3	12.2	2.7	54.3
Total More Likely Vote No,	95	55	36	3	16	5	48	32	12	82	7	1	84	62	2	8	3	86	66	1
Reject	18.3	12.8	56.7	100.0	7.7	4.2	61.3	74.6	3.7	64.6	2.2	0.9	64.2	86.4	2.9	2.1	1.4	75.0	90.7	2.2
Unsure	55	41	11		16	6	6	2	25	18	17	3	20	5	19	21	6	15	5	20
No Opinion	10.7	9.7	17.2		7.5	5.1	7.8	5.4	7.3	13.9	5.3	2.1	15.1	6.5	27.4	5.8	3.3	12.8	6.6	43.5
Much More Likely Vote	232	213	6		123	82	10	2	202	7	204	109	5	1	22	226	163	1	1	5
Yes, Approve	44.5	49.8	8.8		57.5	70.5	12.3	4.1	60.0	5.2	64.2	87.4	3.8	0.9	31.3	63.0	87.1	1.2	0.9	10.0
Somewhat More Likely Vote Yes, Approve	138 26.5	118 27.6	11 17.3		58 27.3	24 20.2	15 18.6	7 15.9	98 29.0	21 16.3	90 28.2	12 9.7	22 16.9	4 6.2	27 38.4	104 29.0	15 8.1	13 11.0	1 1.8	20 44.3
Much More Likely Vote No, Reject	57 11.0	29 6.7	27 42.3	2 63.1	7 3.4		37 47.1	28 65.2	2 0.5	55 43.4			57 44.1	49 67.8				57 49.9	52 71.5	
Somewhat More Likely	38	26	9	1	9	5	11	4	11	27	7	1	26	13	2	8	3	29	14	1
Vote No, Reject	7.2	6.1	14.4	36.9	4.2	4.2	14.2	9.4	3.2	21.2	2.2	0.9	20.1	18.6	2.9	2.1	1.4	25.1	19.1	2.2

		Gen	der						A	ge-Gende	er						Rad	ce-Ethnic	ity	Colle Deg	
	TOTAL	Men	Wom	18-34	35-44	18-44	18-44 Men	18-44 Wom	45-54	55-64	45-64	45-64 Men	45-64 Wom	65+	65+ Men	65+ Wom	Whit	Hisp	Oth	Yes Deg	No Deg
TOTAL	520	255	264	83	104	187	82	105	83	94	177	96	80	156	77	79	452	52	16	295	225
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total More Likely Vote Yes,	375	166	208	57	81	138	52	86	56	60	117	57	59	120	57	63	326	41	9	206	169
Approve	72.1	65.0	78.8	69.0	78.0	74.0	63.5	82.0	67.4	64.5	65.9	59.3	73.6	76.8	73.8	79.8	72.0	77.9	55.9	69.9	75.0
Total More Likely Vote No,	102	69	33	17	19	36	23	13	21	23	44	30	14	22	16	6	85	10	7	67	34
Reject	19.6	27.1	12.4	20.4	18.0	19.1	28.1	12.1	25.6	24.8	25.1	31.7	17.5	13.9	20.4	7.5	18.9	18.5	42.8	22.9	15.2
Unsure	43	20	23	9	4	13	7	6	6	10	16	9	7	14	5	10	41	2	1.3	21	22
No Opinion	8.3	7.9	8.8	10.7	4.0	7.0	8.5	5.8	7.0	10.7	9.0	9.0	8.9	9.3	5.8	12.7	9.1	3.5		7.2	9.8
Much More Likely Vote	221	81	139	44	47	91	31	59	32	33	65	28	37	65	22	43	191	25	4	129	93
Yes, Approve	42.5	31.6	52.8	52.6	45.3	48.6	37.4	56.8	38.1	35.4	36.7	28.7	46.0	41.9	29.1	54.4	42.3	48.9	28.3	43.6	41.1
Somewhat More Likely Vote Yes, Approve	154	85	68	14	34	48	21	26	24	27	52	29	22	54	34	20	134	15	4	78	76
	29.6	33.4	26.0	16.3	32.7	25.4	26.1	25.2	29.3	29.2	29.2	30.6	27.6	34.9	44.7	25.4	29.7	29.1	27.6	26.3	33.9
Much More Likely Vote	73	50	23	13	12	25	15	10	13	20	33	21	12	15	13	1	61	7	5	50	23
No, Reject	14.0	19.5	8.8	15.7	11.7	13.5	18.9	9.3	15.7	21.4	18.7	21.9	15.1	9.3	17.2	1.7	13.4	14.3	30.8	17.0	10.2
Somewhat More Likely	29	19	9	4	7	10	8	3	8	3	11	9	2	7	2	5	25	2	2	17	11
Vote No, Reject	5.5	7.6	3.6	4.7	6.3	5.6	9.2	2.8	9.9	3.3	6.4	9.8	2.4	4.5	3.2	5.8	5.5	4.2	11.9	5.9	5.1

				Democra	t Voters				ı	Jnaffiliate	ed Voters				I	Republica	ın Voters			Other Voters
	TOTAL	Dems	Dem Men	Dem Wom	Dem 18-44	Dem 45-64	Dem 65+	Unaf	Unaf Men	Unaf Wom	Unaf 18-44	Unaf 45-64	Unaf 65+	Reps	Rep Men	Rep Wom	Rep 18-44	Rep 45-64	Rep 65+	Other Party
TOTAL	520	99	27	71	31	29	39	218	114	104	86	80	52	192	108	85	65	65	62	10
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total More Likely Vote Yes,	375	82	21	60	27	24	31	160	74	86	65	55	39	124	66	58	43	35	47	9
Approve	72.1	82.9	77.3	84.8	85.6	83.4	80.3	73.2	64.9	82.3	76.1	69.1	74.7	64.6	61.6	68.5	65.3	53.3	75.8	83.9
Total More Likely Vote No,	102	7	3	4	1	2	3	41	29	12	15	19	7	52	35	17	19	23	11	2
Reject	19.6	7.2	12.7	5.2	4.6	8.2	8.6	18.7	25.3	11.6	17.0	23.7	14.0	27.0	32.6	20.0	28.5	34.9	17.2	16.1
Unsure	43	10	3	7	3	2	4	18	11	6	6	6	6	16	6	10	4	8	4	
No Opinion	8.3	9.9	10.0	10.0	9.9	8.4	11.1	8.0	9.8	6.1	6.9	7.2	11.2	8.3	5.8	11.6	6.2	11.8	7.0	
Much More Likely Vote	221	53	8	45	17	16	20	91	36	55	42	31	18	76	37	39	32	18	27	1
Yes, Approve	42.5	54.0	28.9	62.9	55.4	54.3	52.5	41.6	31.4	52.6	49.1	38.7	33.8	39.6	34.4	46.3	48.3	26.8	44.0	6.7
Somewhat More Likely Vote Yes, Approve	154	29	13	16	9	8	11	69	38	31	23	24	21	48	29	19	11	17	20	8
	29.6	28.9	48.3	21.9	30.2	29.1	27.8	31.6	33.5	29.7	27.0	30.5	41.0	25.0	27.2	22.2	17.0	26.5	31.8	77.2
Much More Likely Vote	73	4	3	2	1	2	2	28	18	10	8	14	5	40	28	12	15	17	8	1
No, Reject	14.0	4.3	9.8	2.2	2.5	5.5	4.7	12.6	15.8	9.2	9.8	17.9	9.4	20.8	25.9	14.3	23.4	26.4	12.2	11.1
Somewhat More Likely	29	3	1	2	1	1	2	13	11	2	6	5	2	12	7	5	3	6	3	1
Vote No, Reject	5.5	3.0	2.9	3.0	2.0	2.7	3.9	6.1	9.5	2.4	7.2	5.8	4.7	6.2	6.7	5.7	5.2	8.5	5.0	5.1

		Par	ent		re at Cur				Income			•	Wellingto			Approval			,	on Fire I Area	
	TOTAL	Yes Prnt	No Prnt	Less 5Yrs	5-10 Yrs	11-20 Yrs	More 20Yrs	Less \$75k	\$75k \$150k	More \$150k	Very Some	Very Fam	Some Fam	Not All	Tot Aprv	Strg Aprv	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Inc	Abt Same	Tot Dec
TOTAL	520	174	346	159	165	105	79	112	202	117	438	136	302	78	408	284	25	14	349	126	12
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total More Likely Vote Yes,	375	124	251	125	116	71	59	87	153	83	312	87	225	59	314	242	7	2	283	63	5
Approve	72.1	71.1	72.6	78.7	70.6	67.6	74.8	77.3	76.1	70.6	71.3	64.4	74.4	74.9	77.0	85.3	27.0	12.0	81.1	50.3	37.8
Total More Likely Vote No,	102	37	65	19	35	27	13	17	36	24	90	38	53	11	64	26	18	12	46	46	7
Reject	19.6	21.3	18.7	11.9	21.0	25.4	16.2	15.3	17.9	20.3	20.6	27.7	17.4	14.6	15.7	9.1	73.0	88.0	13.3	36.8	54.9
Unsure No Opinion	43 8.3	13 7.6	30 8.7	15 9.4	14 8.5	7 7.0	7 8.9	8 7.4	12 6.1	11 9.2	35 8.0	11 7.8	25 8.1	8 10.4	29 7.2	16 5.6			19 5.6	16 13.0	1 7.2
Much More Likely Vote	221	76	145	78	71	36	36	56	96	46	184	60	124	36	200	167	2		181	26	2
Yes, Approve	42.5	43.6	42.0	48.7	43.3	34.7	45.5	50.3	47.7	39.6	42.0	44.0	41.1	45.5	49.0	58.6	7.3		51.7	21.0	16.1
Somewhat More Likely Vote Yes, Approve	154	48	106	48	45	35	23	30	57	36	128	28	101	23	114	76	5	2	103	37	3
	29.6	27.5	30.6	30.0	27.3	32.9	29.3	27.0	28.4	31.0	29.3	20.4	33.4	29.4	28.1	26.7	19.7	12.0	29.4	29.3	21.7
Much More Likely Vote	73	22	51	14	24	18	12	14	26	15	64	29	36	9	41	16	17	12	34	32	7
No, Reject	14.0	12.9	14.6	8.8	14.3	17.3	15.1	12.7	13.0	12.8	14.7	21.1	11.8	11.0	10.0	5.6	68.8	88.0	9.7	25.2	54.9
Somewhat More Likely Vote No, Reject	29 5.5	15 8.4	14 4.1	5 3.1	11 6.7	9 8.1	1 1.1	3 2.6	10 4.9	9 7.5	26 5.9	9 6.6	17 5.6	3 3.6	23 5.7	10 3.5	1 4.2		13 3.6	14 11.5	

			n on Emer vices in A		WFP	D Fiscal F	Responsil	oility	Opinio General Tax for	Sales	Unin	formed V	/FPD Sal	es Tax Ba	allot	Info	ormed WF	FPD Sale	s Tax Bal	lot
	TOTAL	Tot Inc	Abt Same	Tot Dec	Tot Agr	Strg Agre	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Sup	Tot Opp	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und
TOTAL	520	427	64	3	214	117	79	43	337	127	317	124	130	72	69	358	187	115	72	46
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total More Likely Vote Yes,	375	336	16		183	108	23	8	295	36	294	122	35	8	46	332	181	16	1	25
Approve	72.1	78.8	25.4		85.5	92.5	29.2	17.6	87.6	28.1	92.5	97.7	26.6	11.7	66.0	92.8	96.6	14.1	0.9	55.1
Total More Likely Vote No,	102	59	39	3	21	4	46	32	21	80	11	1	85	61	3	9	2	91	69	2
Reject	19.6	13.8	60.7	100.0	9.7	3.6	58.6	75.3	6.3	63.2	3.4	0.7	65.5	84.5	4.8	2.4	1.0	78.8	95.4	5.4
Unsure	43	32	9		10	4	10	3	21	11	13	2	10	3	20	17	5	8	3	18
No Opinion	8.3	7.4	13.9		4.8	3.8	12.2	7.1	6.2	8.7	4.0	1.6	7.9	3.8	29.2	4.8	2.4	7.1	3.7	39.5
Much More Likely Vote	221	203	6		118	82	8	1	195	7	199	112	5	2	18	215	166	1	1	6
Yes, Approve	42.5	47.5	10.2		55.1	69.9	10.0	2.0	58.0	5.4	62.6	90.5	3.5	2.2	26.1	59.9	88.7	0.5	0.9	12.9
Somewhat More Likely Vote Yes, Approve	154 29.6	133 31.2	10 15.2		65 30.4	26 22.6	15 19.2	7 15.6	100 29.6	29 22.7	95 30.0	9 7.2	30 23.1	7 9.6	28 39.9	118 32.8	15 7.9	16 13.6		19 42.3
Much More Likely Vote	73	38	32	3	11	1	38	27	11	62	7	1	66	56	0.4	3	1	68	61	1
No, Reject	14.0	9.0	49.5	100.0	5.3	0.8	48.3	63.3	3.2	48.7	2.1	0.7	50.8	77.5		0.9	0.5	59.4	84.2	3.0
Somewhat More Likely Vote No, Reject	29 5.5	21 4.8	7 11.2		9 4.4	3 2.9	8 10.2	5 11.9	10 3.1	18 14.5	4 1.3		19 14.7	5 7.0	3 4.5	5 1.5	1 0.5	22 19.3	8 11.2	1 2.4

Thank you for taking the time to learn about the needs of the Wellington Fire Protection District and why this ballot measure is being considered. Now, we ask you to reread the ballot question and indicate how you would vote if it were on the ballot in November.

T21. SHALL WELLINGTON FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT TAXES BE INCREASED \$675,801.00 IN FISCAL YEAR 2026 AND BY WHATEVER AMOUNTS AS ARE RAISED THEREAFTER, FROM A ONE-HALF PERCENT (0.5%) SALES TAX, FOR THE PURPOSE OF REDUCING THE FINANCIAL IMPACTS OF GROWTH ON EXISTING PROPERTY OWNERS BY SHARING THE COST OF FIRE AND EMERGENCY SERVICES WITH BUSINESS PATRONS WHO RESIDE OUTSIDE THE DISTRICT BOUNDARIES AND OFFSETTING LOST REVENUE DUE TO THE LIMIT ON PROPERTY ASSESSMENT RATES, IN ORDER TO MAINTAIN VITAL EMERGENCY SERVICES FOR THE RESIDENTS, PROPERTY OWNERS AND BUSINESSES SERVED BY THE DISTRICT, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: • FUNDING AN INTERNAL FIRE MARSHAL POSITION. • PROVIDING FUNDING FOR RECURRING CAPITAL PROJECTS SUCH AS APPARATUS REPLACEMENT AND REPAIR AS WELL AS FIRE STATION MAINTENANCE AND IMPROVEMENTS. AND SHALL THE RESULTING TAX REVENUE AND INVESTMENT EARNINGS THEREON BE COLLECTED, RETAINED, AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT AS VOTER APPROVED REVENUE AND SPENDING CHANGES IN EACH YEAR, WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY CONSTITUTIONAL OR STATUATORY SPENDING OR REVENUE LIMITATION INCLUDING THOSE LIMITS CONTAINED IN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION OR ANY OTHER LAW?

		Gen	der						Ą	ge-Gende	er						Rad	ce-Ethnic	ity	Colle Deg	
	TOTAL	Men	Wom	18-34	35-44	18-44	18-44 Men	18-44 Wom	45-54	55-64	45-64	45-64 Men	45-64 Wom	65+	65+ Men	65+ Wom	Whit	Hisp	Oth	Yes Deg	No Deg
TOTAL	520	255	264	83	104	187	82	105	83	94	177	96	80	156	77	79	452	52	16	295	225
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total Yes, Approve	358	162	195	62	75	137	58	78	51	58	109	52	56	112	52	60	308	42	7	192	166
	68.9	63.4	74.0	75.1	71.6	73.2	70.7	75.0	61.6	61.7	61.6	54.4	70.2	71.9	67.0	76.6	68.2	81.5	46.9	65.0	73.9
Total No, Reject	115	72	42	16	23	40	22	18	24	25	49	32	16	27	18	9	98	10	8	73	42
	22.1	28.4	16.1	19.5	22.4	21.1	26.8	16.8	28.3	26.8	27.5	33.6	20.2	17.3	23.7	11.0	21.6	18.5	49.1	24.9	18.6
Undecided	46 8.8	19 7.7	26 9.9	4 5.4	6 6.0	11 5.7	2 2.5	9 8.2	8 10.1	11 11.6	19 10.9	11 11.9	8 9.6	16 10.1	6 7.7	10 12.3	45 9.9		1 4.0	29 9.7	17 7.5
Definitely Yes,	187	70	116	35	40	74	27	46	28	28	56	24	32	56	18	38	159	24	4	103	84
Approve	36.0	27.5	43.9	41.9	38.1	39.8	33.3	44.3	33.9	30.1	31.9	25.4	39.3	36.1	24.0	47.9	35.1	46.6	26.1	34.9	37.4
Probably Yes, Approve	171	92	79	28	35	63	31	32	23	30	53	28	25	56	33	23	150	18	3	89	82
	32.9	35.9	30.1	33.2	33.6	33.4	37.4	30.6	27.7	31.6	29.8	29.0	30.9	35.8	43.0	28.7	33.1	34.9	20.8	30.1	36.5
Definitely No, Reject	72	47	25	8	14	22	12	10	15	20	35	24	11	15	12	3	58	10	5	50	23
	13.9	18.6	9.5	10.2	13.3	11.9	14.4	9.9	18.0	21.0	19.6	24.5	13.9	9.9	15.6	4.3	12.9	18.5	29.7	16.8	10.1
Probably No, Reject	43 8.2	25 9.8	18 6.7	8 9.3	9 9.1	17 9.2	10 12.4	7 6.9	9 10.3	5 5.7	14 7.9	9 9.1	5 6.3	12 7.4	6 8.1	5 6.8	40 8.8		3 19.4	24 8.0	19 8.5

Thank you for taking the time to learn about the needs of the Wellington Fire Protection District and why this ballot measure is being considered. Now, we ask you to reread the ballot question and indicate how you would vote if it were on the ballot in November.

T21. SHALL WELLINGTON FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT TAXES BE INCREASED \$675,801.00 IN FISCAL YEAR 2026 AND BY WHATEVER AMOUNTS AS ARE RAISED THEREAFTER, FROM A ONE-HALF PERCENT (0.5%) SALES TAX, FOR THE PURPOSE OF REDUCING THE FINANCIAL IMPACTS OF GROWTH ON EXISTING PROPERTY OWNERS BY SHARING THE COST OF FIRE AND EMERGENCY SERVICES WITH BUSINESS PATRONS WHO RESIDE OUTSIDE THE DISTRICT BOUNDARIES AND OFFSETTING LOST REVENUE DUE TO THE LIMIT ON PROPERTY ASSESSMENT RATES, IN ORDER TO MAINTAIN VITAL EMERGENCY SERVICES FOR THE RESIDENTS, PROPERTY OWNERS AND BUSINESSES SERVED BY THE DISTRICT, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: • FUNDING AN INTERNAL FIRE MARSHAL POSITION. • PROVIDING FUNDING FOR RECURRING CAPITAL PROJECTS SUCH AS APPARATUS REPLACEMENT AND REPAIR AS WELL AS FIRE STATION MAINTENANCE AND IMPROVEMENTS. AND SHALL THE RESULTING TAX REVENUE AND INVESTMENT EARNINGS THEREON BE COLLECTED, RETAINED, AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT AS VOTER APPROVED REVENUE AND SPENDING CHANGES IN EACH YEAR, WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY CONSTITUTIONAL OR STATUATORY SPENDING OR REVENUE LIMITATION INCLUDING THOSE LIMITS CONTAINED IN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION OR ANY OTHER LAW?

				Democra	t Voters				ı	Jnaffiliate	ed Voters				I	Republica	an Voters			Other Voters
	TOTAL	Dems	Dem Men	Dem Wom	Dem 18-44	Dem 45-64	Dem 65+	Unaf	Unaf Men	Unaf Wom	Unaf 18-44	Unaf 45-64	Unaf 65+	Reps	Rep Men	Rep Wom	Rep 18-44	Rep 45-64	Rep 65+	Other Party
TOTAL	520	99	27	71	31	29	39	218	114	104	86	80	52	192	108	85	65	65	62	10
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total Yes, Approve	358	83	22	61	27	23	34	149	72	77	61	52	36	119	63	56	45	33	41	7
	68.9	84.4	80.4	85.7	86.0	78.5	87.5	68.1	62.9	73.7	70.9	64.2	69.4	62.0	58.9	66.1	69.3	51.0	66.0	63.4
Total No, Reject	115	8	3	5	3	3	3	49	31	18	18	19	12	57	37	20	18	27	12	1
	22.1	8.1	12.2	6.7	9.1	8.8	6.8	22.4	27.1	17.1	20.5	24.0	23.2	29.5	34.2	23.5	28.0	40.7	19.2	13.9
Undecided	46	7	2	5	2	4	2	21	11	9	7	9	4	15	6	9	2	5	8	2
	8.8	7.5	7.4	7.6	4.9	12.6	5.8	9.5	10.0	9.1	8.6	11.8	7.5	7.8	5.7	10.4	2.6	8.3	12.7	22.8
Definitely Yes, Approve	187	44	8	35	12	12	19	85	35	49	40	29	15	57	26	31	21	15	21	1
	36.0	44.6	29.2	49.7	39.4	43.2	49.6	38.8	30.7	47.5	47.1	36.0	29.6	29.8	24.5	36.5	31.9	23.0	34.6	8.6
Probably Yes, Approve	171	39	14	26	14	10	15	64	37	27	20	23	21	62	37	25	24	18	19	6
	32.9	39.8	51.2	36.1	46.5	35.3	37.8	29.2	32.2	26.2	23.8	28.2	39.8	32.3	34.4	29.5	37.4	28.0	31.4	54.8
Definitely No, Reject	72 13.9	4 4.0	2 6.4	2 3.1	1 4.6	2 5.5	1 2.4	29 13.3	20 17.9	9 8.3	10 11.9	14 17.7	5 8.8	39 20.4	25 23.2	14 16.7	11 16.3	19 29.0	10 15.5	2.7
Probably No, Reject	43	4	2	3	1	1	2	20	11	9	7	5	8	18	12	6	8	8	2	1
	8.2	4.1	5.8	3.5	4.6	3.3	4.4	9.1	9.3	8.9	8.6	6.2	14.4	9.1	11.0	6.8	11.7	11.8	3.7	11.2

Thank you for taking the time to learn about the needs of the Wellington Fire Protection District and why this ballot measure is being considered. Now, we ask you to reread the ballot question and indicate how you would vote if it were on the ballot in November.

T21. SHALL WELLINGTON FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT TAXES BE INCREASED \$675,801.00 IN FISCAL YEAR 2026 AND BY WHATEVER AMOUNTS AS ARE RAISED THEREAFTER, FROM A ONE-HALF PERCENT (0.5%) SALES TAX, FOR THE PURPOSE OF REDUCING THE FINANCIAL IMPACTS OF GROWTH ON EXISTING PROPERTY OWNERS BY SHARING THE COST OF FIRE AND EMERGENCY SERVICES WITH BUSINESS PATRONS WHO RESIDE OUTSIDE THE DISTRICT BOUNDARIES AND OFFSETTING LOST REVENUE DUE TO THE LIMIT ON PROPERTY ASSESSMENT RATES, IN ORDER TO MAINTAIN VITAL EMERGENCY SERVICES FOR THE RESIDENTS, PROPERTY OWNERS AND BUSINESSES SERVED BY THE DISTRICT, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: • FUNDING AN INTERNAL FIRE MARSHAL POSITION. • PROVIDING FUNDING FOR RECURRING CAPITAL PROJECTS SUCH AS APPARATUS REPLACEMENT AND REPAIR AS WELL AS FIRE STATION MAINTENANCE AND IMPROVEMENTS. AND SHALL THE RESULTING TAX REVENUE AND INVESTMENT EARNINGS THEREON BE COLLECTED, RETAINED, AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT AS VOTER APPROVED REVENUE AND SPENDING CHANGES IN EACH YEAR, WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY CONSTITUTIONAL OR STATUATORY SPENDING OR REVENUE LIMITATION INCLUDING THOSE LIMITS CONTAINED IN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION OR ANY OTHER LAW?

		Par	ent	Tenure at Current Address				Income			,	Wellingto		A	Approval	of WFPD		Opinion	on Fire I Area	Risk in	
	TOTAL	Yes Prnt	No Prnt	Less 5Yrs	5-10 Yrs	11-20 Yrs	More 20Yrs	Less \$75k	\$75k \$150k	More \$150k	Very Some	Very Fam	Some Fam	Not All	Tot Aprv	Strg Aprv	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Inc	Abt Same	Tot Dec
TOTAL	520	174	346	159	165	105	79	112	202	117	438	136	302	78	408	284	25	14	349	126	12
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total Yes, Approve	358	119	239	123	108	66	56	86	144	78	301	86	215	55	308	248	4	1	268	65	2
	68.9	68.6	69.0	77.0	65.4	63.0	71.4	77.2	71.6	66.8	68.8	63.6	71.1	70.6	75.5	87.3	15.9	5.7	76.7	51.8	16.1
Total No, Reject	115	45	70	22	42	30	14	16	41	30	102	41	61	11	70	20	20	12	53	51	8
	22.1	25.8	20.3	13.8	25.7	28.2	18.2	14.5	20.4	25.9	23.3	30.4	20.1	13.6	17.2	7.1	80.7	88.0	15.1	40.7	63.9
Undecided	46	10	36	15	15	9	7	8	16	8	33	8	25	12	29	15	1	1	27	9	2
	8.8	5.6	10.3	9.3	8.9	8.8	8.8	7.2	8.0	7.2	7.6	5.9	8.4	15.7	7.0	5.1	3.4	6.2	7.9	7.5	20.0
Definitely Yes, Approve	187 36.0	67 38.6	120 34.7	61 38.1	65 39.6	32 30.0	30 37.7	47 42.0	81 40.2	37 31.9	158 36.0	56 41.1	102 33.7	28 36.1	169 41.5	148 52.0	1.0		151 43.3	24 18.7	1 6.0
Probably Yes, Approve	171	52	119	62	43	35	26	39	63	41	143	31	113	27	138	100	4	1	117	42	1
	32.9	30.0	34.3	38.9	25.9	33.1	33.6	35.2	31.3	34.9	32.8	22.6	37.4	34.5	34.0	35.3	14.9	5.7	33.4	33.1	10.2
Definitely No, Reject	72	26	47	11	28	19	10	13	24	19	64	30	33	9	40	14	17	12	26	39	6
	13.9	14.8	13.5	6.8	16.8	18.1	12.1	11.4	11.9	16.3	14.5	22.3	11.1	11.2	9.8	4.9	68.8	88.0	7.5	30.7	47.9
Probably No, Reject	43 8.2	19 11.0	24 6.8	11 7.0	15 8.9	11 10.1	5 6.1	3 3.1	17 8.5	11 9.6	38 8.8	11 8.2	27 9.1	2 2.4	30 7.4	6 2.2	3 12.0		26 7.6	13 10.0	2 16.0

Thank you for taking the time to learn about the needs of the Wellington Fire Protection District and why this ballot measure is being considered. Now, we ask you to reread the ballot question and indicate how you would vote if it were on the ballot in November.

T21. SHALL WELLINGTON FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT TAXES BE INCREASED \$675,801.00 IN FISCAL YEAR 2026 AND BY WHATEVER AMOUNTS AS ARE RAISED THEREAFTER, FROM A ONE-HALF PERCENT (0.5%) SALES TAX, FOR THE PURPOSE OF REDUCING THE FINANCIAL IMPACTS OF GROWTH ON EXISTING PROPERTY OWNERS BY SHARING THE COST OF FIRE AND EMERGENCY SERVICES WITH BUSINESS PATRONS WHO RESIDE OUTSIDE THE DISTRICT BOUNDARIES AND OFFSETTING LOST REVENUE DUE TO THE LIMIT ON PROPERTY ASSESSMENT RATES, IN ORDER TO MAINTAIN VITAL EMERGENCY SERVICES FOR THE RESIDENTS, PROPERTY OWNERS AND BUSINESSES SERVED BY THE DISTRICT, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: • FUNDING AN INTERNAL FIRE MARSHAL POSITION. • PROVIDING FUNDING FOR RECURRING CAPITAL PROJECTS SUCH AS APPARATUS REPLACEMENT AND REPAIR AS WELL AS FIRE STATION MAINTENANCE AND IMPROVEMENTS. AND SHALL THE RESULTING TAX REVENUE AND INVESTMENT EARNINGS THEREON BE COLLECTED, RETAINED, AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT AS VOTER APPROVED REVENUE AND SPENDING CHANGES IN EACH YEAR, WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY CONSTITUTIONAL OR STATUATORY SPENDING OR REVENUE LIMITATION INCLUDING THOSE LIMITS CONTAINED IN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION OR ANY OTHER LAW?

			n on Emer vices in A		WFP	D Fiscal F	Responsil	oility	Opinio Genera Tax for	Sales	Unin	nformed V	/FPD Sal	es Tax Ba	allot	Info	ormed WF	PD Sales	s Tax Bal	lot
	TOTAL	Tot Inc	Abt Same	Tot Dec	Tot Agr	Strg Agre	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Sup	Tot Opp	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und
TOTAL	520 100.0	427 100.0	64 100.0	3 100.0	214 100.0	117 100.0	79 100.0	43 100.0	337 100.0	127 100.0	317 100.0	124 100.0	130 100.0	72 100.0	69 100.0	358 100.0	187 100.0	115 100.0	72 100.0	46 100.0
Total Yes, Approve	358 68.9	319 74.7	18 27.6		181 84.7	113 96.5	19 23.7	5 12.7	302 89.6	18 14.1	306 96.4	124 100.0	9 7.2		39 57.1	358 100.0	187 100.0			
Total No, Reject	115 22.1	71 16.6	40 62.9	3 100.0	17 8.1	1 0.9	56 70.6	36 83.6	19 5.7	94 74.4	5 1.4		110 84.3	68 95.4	1 1.3			115 100.0	72 100.0	
Undecided	46 8.8	36 8.4	6 9.5		14 6.6	2 1.5	5 5.8	2 3.7	15 4.3	15 11.5	6 1.8		11 8.5	3 4.6	29 41.6					46 100.0
Definitely Yes, Approve	187 36.0	171 40.0	6 9.5		110 51.3	79 67.5	6 8.0		172 51.0	4 2.9	178 56.2	118 94.6	1 0.5		8 11.6	187 52.2	187 100.0			
Probably Yes, Approve	171 32.9	148 34.7	12 18.2		72 33.4	34 29.1	12 15.6	5 12.7	130 38.6	14 11.2	128 40.2	7 5.4	9 6.7		31 45.4	171 47.8				
Definitely No, Reject	72 13.9	38 8.8	31 47.9	3 100.0	8 4.0		40 50.9	28 66.3	5 1.4	67 52.8	2 0.7		70 53.9	62 86.3				72 62.9	72 100.0	
Probably No, Reject	43 8.2	33 7.8	10 14.9		9 4.2	1 0.9	15 19.7	7 17.3	14 4.3	27 21.6	2 0.7		39 30.3	7 9.1	1 1.3			43 37.1		

		Gen	der						A	ge-Gende								ce-Ethnic	•	Colle	ree
	TOTAL	Men	Wom	18-34	35-44	18-44	18-44 Men	18-44 Wom	45-54	55-64	45-64	45-64 Men	45-64 Wom	65+	65+ Men	65+ Wom	Whit	Hisp	Oth	Yes Deg	No Deg
TOTAL	520 100.0	255 100.0	264 100.0	83 100.0	104 100.0	187 100.0	82 100.0	105 100.0	83 100.0	94 100.0	177 100.0	96 100.0	80 100.0	156 100.0	77 100.0	79 100.0	452 100.0	52 100.0	16 100.0	295 100.0	225 100.0
Female	264 50.7		264 100.0	41 48.8	64 61.4	105 55.8		105 100.0	40 48.2	40 42.8	80 45.4		80 100.0	79 50.6		79 100.0	221 48.9	35 68.1	7 43.8	161 54.5	103 45.7
Male	255 49.0	255 100.0		43 51.2	39 37.6	82 43.6	82 100.0		43 51.2	54 57.2	96 54.4	96 100.0		77 49.4	77 100.0		230 50.8	17 31.9	8 54.0	133 45.0	122 54.3
Identify Differently	2 0.3				1 1.0	1 0.6			1 0.6		1 0.3						1 0.3		2.2	2 0.5	

				Democra	t Voters				l	Jnaffiliate	ed Voters					Republica				Other Voters	
	TOTAL	Dems	Dem Men	Dem Wom	Dem 18-44	Dem 45-64	Dem 65+	Unaf	Unaf Men	Unaf Wom	Unaf 18-44	Unaf 45-64	Unaf 65+	Reps	Rep Men	Rep Wom	Rep 18-44	Rep 45-64	Rep 65+	Other Party	
TOTAL	520 100.0	99 100.0	27 100.0	71 100.0	31 100.0	29 100.0	39 100.0	218 100.0	114 100.0	104 100.0	86 100.0	80 100.0	52 100.0	192 100.0	108 100.0	85 100.0	65 100.0	65 100.0	62 100.0	10 100.0	
Female	264 50.7	71 71.9		71 100.0	22 72.2	18 62.4	31 78.6	104 47.7		104 100.0	52 60.0	33 41.5	19 36.7	85 44.0		85 100.0	31 46.8	28 42.2	27 42.9	4 37.8	
Male	255 49.0	27 27.2	27 100.0		8 26.2	10 36.3	8 21.4	114 52.0	114 100.0		34 39.3	47 58.3	33 63.3	108 56.0	108 100.0		35 53.2	38 57.8	35 57.1	6 62.2	
Identify Differently	2 0.3	1 0.9			1.6	1.3		1 0.3			1 0.7	0.1									

Table 20

		Pare	ent			rrent Add			Income		Familia	arity with	Wellingto	n Fire	A	Approval o	of WFPD		Opinion	on Fire I Area	Risk in	
	TOTAL	Yes Prnt	No Prnt	Less 5Yrs	5-10 Yrs	11-20 Yrs	More 20Yrs	Less \$75k	\$75k \$150k	More \$150k	Very Some	Very Fam	Some Fam	Not All	Tot Aprv	Strg Aprv	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Inc	Abt Same	Tot Dec	
TOTAL	520 100.0	174 100.0	346 100.0	159 100.0	165 100.0	105 100.0	79 100.0	112 100.0	202 100.0	117 100.0	438 100.0	136 100.0	302 100.0	78 100.0	408 100.0	284 100.0	25 100.0	14 100.0	349 100.0	126 100.0	12 100.0	
Female	264 50.7	104 59.6	160 46.2	91 57.2	89 53.8	42 40.1	41 52.0	63 56.6	105 51.9	53 45.4	223 51.0	58 43.0	165 54.5	40 51.2	215 52.7	163 57.5	9 35.2	4 29.2	201 57.7	35 27.9	6 48.7	
Male	255 49.0	69 39.9	185 53.6	67 42.2	76 45.9	63 59.9	38 48.0	48 43.0	97 47.9	63 54.2	214 48.9	77 56.7	137 45.4	37 47.7	191 47.0	120 42.2	16 64.8	10 70.8	147 42.1	91 72.1	6 51.3	
Identify Differently	2 0.3	1 0.5	1 0.2	1 0.6	1 0.3			0.4	0.2	0.4	1 0.2	1 0.4	0.1	1 1.1	1 0.3	1 0.2			1 0.2			

			n on Emer vices in A		WFP	D Fiscal f	Responsil	bility	Opinio Genera Tax for	l Sales	Unir	formed V	/FPD Sal	es Tax B	allot	Info	ormed WF	FPD Sale	s Tax Bal	lot
	TOTAL	Tot Inc	Abt Same	Tot Dec	Tot Agr	Strg Agre	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Sup	Tot Opp	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und
TOTAL	520 100.0	427 100.0	64 100.0	3 100.0	214 100.0	117 100.0	79 100.0	43 100.0	337 100.0	127 100.0	317 100.0	124 100.0	130 100.0	72 100.0	69 100.0	358 100.0	187 100.0	115 100.0	72 100.0	46 100.0
Female	264 50.7	228 53.4	21 32.3		110 51.5	63 53.8	31 39.7	15 36.1	171 50.9	49 38.7	166 52.3	72 58.1	54 41.7	25 34.8	42 61.0	195 54.5	116 61.8	42 36.9	25 34.4	26 57.2
Male	255 49.0	199 46.5	43 67.5	3 100.0	104 48.4	54 46.2	47 60.2	27 63.6	164 48.7	77 61.2	150 47.3	52 41.5	76 58.1	46 64.9	27 38.8	162 45.1	70 37.5	72 62.9	47 65.4	19 42.8
Identify Differently	2 0.3	1 0.1	0.2		0.2		0.2	0.3	1 0.4	0.2	1 0.4	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.1	1 0.4	1 0.7	0.2	0.2	

		Gen							,	ge-Gende								ce-Ethnic	•	Colle Degi	ree
	TOTAL	Men	Wom	18-34	35-44	18-44	18-44 Men	18-44 Wom	45-54	55-64	45-64	45-64 Men	45-64 Wom	65+	65+ Men	65+ Wom	Whit	Hisp	Oth	Yes Deg	No Deg
TOTAL	520 100.0	255 100.0	264 100.0	83 100.0	104 100.0	187 100.0	82 100.0	105 100.0	83 100.0	94 100.0	177 100.0	96 100.0	80 100.0	156 100.0	77 100.0	79 100.0	452 100.0	52 100.0	16 100.0	295 100.0	225 100.0
18 to 34	83 16.0	43 16.7	41 15.4	83 100.0		83 44.4	43 52.1	41 38.9									76 16.7	7 13.5	1 3.3	48 16.1	36 15.8
35 to 44	104 20.0	39 15.3	64 24.2		104 100.0	104 55.6	39 47.9	64 61.1									87 19.3	13 25.2	4 23.8	66 22.3	38 17.0
45 to 54	83 16.0	43 16.7	40 15.2						83 100.0		83 47.1	43 44.3	40 50.0				70 15.5	10 18.6	3 21.1	58 19.6	25 11.3
55 to 64	94 18.0	54 21.0	40 15.2							94 100.0	94 52.9	54 55.7	40 50.0				75 16.5	14 26.2	5 33.4	56 19.0	37 16.6
65 or Older	156 30.0	77 30.2	79 29.9											156 100.0	77 100.0	79 100.0	145 32.0	9 16.4	3 18.4	68 22.9	88 39.3

				Democra	at Voters				ı	Unaffiliate	ed Voters				I	Republica	an Voters			Other Voters
	TOTAL		Dem Men	Dem Wom	Dem 18-44	Dem 45-64	Dem 65+	Unaf	Unaf Men	Unaf Wom	Unaf 18-44	Unaf 45-64	Unaf 65+	Reps	Rep Men	Rep Wom	Rep 18-44	Rep 45-64	Rep 65+	Other Party
TOTAL	520 100.0	99 100.0	27 100.0	71 100.0	31 100.0	29 100.0	39 100.0	218 100.0	114 100.0	104 100.0	86 100.0	80 100.0	52 100.0	192 100.0	108 100.0	85 100.0	65 100.0	65 100.0	62 100.0	10 100.0
18 to 34	83 16.0	13 13.6	3 12.6	10 14.2	13 43.2			36 16.4	16 14.3	19 18.7	36 41.6			34 17.7	23 21.3	11 13.1	34 52.1			
35 to 44	104 20.0	18 17.9	5 17.7	12 17.5	18 56.8			50 22.9	17 15.4	32 30.8	50 58.4			31 16.2	12 11.0	19 22.9	31 47.9			5 48.4
45 to 54	83 16.0	10 10.6	4 14.7	6 8.6		10 36.4		39 17.9	23 20.1	16 15.5		39 48.7		31 16.3	15 13.9	16 19.4		31 48.0		2 22.0
55 to 64	94 18.0	18 18.5	6 24.1	12 16.6		18 63.6		41 18.8	24 21.1	17 16.5		41 51.3		34 17.6	23 21.1	11 13.1		34 52.0		2.8
65 or Older	156 30.0	39 39.5	8 31.0	31 43.1			39 100.0	52 24.0	33 29.1	19 18.5			52 100.0	62 32.2	35 32.8	27 31.4			62 100.0	3 26.9

		Pare	ent	Tenu	re at Cur	rent Add	ress		Income		Familia	rity with	Wellingto	n Fire	A	opproval o	of WFPD		Opinion	on Fire I Area	Risk in
	TOTAL	Yes Prnt	No Prnt	Less 5Yrs	5-10 Yrs	11-20 Yrs	More 20Yrs	Less \$75k	\$75k \$150k	More \$150k	Very Some	Very Fam	Some Fam	Not All	Tot Aprv	Strg Aprv	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Inc	Abt Same	Tot Dec
TOTAL	520	174	346	159	165	105	79	112	202	117	438	136	302	78	408	284	25	14	349	126	12
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
18 to 34	83	43	40	44	26	6	2	12	46	18	74	19	56	9	65	43	4	2	55	21	4
	16.0	24.9	11.5	27.9	15.6	5.7	2.9	11.0	23.0	15.4	17.0	14.0	18.4	11.2	16.1	15.1	16.2	14.2	15.8	16.8	28.6
35 to 44	104	74	30	40	46	14	1	13	43	34	88	28	59	16	83	60	6	3	76	16	4
	20.0	42.7	8.5	25.0	27.7	13.7	1.1	11.7	21.4	28.9	20.0	20.9	19.6	20.9	20.3	21.0	22.2	21.2	21.7	12.9	29.8
45 to 54	83	39	44	19	26	23	13	10	38	26	74	29	45	8	66	39	4	2	59	19	1
	16.0	22.3	12.8	11.8	15.7	22.3	16.4	9.1	19.0	22.4	17.0	21.6	14.9	10.4	16.1	13.7	16.8	13.5	17.0	15.4	6.0
55 to 64	94	10	83	22	25	22	22	21	31	24	79	21	58	15	65	44	8	6	57	29	1
	18.0	5.8	24.1	13.9	15.4	21.2	28.1	18.6	15.3	20.8	18.0	15.2	19.3	18.8	16.0	15.4	32.1	41.8	16.4	23.2	9.3
65 or Older	156	7	149	34	42	39	40	56	43	15	122	38	84	30	129	99	3	1	101	40	3
	30.0	4.3	43.0	21.4	25.5	37.2	51.4	49.6	21.3	12.5	27.9	28.3	27.7	38.8	31.6	34.7	12.8	9.3	29.1	31.7	26.3

			n on Emer vices in A		WFP	D Fiscal F	Responsil	bility	Opinio Genera Tax for	l Sales	Unin	formed V	/FPD Sal	es Tax Ba	allot	Info	ormed WF	FPD Sale	s Tax Ball	lot
	TOTAL	Tot Inc	Abt Same	Tot Dec	Tot Agr	Strg Agre	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Sup	Tot Opp	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und
TOTAL	520 100.0	427 100.0	64 100.0	3 100.0	214 100.0	117 100.0	79 100.0	43 100.0	337 100.0	127 100.0	317 100.0	124 100.0	130 100.0	72 100.0	69 100.0	358 100.0	187 100.0	115 100.0	72 100.0	46 100.0
18 to 34	83 16.0	63 14.8	14 22.3	2 63.1	21 9.7	16 13.3	21 27.2	12 27.9	52 15.3	18 14.4	57 17.9	22 18.0	15 11.9	10 14.0	9 12.5	62 17.4	35 18.6	16 14.1	8 11.7	4 9.8
35 to 44	104 20.0	95 22.3	6 9.8		47 22.0	24 20.6	16 20.3	10 23.7	67 19.9	26 20.4	68 21.4	23 18.6	27 21.0	13 18.2	9 12.7	75 20.8	40 21.2	23 20.2	14 19.1	6 13.6
45 to 54	83 16.0	70 16.4	10 16.4		36 16.8	19 16.6	13 16.4	6 13.9	54 16.0	21 16.7	46 14.6	20 15.7	26 19.7	14 19.9	11 16.4	51 14.3	28 15.1	24 20.5	15 20.7	8 18.4
55 to 64	94 18.0	76 17.7	13 20.4	1 36.9	34 15.8	17 14.1	17 21.8	9 20.8	57 17.0	27 21.7	51 16.0	21 17.0	29 22.2	19 27.0	14 20.0	58 16.1	28 15.0	25 21.8	20 27.2	11 23.7
65 or Older	156 30.0	123 28.8	20 31.0		77 35.7	41 35.4	11 14.4	6 13.7	107 31.7	34 26.9	96 30.1	38 30.7	33 25.2	15 21.0	27 38.4	112 31.3	56 30.1	27 23.4	15 21.3	16 34.5

						Ą	ge-Gende	er						Rad	ce-Ethnic	ity	Degi				
	TOTAL	Men	Wom	18-34	35-44	18-44	18-44 Men	18-44 Wom	45-54	55-64	45-64	45-64 Men	45-64 Wom	65+	65+ Men	65+ Wom	Whit	Hisp	Oth	Yes Deg	No Deg
TOTAL	520	255	264	83	104	187	82	105	83	94	177	96	80	156	77	79	452	52	16	295	225
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Unaffiliated	218	114	104	36	50	86	34	52	39	41	80	47	33	52	33	19	185	24	9	136	83
	42.0	44.6	39.5	42.9	48.2	45.8	41.3	49.3	47.0	44.0	45.4	48.7	41.6	33.5	43.0	24.3	41.0	45.9	58.2	46.1	36.6
Republican	192	108	85	34	31	65	35	31	31	34	65	38	28	62	35	27	175	16	2	85	107
	37.0	42.3	32.1	40.9	30.0	34.9	42.5	29.2	37.7	36.2	36.9	39.3	34.3	39.7	45.8	33.7	38.7	30.2	11.4	28.9	47.7
Democrat	99	27	71	13	18	31	8	22	10	18	29	10	18	39	8	31	85	10	4	70	29
	19.0	10.6	26.9	16.2	17.0	16.6	10.0	21.5	12.6	19.5	16.2	10.8	22.3	25.0	10.8	38.8	18.7	19.7	25.2	23.8	12.8
Other Party	10 2.0	6 2.5	4 1.5		5 4.8	5 2.7	5 6.2		2 2.7	0.3	3 1.5	1 1.2	1 1.8	3 1.8	0.4	3 3.2	7 1.6	2 4.1	1 5.2	4 1.3	7 2.9

				Democra							d Voters					Republica				Other Voters
	TOTAL		Dem Men	Dem Wom	Dem 18-44	Dem 45-64	Dem 65+	Unaf	Unaf Men	Unaf Wom	Unaf 18-44	Unaf 45-64	Unaf 65+	Reps	Rep Men	Rep Wom	Rep 18-44	Rep 45-64	Rep 65+	Other Party
TOTAL	520 100.0	99 100.0	27 100.0	71 100.0	31 100.0	29 100.0	39 100.0	218 100.0	114 100.0	104 100.0	86 100.0	80 100.0	52 100.0	192 100.0	108 100.0	85 100.0	65 100.0	65 100.0	62 100.0	10 100.0
Unaffiliated	218 42.0							218 100.0	114 100.0	104 100.0	86 100.0	80 100.0	52 100.0							
Republican	192 37.0													192 100.0	108 100.0	85 100.0	65 100.0	65 100.0	62 100.0	
Democrat	99 19.0	99 100.0	27 100.0	71 100.0	31 100.0	29 100.0	39 100.0													
Other Party	10 2.0																			10 100.0

		Pare	ent	Tenu	ıre at Cur	rent Add	ress		Income		Familia	arity with	Wellingto	n Fire	A	Approval o	of WFPD		Opinion	on Fire I Area	Risk in
	TOTAL	Yes Prnt	No Prnt	Less 5Yrs	5-10 Yrs	11-20 Yrs	More 20Yrs	Less \$75k	\$75k \$150k	More \$150k	Very Some	Very Fam	Some Fam	Not All	Tot Aprv	Strg Aprv	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Inc	Abt Same	Tot Dec
TOTAL	520 100.0	174 100.0	346 100.0	159 100.0	165 100.0	105 100.0	79 100.0	112 100.0	202 100.0	117 100.0	438 100.0	136 100.0	302 100.0	78 100.0	408 100.0	284 100.0	25 100.0	14 100.0	349 100.0	126 100.0	12 100.0
Unaffiliated	218 42.0	73 42.0	145 42.0	71 44.7	74 44.7	42 39.7	27 34.6	47 41.7	80 39.7	52 44.0	178 40.7	52 38.2	126 41.8	39 50.4	166 40.6	110 38.7	9 35.5	3 24.7	152 43.6	48 38.5	8 66.0
Republican	192 37.0	69 39.7	123 35.6	51 32.2	58 35.1	41 38.9	38 47.9	37 33.5	84 41.5	39 33.3	171 39.0	64 46.8	107 35.5	19 24.6	161 39.6	111 39.2	14 55.7	10 69.5	122 34.9	59 47.3	3 26.9
Democrat	99 19.0	31 17.6	68 19.7	36 22.5	29 17.5	20 19.3	13 16.5	25 22.5	36 17.9	24 20.5	81 18.4	18 13.6	62 20.6	18 22.4	73 17.9	57 20.1	2 8.8	1 5.7	71 20.4	15 11.9	
Other Party	10 2.0	1 0.6	9 2.7	1 0.5	4 2.7	2 2.0	1 1.0	3 2.3	2 0.9	2 2.1	8 1.9	2 1.5	6 2.1	2 2.6	8 1.9	6 2.0			4 1.1	3 2.3	1 7.1

		•	n on Emer vices in A		WFP	D Fiscal F	Responsil	oility	Opinio General Tax for	l Sales	Unin	formed V	/FPD Sal	es Tax Ba	allot	Info	ormed WF	FPD Sale:	s Tax Bal	lot
	TOTAL	Tot Inc	Abt Same	Tot Dec	Tot Agr	Strg Agre	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Sup	Tot Opp	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und
TOTAL	520 100.0	427 100.0	64 100.0	3 100.0	214 100.0	117 100.0	79 100.0	43 100.0	337 100.0	127 100.0	317 100.0	124 100.0	130 100.0	72 100.0	69 100.0	358 100.0	187 100.0	115 100.0	72 100.0	46 100.0
Unaffiliated	218 42.0	175 40.9	28 43.9	3 100.0	85 39.9	36 31.2	34 43.8	14 32.0	143 42.6	56 44.1	135 42.5	50 40.3	54 41.6	29 40.3	30 42.7	149 41.5	85 45.4	49 42.5	29 40.1	21 45.6
Republican	192 37.0	160 37.4	27 43.0		84 39.1	52 44.7	36 45.9	26 62.0	110 32.6	62 49.3	102 32.2	44 35.8	63 48.4	38 53.1	24 34.7	119 33.3	57 30.6	57 49.3	39 54.1	15 32.9
Democrat	99 19.0	84 19.7	6 10.0		39 18.3	24 20.7	7 9.0	2 3.6	77 22.8	7 5.8	73 23.1	29 23.2	11 8.3	4 5.5	15 21.4	83 23.3	44 23.5	8 7.0	4 5.4	7 16.2
Other Party	10 2.0	8 2.0	2 3.1		6 2.7	4 3.4	1 1.3	1 2.4	7 2.1	1 0.9	7 2.3	1 0.7	2 1.8	1 1.2	1 1.2	7 1.8	1 0.5	1 1.3	0.4	2 5.2

Wellington Fire Protection District Ballot Measure Survey, 520n, +-4.2% MoE, May 30th - June 10th, 2025, Weighted Crosstabs Table 23
T28. Which race or ethnicity do you most identify with?

		Gen							Ą	ge-Gende	er						Rad	ce-Ethnic	ity	Colle Deg	
	TOTAL	Men	Wom	18-34	35-44	18-44	18-44 Men	18-44 Wom	45-54	55-64	45-64	45-64 Men	45-64 Wom	65+	65+ Men	65+ Wom	Whit	Hisp	Oth	Yes Deg	No Deg
TOTAL	520 100.0	255 100.0	264 100.0	83 100.0	104 100.0	187 100.0	82 100.0	105 100.0	83 100.0	94 100.0	177 100.0	96 100.0	80 100.0	156 100.0	77 100.0	79 100.0	452 100.0	52 100.0	16 100.0	295 100.0	225 100.0
White, Not Hispanic	452 87.0	230 90.2	221 84.0	76 90.9	87 83.8	163 87.0	78 95.2	84 80.6	70 84.4	75 79.9	145 82.0	80 82.8	65 81.1	145 92.7	72 94.0	72 91.4	452 100.0			254 86.2	198 88.0
Hispanic Latino	52 10.0	17 6.5	35 13.4	7 8.4	13 12.6	20 10.8	2 2.6	18 17.2	10 11.6	14 14.6	23 13.2	11 11.8	12 14.9	9 5.5	3 4.0	5 6.9		52 100.0		30 10.2	22 9.7
Asian	5 1.0	3 1.1	2 0.9		1 1.1	1 0.6		1 1.1	1 1.7	3 2.9	4 2.3	3 3.0	1 1.5						5 33.3	2 0.8	3 1.3
Black African-American																					
Another Race or Ethnicity	10 2.0	6 2.2	5 1.7	1 0.6	3 2.5	3 1.7	2 2.1	1 1.1	2 2.3	3 2.7	4 2.5	2 2.3	2 2.5	3 1.8	2 2.0	1 1.7			10 66.7	8 2.8	2 0.9

Wellington Fire Protection District Ballot Measure Survey, 520n, +-4.2% MoE, May 30th - June 10th, 2025, Weighted Crosstabs Table 23
T28. Which race or ethnicity do you most identify with?

				Democra	t Voters				l	Unaffiliate	ed Voters				I	Republica	n Voters			Voters
	TOTAL		Dem Men	Dem Wom	Dem 18-44	Dem 45-64	Dem 65+	Unaf	Unaf Men	Unaf Wom	Unaf 18-44	Unaf 45-64	Unaf 65+	Reps	Rep Men	Rep Wom	Rep 18-44	Rep 45-64	Rep 65+	Other Party
TOTAL	520 100.0	99 100.0	27 100.0	71 100.0	31 100.0	29 100.0	39 100.0	218 100.0	114 100.0	104 100.0	86 100.0	80 100.0	52 100.0	192 100.0	108 100.0	85 100.0	65 100.0	65 100.0	62 100.0	10 100.0
White, Not Hispanic	452 87.0	85 85.6	25 94.4	58 82.3	27 88.1	24 84.0	33 84.9	185 84.9	104 91.2	81 78.2	70 81.4	65 80.8	51 97.1	175 90.9	97 90.2	78 91.8	63 96.5	54 82.4	58 94.0	7 71.6
Hispanic Latino	52 10.0	10 10.4		10 14.4	3 10.5	2 5.3	5 14.0	24 10.9	5 4.3	19 18.2	13 14.8	11 14.0		16 8.2	10 8.8	6 7.3	2 3.1	11 16.2	3 5.0	2 20.6
Asian	5 1.0	3 2.7	2 5.6	1 1.7		3 9.4		2 1.1	1 1.2	1 1.1	1 1.3	1 1.7								
Black African-American																				
Another Race or Ethnicity	10 2.0	1 1.2		1 1.6	1.4	1.3	1.0	7 3.0	4 3.2	3 2.6	2 2.6	3 3.5	2 2.9	2 0.9	1 1.0	1 0.9	0.4	1 1.3	1 1.1	1 7.8

Other

Wellington Fire Protection District Ballot Measure Survey, 520n, +-4.2% MoE, May 30th - June 10th, 2025, Weighted Crosstabs Table 23
T28. Which race or ethnicity do you most identify with?

		Par	ent	Tenu	ıre at Cuı	rrent Add	ress		Income		Familia	arity with	Wellingto	n Fire	A	Approval	of WFPD		Opinion	on Fire I Area	Risk in
	TOTAL	Yes Prnt	No Prnt	Less 5Yrs	5-10 Yrs	11-20 Yrs	More 20Yrs	Less \$75k	\$75k \$150k	More \$150k	Very Some	Very Fam	Some Fam	Not All	Tot Aprv	Strg Aprv	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Inc	Abt Same	Tot Dec
TOTAL	520 100.0	174 100.0	346 100.0	159 100.0	165 100.0	105 100.0	79 100.0	112 100.0	202 100.0	117 100.0	438 100.0	136 100.0	302 100.0	78 100.0	408 100.0	284 100.0	25 100.0	14 100.0	349 100.0	126 100.0	12 100.0
White, Not Hispanic	452 87.0	150 85.9	303 87.5	133 83.4	144 87.8	95 90.7	71 90.5	97 86.8	177 87.7	102 87.2	379 86.6	116 85.8	263 87.0	69 88.4	353 86.6	244 86.0	18 73.0	8 59.5	306 87.8	105 83.6	10 83.2
Hispanic Latino	52 10.0	20 11.6	32 9.2	22 14.0	17 10.2	5 4.8	6 7.1	13 11.7	19 9.3	12 10.1	46 10.5	15 10.8	31 10.3	6 8.0	45 10.9	35 12.2	5 20.8	5 38.3	33 9.5	14 11.5	2 16.8
Asian	5 1.0	1 0.6	4 1.2	3 1.6		3 2.5			4 2.0	1 1.0	4 0.9	1 0.8	3 0.9	1 1.8	4 0.9	1 0.4			4 1.1	1 1.1	
Black African-American																					
Another Race or Ethnicity	10 2.0	3 1.8	7 2.1	2 1.0	3 2.0	2 2.0	2 2.4	2 1.5	2 1.0	2 1.6	9 2.1	3 2.6	6 1.8	1 1.8	6 1.6	4 1.3	2 6.2	2.2	6 1.6	5 3.7	

T28. Which race or ethnicity do you most identify with?

			n on Emer vices in A		WFP	D Fiscal F	Responsit	oility	Opinio General Tax for	Sales	Unin	formed W	/FPD Sal	es Tax Ba	allot	Info	ormed WF	PD Sales	s Tax Ball	lot
	TOTAL	Tot Inc	Abt Same	Tot Dec	Tot Agr	Strg Agre	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Sup	Tot Opp	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und
TOTAL	520 100.0	427 100.0	64 100.0	3 100.0	214 100.0	117 100.0	79 100.0	43 100.0	337 100.0	127 100.0	317 100.0	124 100.0	130 100.0	72 100.0	69 100.0	358 100.0	187 100.0	115 100.0	72 100.0	46 100.0
White, Not Hispanic	452 87.0	380 89.0	50 78.1	3 100.0	176 82.2	100 85.2	67 85.6	35 82.8	289 85.7	110 86.6	275 86.5	108 86.5	114 88.0	60 83.5	60 86.7	308 86.1	159 84.9	98 85.0	58 80.3	45 98.6
Hispanic Latino	52 10.0	36 8.4	9 14.5		34 15.7	15 12.6	7 9.5	5 12.3	41 12.1	9 7.3	38 11.9	13 10.7	7 5.7	7 10.4	7 9.7	42 11.8	24 13.0	10 8.4	10 13.3	
Asian	5 1.0	4 0.9	1 2.2		2 1.1	1 1.0			4 1.1	1 1.1	2 0.7	2 1.8	1 1.1	1 2.0	2 2.2	4 1.1	2 1.2	1 1.2	1 1.9	
Black African-American																				
Another Race or Ethnicity	10 2.0	7 1.7	3 5.1		2 1.1	1 1.2	4 5.0	2 5.0	4 1.1	6 4.9	3 0.8	1 1.0	7 5.3	3 4.2	1 1.4	4 1.0	2 1.0	6 5.4	3 4.5	1 1.4

		Gen	der						A	ge-Gende	er						Rad	ce-Ethnic	ity	Colle Degi	U
	<u>TOTAL</u>	Men	Wom	18-34	35-44	18-44	18-44 Men	18-44 Wom	45-54	55-64	45-64	45-64 Men	45-64 Wom	65+	65+ Men	65+ Wom	Whit	Hisp	Oth	Yes Deg	No Deg
TOTAL	520 100.0	255 100.0	264 100.0	83 100.0	104 100.0	187 100.0	82 100.0	105 100.0	83 100.0	94 100.0	177 100.0	96 100.0	80 100.0	156 100.0	77 100.0	79 100.0	452 100.0	52 100.0	16 100.0	295 100.0	225 100.0
Yes	295 56.7	133 52.0	161 61.0	48 57.2	66 63.3	113 60.6	38 46.8	74 71.0	58 69.4	56 60.0	114 64.4	62 64.6	51 64.0	68 43.3	32 42.0	35 44.6	254 56.2	30 57.8	11 67.9	295 100.0	
No	225 43.3	122 48.0	103 39.0	36 42.8	38 36.7	74 39.4	43 53.2	30 29.0	25 30.6	37 40.0	63 35.6	34 35.4	29 36.0	88 56.7	45 58.0	44 55.4	198 43.8	22 42.2	5 32.1		225 100.0

				Democra	at Voters				l	Jnaffiliate	ed Voters				I	Republica	an Voters			Other Voters
	TOTAL	Dems	Dem Men	Dem Wom	Dem 18-44	Dem 45-64	Dem 65+	Unaf	Unaf Men	Unaf Wom	Unaf 18-44	Unaf 45-64	Unaf 65+	Reps	Rep Men	Rep Wom	Rep 18-44	Rep 45-64	Rep 65+	Other Party
TOTAL	520	99	27	71	31	29	39	218	114	104	86	80	52	192	108	85	65	65	62	10
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Yes	295	70	18	51	24	20	26	136	65	70	55	57	24	85	47	38	33	36	17	4
	56.7	70.9	68.0	71.7	78.6	68.6	66.6	62.2	57.0	67.7	64.4	70.7	45.7	44.2	43.9	44.6	49.9	54.6	27.3	36.4
No	225	29	9	20	7	9	13	83	49	34	31	24	28	107	60	47	33	30	45	7
	43.3	29.1	32.0	28.3	21.4	31.4	33.4	37.8	43.0	32.3	35.6	29.3	54.3	55.8	56.1	55.4	50.1	45.4	72.7	63.6

		Pare	ent	Tenu	ıre at Cur	rent Add	ress		Income		Familia	arity with	Wellingto	n Fire	A	Approval	of WFPD		Opinion	on Fire I Area	Risk in
	TOTAL	Yes Prnt	No Prnt	Less 5Yrs	5-10 Yrs	11-20 Yrs	More 20Yrs	Less \$75k	\$75k \$150k	More \$150k	Very Some	Very Fam	Some Fam	Not All	Tot Aprv	Strg Aprv	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Inc	Abt Same	Tot Dec
TOTAL	520	174	346	159	165	105	79	112	202	117	438	136	302	78	408	284	25	14	349	126	12
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Yes	295	113	182	106	92	56	35	45	121	81	242	76	166	50	214	143	19	11	203	68	10
	56.7	64.8	52.6	66.3	56.1	52.9	44.1	39.8	59.8	69.2	55.3	55.7	55.1	64.0	52.6	50.5	74.6	82.7	58.0	54.1	83.8
No	225	61	164	54	72	50	44	67	81	36	196	60	136	28	193	141	6	2	147	58	2
	43.3	35.2	47.4	33.7	43.9	47.1	55.9	60.2	40.2	30.8	44.7	44.3	44.9	36.0	47.4	49.5	25.4	17.3	42.0	45.9	16.2

			n on Emer vices in A		WFP	D Fiscal F	Responsil	oility	Genera Tax for	l Sales	Unin	formed V	/FPD Sal	es Tax Ba	allot	Info	ormed Wi	FPD Sale	s Tax Bal	lot
	TOTAL	Tot Inc	Abt Same	Tot Dec	Tot Agr	Strg Agre	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Sup	Tot Opp	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und
TOTAL	520 100.0	427 100.0	64 100.0	3 100.0	214 100.0	117 100.0	79 100.0	43 100.0	337 100.0	127 100.0	317 100.0	124 100.0	130 100.0	72 100.0	69 100.0	358 100.0	187 100.0	115 100.0	72 100.0	46 100.0
Yes	295 56.7	245 57.3	37 57.7	3 100.0	100 46.6	50 42.9	55 70.3	30 70.8	193 57.4	72 57.2	181 57.0	68 54.6	85 65.0	52 72.3	29 42.5	192 53.5	103 55.0	73 63.7	50 68.6	29 63.0
No	225 43.3	183 42.7	27 42.3		114 53.4	67 57.1	23 29.7	12 29.2	144 42.6	54 42.8	136 43.0	56 45.4	46 35.0	20 27.7	40 57.5	166 46.5	84 45.0	42 36.3	23 31.4	17 37.0

Wellington Fire Protection District Ballot Measure Survey, 520n, +-4.2% MoE, May 30th - June 10th, 2025, Weighted Crosstabs Table 25
T30. Do any children 17 or under live in your household?

		Gen	der						A	ge-Gende	er						Rad	ce-Ethnic	ity	Colle Degr	U
	<u>TOTAL</u>	Men	Wom	18-34	35-44	18-44	18-44 Men	18-44 Wom	45-54	55-64	45-64	45-64 Men	45-64 Wom	65+	65+ Men	65+ Wom	Whit	Hisp	Oth	Yes Deg	No Deg
TOTAL	520	255	264	83	104	187	82	105	83	94	177	96	80	156	77	79	452	52	16	295	225
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Yes	174	69	104	43	74	118	45	73	39	10	49	22	26	7	2	5	150	20	4	113	61
	33.5	27.3	39.4	52.1	71.6	62.9	54.7	69.5	46.8	10.8	27.7	23.3	32.8	4.7	3.2	6.2	33.1	39.0	27.4	38.3	27.2
No	346	185	160	40	30	69	37	32	44	83	128	74	54	149	75	74	303	32	11	182	164
	66.5	72.7	60.6	47.9	28.4	37.1	45.3	30.5	53.2	89.2	72.3	76.7	67.2	95.3	96.8	93.8	66.9	61.0	72.6	61.7	72.8

T30. Do any children 17 or under live in your household?

				Democra	at Voters				ı	Jnaffiliate	ed Voters				1	Republica	ın Voters			Other Voters
	TOTAL	Dems	Dem Men	Dem Wom	Dem 18-44	Dem 45-64	Dem 65+	Unaf	Unaf Men	Unaf Wom	Unaf 18-44	Unaf 45-64	Unaf 65+	Reps	Rep Men	Rep Wom	Rep 18-44	Rep 45-64	Rep 65+	Other Party
TOTAL	520	99	27	71	31	29	39	218	114	104	86	80	52	192	108	85	65	65	62	10
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Yes	174	31	7	22	19	9	2	73	26	47	49	21	3	69	35	34	48	19	2	1
	33.5	31.0	27.6	31.4	61.6	32.8	5.2	33.5	22.7	45.6	57.4	25.8	6.4	36.0	32.6	40.3	73.9	29.1	3.3	10.8
No	346	68	19	49	12	19	37	145	88	57	37	60	49	123	73	51	17	46	60	9
	66.5	69 0	72 4	68 6	38 4	67 2	94 8	66.5	77 3	54 4	42 6	74 2	93 6	64 0	67 4	59 7	26.1	70.9	96 7	89 2

Wellington Fire Protection District Ballot Measure Survey, 520n, +-4.2% MoE, May 30th - June 10th, 2025, Weighted Crosstabs Table 25
T30. Do any children 17 or under live in your household?

		Pare	ent	Tenu	ıre at Cur	rent Add	ress		Income		Familia	arity with	Wellingto	n Fire	A	Approval (of WFPD		Opinior	on Fire F Area	Risk in
	TOTAL	Yes Prnt	No Prnt	Less 5Yrs	5-10 Yrs	11-20 Yrs	More 20Yrs	Less \$75k	\$75k \$150k	More \$150k	Very Some	Very Fam	Some Fam	Not All	Tot Aprv	Strg Aprv	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Inc	Abt Same	Tot Dec
TOTAL	520 100.0	174 100.0	346 100.0	159 100.0	165 100.0	105 100.0	79 100.0	112 100.0	202 100.0	117 100.0	438 100.0	136 100.0	302 100.0	78 100.0	408 100.0	284 100.0	25 100.0	14 100.0	349 100.0	126 100.0	12 100.0
Yes	174 33.5	174 100.0		58 36.1	69 42.2	31 29.1	14 18.0	20 18.2	89 43.9	51 43.4	157 36.0	52 38.2	105 34.9	16 20.8	144 35.3	98 34.4	9 35.1	5 35.4	119 33.9	44 35.4	3 22.7
No	346 66.5		346 100.0	102 63.9	95 57.8	75 70.9	65 82.0	92 81.8	113 56.1	66 56.6	280 64.0	84 61.8	196 65.1	62 79.2	264 64.7	186 65.6	16 64.9	9 64.6	231 66.1	81 64.6	9 77.3

T30. Do any children 17 or under live in your household?

			n on Emer vices in A		WFP	D Fiscal F	Responsil	oility	General Tax for	l Sales	Unir	nformed V	VFPD Sal	es Tax B	allot	Info	ormed WI	FPD Sale	s Tax Bal	lot
	TOTAL	Tot Inc	Abt Same	Tot Dec	Tot Agr	Strg Agre	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Sup	Tot Opp	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und
TOTAL	520 100.0	427 100.0	64 100.0	3 100.0	214 100.0	117 100.0	79 100.0	43 100.0	337 100.0	127 100.0	317 100.0	124 100.0	130 100.0	72 100.0	69 100.0	358 100.0	187 100.0	115 100.0	72 100.0	46 100.0
Yes	174 33.5	148 34.7	22 34.8		74 34.3	40 34.4	32 40.3	21 49.4	110 32.6	47 37.2	111 35.1	44 35.3	49 37.9	25 34.3	11 16.3	119 33.4	67 35.9	45 39.0	26 35.6	10 21.5
No	346 66.5	279 65.3	42 65.2	3 100 0	141 65.7	77 65 6	47 59 7	22 50.6	227 67 4	80 62 8	206 64 9	81 64 7	81 62 1	47 65 7	58 83.7	239 66 6	120 64 1	70 61.0	47 64 4	36 78.5

		Gen	ıder						A	ge-Gende	er						Rad	ce-Ethnic	ity	Colle Deg	•
	TOTAL	Men	Wom	18-34	35-44	18-44	18-44 Men	18-44 Wom	45-54	55-64	45-64	45-64 Men	45-64 Wom	65+	65+ Men	65+ Wom	Whit	Hisp	Oth	Yes Deg	No Deg
TOTAL	520	255	264	83	104	187	82	105	83	94	177	96	80	156	77	79	452	52	16	295	225
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Less than \$50,000	42	14	28	5	2	8	2	6	5	7	12	5	8	22	7	14	37	4	1	17	25
	8.0	5.5	10.5	6.5	2.4	4.2	2.8	5.4	6.4	7.2	6.8	4.7	9.4	13.9	9.4	18.3	8.3	7.0	4.4	5.7	11.0
\$50,000 to \$74,999	70	34	36	7	11	18	8	9	5	14	19	8	11	34	18	16	60	9	1	28	43
	13.5	13.4	13.6	8.3	10.3	9.4	10.0	8.6	5.8	15.0	10.7	8.2	13.8	21.7	23.5	19.9	13.2	18.1	6.5	9.4	19.0
\$75,000 to \$99,999	78	27	52	13	11	24	6	18	15	15	30	11	20	24	10	14	63	13	2	47	31
	15.1	10.5	19.6	15.5	10.4	12.7	7.4	16.9	18.3	16.1	17.1	11.1	24.5	15.6	13.1	18.1	14.0	24.4	15.3	16.0	13.9
\$100,000 to \$149,999	123	70	53	34	32	66	34	32	23	16	39	25	14	19	11	8	114	6	4	74	50
	23.7	27.4	20.2	40.3	31.1	35.2	41.9	30.3	27.9	16.7	22.0	25.9	17.0	12.0	14.0	10.0	25.1	11.5	23.9	24.9	22.2
\$150,000 to \$199,999	75	36	38	12	24	36	12	24	16	12	29	19	9	10	5	5	63	10	2	52	22
	14.4	14.3	14.3	14.6	23.3	19.5	14.5	23.0	19.5	13.2	16.2	20.3	11.4	6.2	6.5	5.9	13.9	19.3	11.0	17.8	9.8
More than \$200,000	42	27	15	6	10	15	11	5	10	12	22	14	8	5	2	3	39	2	1	29	14
	8.2	10.6	5.8	7.1	9.2	8.3	13.2	4.5	11.9	12.8	12.4	14.5	10.0	3.2	3.1	3.4	8.7	3.5	8.9	9.7	6.2
Prefer Not to Say	89	47	42	6	14	20	8	12	8	18	26	15	11	43	23	19	76	8	5	49	41
	17.1	18.3	16.1	7.6	13.3	10.8	10.1	11.3	10.2	18.9	14.8	15.4	13.9	27.4	30.5	24.5	16.8	16.2	30.0	16.5	18.0

				Democra	t Voters				ı	Unaffiliate	ed Voters				1	Republica	an Voters			Other Voters
	TOTAL		Dem Men	Dem Wom	Dem 18-44	Dem 45-64	Dem 65+	Unaf	Unaf Men	Unaf Wom	Unaf 18-44	Unaf 45-64	Unaf 65+	Reps	Rep Men	Rep Wom	Rep 18-44	Rep 45-64	Rep 65+	Other Party
TOTAL	520	99	27	71	31	29	39	218	114	104	86	80	52	192	108	85	65	65	62	10
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Less than \$50,000	42	8	3	6	1	1	7	18	7	11	4	6	8	13	5	9	3	5	5	2
	8.0	8.4	10.3	7.8	2.1	2.8	17.6	8.4	5.9	11.0	4.8	7.8	15.0	7.0	4.2	10.5	4.8	7.6	8.6	16.2
\$50,000 to \$74,999	70	17	1	16	3	3	11	28	15	13	11	8	9	24	17	7	3	7	14	1
	13.5	17.1	3.6	22.4	8.5	11.8	27.9	13.0	13.5	12.2	12.9	10.0	17.9	12.5	15.7	8.4	4.6	11.5	21.9	8.4
\$75,000 to \$99,999	78	19	3	15	2	8	8	28	13	15	11	12	5	31	9	21	10	10	11	1
	15.1	18.9	12.3	21.6	6.1	28.9	21.6	12.7	11.5	14.2	12.4	15.2	9.5	16.0	8.6	25.4	15.4	15.0	17.6	10.8
\$100,000 to \$149,999	123	17	8	9	6	7	4	52	29	23	25	19	8	53	33	21	35	11	7	1
	23.7	17.6	29.5	12.8	20.2	25.9	9.5	24.0	25.8	22.0	28.7	24.2	15.8	27.6	30.2	24.2	53.7	17.4	10.8	6.7
\$150,000 to \$199,999	75 14.4	17 17.1	6 20.8	11 15.2	15 49.3	2 5.5		33 15.2	16 13.8	18 16.8	19 21.6	10 12.1	5 9.7	24 12.4	15 13.9	9 10.3	3 3.9	17 25.5	5 7.4	1 6.9
More than \$200,000	42	7	3	4	2	3	2	18	11	7	5	11	2	15	12	3	7	7	1	2
	8.2	7.2	10.1	6.2	7.6	10.4	4.6	8.4	9.5	7.2	6.1	13.8	3.7	7.9	10.9	4.1	10.7	10.7	2.1	16.9
Prefer Not to Say	89	13	4	10	2	4	7	40	23	17	12	14	15	32	18	14	4	8	20	4
	17.1	13.6	13.3	13.9	6.2	14.7	18.8	18.3	19.9	16.5	13.5	16.9	28.3	16.7	16.4	17.1	6.9	12.3	31.7	34.1

		Par	ent	Tenu	ıre at Cuı	rent Add	ress		Income		Familia	arity with	Wellingto	n Fire	A	Approval	of WFPD		Opinior	on Fire Area	Risk in
	TOTAL	Yes Prnt	No Prnt	Less 5Yrs	5-10 Yrs	11-20 Yrs	More 20Yrs	Less \$75k	\$75k \$150k	More \$150k	Very Some	Very Fam	Some Fam	Not All	Tot Aprv	Strg Aprv	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Inc	Abt Same	Tot Dec
TOTAL	520 100.0	174 100.0	346 100.0	159 100.0	165 100.0	105 100.0	79 100.0	112 100.0	202 100.0	117 100.0	438 100.0	136 100.0	302 100.0	78 100.0	408 100.0	284 100.0	25 100.0	14 100.0	349 100.0	126 100.0	12 100.0
Less than \$50,000	42 8.0	9 5.1	33 9.5	8 5.3	13 8.2	12 11.5	8 9.7	42 37.2			36 8.3	9 6.7	27 9.0	5 6.8	38 9.3	30 10.6	2 6.4		28 7.9	10 7.6	2 13.2
\$50,000 to \$74,999	70 13.5	12 6.6	59 17.0	21 13.3	27 16.2	15 14.0	8 9.7	70 62.8			54 12.3	11 8.2	42 14.1	17 21.4	60 14.8	49 17.3	1.0		48 13.8	17 13.3	3 26.5
\$75,000 to \$99,999	78 15.1	21 12.2	57 16.5	35 21.9	23 14.1	9 8.1	12 14.7		78 38.8		66 15.0	21 15.5	45 14.8	11 13.8	61 15.1	44 15.6			55 15.8	16 12.7	
\$100,000 to \$149,999	123 23.7	67 38.7	56 16.2	37 23.2	46 28.0	20 18.7	21 26.3		123 61.2		110 25.2	42 30.6	69 22.8	13 16.7	97 23.8	60 21.3	9 37.5	7 51.7	82 23.6	31 24.7	3 22.7
\$150,000 to \$199,999	75 14.4	34 19.6	41 11.7	20 12.6	24 14.8	19 17.8	12 14.6			75 63.8	65 14.9	17 12.7	48 15.8	10 12.4	54 13.3	34 11.9	7 28.8	4 32.6	51 14.7	22 17.3	
More than \$200,000	42 8.2	17 9.6	26 7.4	12 7.3	17 10.1	11 10.3	3 4.2			42 36.2	38 8.6	16 12.0	21 7.1	5 6.0	32 7.8	20 7.0	1 3.1		28 8.0	13 10.2	
Prefer Not to Say	89 17.1	14 8.3	75 21.6	26 16.4	14 8.5	21 19.6	16 20.7				69 15.7	19 14.2	49 16.4	18 23.0	65 16.0	46 16.3	6 23.1	2 15.7	56 16.1	18 14.1	5 37.6

			n on Emer vices in A		WFP	D Fiscal F	Responsil	bility	Opinio General Tax for	Sales	Unin	formed V	/FPD Sal	es Tax Ba	allot	Info	ormed Wi	FPD Sales	s Tax Bal	lot
	TOTAL	Tot Inc	Abt Same	Tot Dec	Tot Agr	Strg Agre	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Sup	Tot Opp	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und
TOTAL	520 100.0	427 100.0	64 100.0	3 100.0	214 100.0	117 100.0	79 100.0	43 100.0	337 100.0	127 100.0	317 100.0	124 100.0	130 100.0	72 100.0	69 100.0	358 100.0	187 100.0	115 100.0	72 100.0	46 100.0
Less than \$50,000	42 8.0	32 7.5	7 10.6		25 11.8	11 9.2	3 3.2	1 2.2	29 8.6	7 5.4	28 8.9	8 6.4	6 4.6	3 4.0	7 10.7	34 9.4	16 8.6	3 2.7	2 2.8	4 8.1
\$50,000 to \$74,999	70 13.5	60 14.0	6 9.4	1 36.9	31 14.7	17 14.7	6 7.9	2 4.1	47 14.1	17 13.1	47 14.8	22 17.4	15 11.5	8 11.7	9 12.3	53 14.8	31 16.6	13 11.4	11 14.9	4 9.6
\$75,000 to \$99,999	78 15.1	63 14.6	4 6.8		37 17.3	26 21.9	5 5.9	1 2.5	56 16.5	14 10.9	51 16.0	24 18.9	13 10.1	5 7.6	14 20.8	62 17.4	38 20.2	9 7.9	5 6.8	7 15.2
\$100,000 to \$149,999	123 23.7	102 23.8	18 28.4		41 19.0	22 19.2	26 33.6	19 45.0	82 24.4	28 22.4	78 24.4	29 23.7	31 23.5	17 24.0	15 22.1	82 22.9	43 23.2	32 27.9	19 26.3	9 20.3
\$150,000 to \$199,999	75 14.4	65 15.3	8 13.2		23 10.9	11 9.2	17 21.3	7 17.2	46 13.7	21 17.0	42 13.3	13 10.7	24 18.4	14 19.7	9 12.3	46 12.9	19 10.2	22 18.7	12 16.7	7 14.9
More than \$200,000	42 8.2	36 8.4	6 10.2		21 10.0	15 12.5	6 7.4	2 5.6	32 9.4	10 8.0	30 9.6	16 13.1	10 7.4	7 9.5	2 3.4	32 8.9	18 9.8	9 7.7	7 9.6	2 3.6
Prefer Not to Say	89 17.1	70 16.3	14 21.5	2 63.1	35 16.2	15 13.2	16 20.6	10 23.4	45 13.2	29 23.3	41 13.0	12 9.8	32 24.5	17 23.5	13 18.3	49 13.6	21 11.4	27 23.8	17 22.9	13 28.3

		Gen							Ą	ge-Gende	er						Rad	ce-Ethnic	ity	Colle Degi	ree
	TOTAL	Men	Wom	18-34	35-44	18-44	18-44 Men	18-44 Wom	45-54	55-64	45-64	45-64 Men	45-64 Wom	65+	65+ Men	65+ Wom	Whit	Hisp	Oth	Yes Deg	No Deg
TOTAL	520	255	264	83	104	187	82	105	83	94	177	96	80	156	77	79	452	52	16	295	225
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Less Than 5 Years	159	67	91	44	40	84	30	54	19	22	41	19	22	34	19	15	133	22	4	106	54
	30.7	26.4	34.6	53.4	38.4	45.1	36.6	51.6	22.6	23.6	23.1	19.4	27.3	21.9	24.3	19.5	29.4	43.0	26.5	35.8	23.9
5 to 10 Years	165	76	89	26	46	71	28	43	26	25	51	28	23	42	19	23	144	17	3	92	72
	31.7	29.6	33.6	30.9	43.9	38.1	34.7	40.7	31.1	27.1	29.0	29.1	29.1	26.9	25.0	28.7	31.9	32.4	20.9	31.3	32.1
11 to 20 Years	105	63	42	6	14	20	13	7	23	22	46	29	17	39	21	18	95	5	5	56	50
	20.2	24.7	16.0	7.2	13.8	10.9	16.1	6.9	28.1	23.8	25.8	29.7	21.4	25.1	27.6	22.6	21.1	9.7	30.0	18.9	22.0
More Than 20 Years	79	38	41	2	1	3	2	1	13	22	35	18	17	40	18	23	71	6	2	35	44
	15.1	14.8	15.5	2.8	0.8	1.7	2.8	0.8	15.5	23.7	19.8	18.4	21.6	25.9	23.0	28.8	15.8	10.8	12.0	11.8	19.6
Prefer Not to Say	12 2.3	11 4.4	1 0.3	5 5.7	3 3.1	8 4.3	8 9.8		2 2.6	2 1.8	4 2.2	3 3.4	0.6	0.1		0.3	8 1.8	2 4.1	2 10.6	7 2.2	6 2.5

				Democra	t Voters				ţ	Jnaffiliate	ed Voters				I	Republica	ın Voters			Other Voters
	TOTAL	Dems	Dem Men	Dem Wom	Dem 18-44	Dem 45-64	Dem 65+	Unaf	Unaf Men	Unaf Wom	Unaf 18-44	Unaf 45-64	Unaf 65+	Reps	Rep Men	Rep Wom	Rep 18-44	Rep 45-64	Rep 65+	Other Party
TOTAL	520	99	27	71	31	29	39	218	114	104	86	80	52	192	108	85	65	65	62	10
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Less Than 5 Years	159	36	8	27	17	8	10	71	36	35	42	20	9	51	22	30	25	12	14	1
	30.7	36.3	31.3	38.0	55.2	28.9	26.8	32.6	31.9	33.2	48.7	25.2	17.7	26.7	20.1	35.1	37.6	19.0	23.4	8.4
5 to 10 Years	165	29	5	23	11	6	12	74	35	38	33	29	12	58	32	26	25	15	18	4
	31.7	29.2	19.0	32.9	36.2	19.6	30.7	33.7	31.1	36.7	38.5	36.2	22.1	30.0	29.9	30.1	38.4	23.1	28.5	42.6
11 to 20 Years	105	20	9	11	3	9	8	42	27	15	9	15	18	41	27	14	9	20	11	2
	20.2	20.6	32.8	16.2	8.6	32.3	21.5	19.1	23.6	14.4	9.9	18.7	34.9	21.3	24.9	16.7	14.0	31.3	18.4	20.5
More Than 20 Years	79 15.1	13 13.1	4 14.0	9 13.0		5 16.5	8 21.1	27 12.5	11 10.0	16 15.2		14 17.7	13 24.9	38 19.6	23 21.0	15 17.8	3 4.9	16 24.7	18 29.7	1 7.9
Prefer Not to Say	12 2.3	1 0.8	1 2.9			1 2.7		5 2.1	4 3.4	0.5	2 2.9	2 2.3	0.4	5 2.4	4 4.1	0.3	3 5.2	1 2.0		2 20.6

		Par	ent	Tenu	ıre at Cuı	rrent Add	ress		Income		Familia	arity with	Wellingto	n Fire	A	Approval	of WFPD		Opinion	on Fire I Area	Risk in
	TOTAL	Yes Prnt	No Prnt	Less 5Yrs	5-10 Yrs	11-20 Yrs	More 20Yrs	Less \$75k	\$75k \$150k	More \$150k	Very Some	Very Fam	Some Fam	Not All	Tot Aprv	Strg Aprv	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Inc	Abt Same	Tot Dec
TOTAL	520 100.0	174 100.0	346 100.0	159 100.0	165 100.0	105 100.0	79 100.0	112 100.0	202 100.0	117 100.0	438 100.0	136 100.0	302 100.0	78 100.0	408 100.0	284 100.0	25 100.0	14 100.0	349 100.0	126 100.0	12 100.0
Less Than 5 Years	159 30.7	58 33.0	102 29.5	159 100.0				30 26.5	72 35.6	32 27.2	123 28.2	24 17.6	99 32.9	35 44.9	117 28.8	75 26.6			114 32.7	28 22.1	5 42.3
5 to 10 Years	165 31.7	69 39.8	95 27.5		165 100.0			40 35.9	69 34.4	41 35.0	143 32.6	49 36.0	94 31.1	20 26.2	127 31.2	93 32.7	11 43.9	8 58.7	105 30.0	48 38.1	3 22.7
11 to 20 Years	105 20.2	31 17.5	75 21.6			105 100.0		27 23.9	28 14.0	30 25.2	90 20.6	29 21.0	62 20.4	13 16.9	87 21.4	62 22.0	6 25.4	1 8.0	74 21.3	24 18.9	1 10.2
More Than 20 Years	79 15.1	14 8.1	65 18.7				79 100.0	15 13.7	32 16.0	15 12.7	69 15.8	29 21.4	40 13.4	9 12.0	69 16.9	49 17.2	5 19.1	2 17.6	52 14.9	22 17.6	1 8.9
Prefer Not to Say	12 2.3	3 1.5	10 2.8								12 2.8	5 4.0	7 2.2		7 1.7	5 1.6	3 11.6	2 15.7	4 1.1	4 3.3	2 15.8

			n on Emer vices in Ai		WFP	D Fiscal f	Responsil	bility	Opinio General Tax for	Sales	Unin	formed V	/FPD Sal	es Tax Ba	allot	Info	ormed WF	FPD Sales	s Tax Bal	lot
	TOTAL	Tot Inc	Abt Same	Tot Dec	Tot Agr	Strg Agre	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Sup	Tot Opp	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und
TOTAL	520 100.0	427 100.0	64 100.0	3 100.0	214 100.0	117 100.0	79 100.0	43 100.0	337 100.0	127 100.0	317 100.0	124 100.0	130 100.0	72 100.0	69 100.0	358 100.0	187 100.0	115 100.0	72 100.0	46 100.0
Less Than 5 Years	159 30.7	128 30.0	12 18.7	1 36.9	57 26.6	24 20.8	12 14.7	1 3.0	107 31.8	25 20.0	112 35.2	44 35.2	23 17.6	14 19.7	25 36.0	123 34.3	61 32.5	22 19.0	11 14.9	15 32.5
5 to 10 Years	165 31.7	137 32.1	25 38.7		67 31.3	39 33.2	33 42.1	19 43.3	109 32.3	45 35.3	99 31.3	37 30.0	49 37.6	26 36.7	16 23.8	108 30.1	65 34.8	42 36.8	28 38.2	15 32.1
11 to 20 Years	105 20.2	92 21.5	11 16.6		45 21.0	26 21.9	16 20.4	11 26.1	62 18.5	33 26.0	56 17.6	21 16.5	37 28.1	17 24.0	12 17.1	66 18.5	32 16.8	30 25.8	19 26.3	9 20.2
More Than 20 Years	79 15.1	65 15.2	11 17.8		39 18.0	24 20.2	13 16.4	8 18.7	56 16.5	17 13.3	48 15.1	23 18.3	15 11.5	9 12.0	16 23.1	56 15.7	30 15.9	14 12.5	10 13.2	7 15.2
Prefer Not to Say	12 2.3	5 1.2	5 8.2	2 63.1	7 3.1	4 3.8	5 6.5	4 8.9	3 1.0	7 5.3	3 0.9		7 5.3	6 7.7		5 1.5		7 6.0	5 7.4	